

INFORMATION REGARDING CALLS PRESENTED AND/OR SUPPORTED BY
2013 RAINBOW ROUND TABLE

I TO ACCESS THE THREE WEEKLY CALLS via the Internet

A BBS RADIO Go To www.bbsradio.com ; click on Talk Radio Station #2; click on "64K Listen"

Thursday: 9 pm – 12:00 pm EST **Stargate Round Table** Host: **Marietta Robert**

Friday: 9 pm – 2 am EST **Friday Night Hard News** Hosts: **T & R**

Saturday: 4:30 pm – 2 am EST **History of our Galactic World & NESARA** **T & R**

Friday, Saturday: From **10 – 11 pm EST**, for one hour, the call moves to the Conference Call Line [PIN below] and then returns to BBS Radio.

- Use the following phone numbers to ask questions or make comments during the radio show.

530 – 413 – 9537 [line 1]

530 – 763 – 1594 [line 2 & 3]

530 – 746 – 0341 [line 4]

- **BBS Toll Free # in Canada, US** 1 – 888-429-5471 This # picks up the first available line.

B Conference Call:	1-213 -342-3000	Thursday PIN #	87 87 87#
		Friday PIN #	23 23 23#
		Saturday PIN #	13 72 9#

C Skype: **BBSradio2**

D Archives for the 3 Programs listed above:

- To access the **FREE BBS archives** for any of these programs:
 - Go to [BBSRadio.com/ Station 2](http://BBSRadio.com/Station2); scroll down; click on **Current Program Archives**
 - Scroll down to **Hard News on Friday with Tara and Rama**, and click on **"More Archives"**
 - Click on those words and you will be taken to the listing of all program archives, the latest one being at the top.
 - You can download the program to your own computer or listen directly.

- The **2013 website also has an archive section:**

<http://2013rainbowroundtable.ning.com/> look under the "Archives" tab for written notes.

II TO ACCESS OTHER CALLS SUPPORTED BY 2013 Rainbow Roundtable

A Sunday, Mondays: 9 – 10:30 EST **Cheryl Croci's Activation Calls**
By telephone only: 1 – 860 – 970-0300; PIN 9467441#

B Tuesdays, 2nd & 4th of each month: **Ashtar on the Road**
9:30 – 11:00 pm EST Host is Fran; Susan Leland channels Ashtar & others
www.Ashtarontheroad.com

- Phone Number: 1 – 559 – 726 – 1300; PIN 163731#
- Call is free [except for long distance charges]
- Can also listen to the call via Skype

C Wednesdays: 7:00 – 9:00 EST **The Friends of The Aboriginal Moabite Nation Call**
By telephone only: 1– 712-432-0900 PIN 666238#
Replay # [good for 1 week] 1-712- 432-0990 PIN 666238#

Opening Meditation: Cheryl Croci

Housekeeping: Rainbird Thank you!

- BBS:**
- A listener-supported radio program; we can access program archives on BBS
 - We need \$ 300 each week; **this week, we need \$ 450** for the radio.
Many thanks to everyone for paying it forward!
 - Go to BBSradio.com/Station2; find the listing for True History call; find the **Paypal** button
 - BBS appreciates getting checks through the mail – no fees taken off by Paypal
 - **NO COST TO ACCESS THE ARCHIVES** for one of the 2 T & R PROGRAMS, or the Thursday night program: Stargate Round Table, hosted by MariettaRobert
 - Re: archives of other BBS programs; the money we pay to listen to someone else's archives is put towards our BBS bill!
 - The mailing address for those who do not like paying electronically:
BBS Network, Inc. [Please put "**Attention Don**" on the envelope.]
5167 Toyon Lane
Paradise, CA 95969
 - Sending a check to BBS means all your money is received; using Paypal incurs a fee..

- T & R:**
- They also need \$150 for some bills; Need \$ for the rent + food & gas
 - We can donate via the Paypal button on the website:
www.2013RainbowRoundTable.ning.com
 - **Please notify them if you're sending something:** Koran999@comcast.com
 - Rama's mailing address for cheques, Money orders: Ram D Berkowitz
1704 B Llano St, # 249
Santa Fe, NM 87505
 - **phone contact** is via MariettaRobert: 317-773-0061
or by e-mail: stargatemarietta@gmail.com
 - **Remember you can book a session with Mother, as well!**
- MR:**
- Please remember to support MariettaRobert's show, too

- T:**
- **if you have any trouble hearing, there is an issue with the sound and it is an emergency - so call this number: 1-888- 429 – 5471**
 - **if you cannot get through,** use this # 1-888 – 710-8061

- Seems there is trouble with the conference line; those in charge are not present on Saturday
 - Rama tested the line; it's working -
 - Thanks to everyone for all the efforts of the past
- Soon to be no more monkey on our backs!

Camp Lovewave: they rode the Rainbow Bridge to Asgard:

- they got on air and said this is live;
 - They had on George Lucas, Michelle Nichols, Goerge Takai, William Shatner, Leonard Nemoy – went into wild stories about the Bigger Picture & what's going on with where we are at
- Also spoke to KOS and Lady Master Nada
- Mother's wayward children are just that: send them infinitely more love!

KOS, LM Nada: Alfred Webre took an offer he could not refuse: the interview on air and Webre was on talking about BRICS and the dinar; they said he got compromised: Leo Wanta gave him a billion dollars and he took it; and he denies – if you ask him about it, you'll get in big trouble

- when you step into the realm of taking that kind of money: ron Paul took \$2 Billion by the Vatican to run

for Pres; Jesse Ventura told them to get the **** out of his officer!

- Lennie Horowitz talked of this a few years ago on his own radio program; they tried to trash him in many ways: the 13 families are in free fall collapse
- Listened to the August Anonymous message about the Old World Order and how our children are to become debt slaves to the so-called "Fuhrer" of this country

T: there are plenty of people who do not know what we know on this program – good idea to get them on!

R: We have to be discerning & discretionary with the messages; what is going on is convoluted

- We are in a new day and we are free; that the same time, the hologram is being shattered – it is all maya, illusion. At the same time they are amping up the scare tactics

KOS, LM Nada: Bernie Sanders is about to announce his candidacy to run as president.

- Elizabeth Warren has said she will not run!
- R has heard E Warren would be his running mate: this will radically shift the drama being played out. R sat with Sanders at his kitchen table in Vermont with his wife and Thom Hartman – he is one of the true whistleblowers moving the country forward.
He voted NO to fund the "moderate" Syrian rebels.- it makes no sense to use the kind of technology and negativity

KOS, LM Nada: They made the point: "and y harm none" – comes from the ancient, ancient laws from before the gods were here – it is the place where the primordial energies are: Anima/Animas: the creative primordial energies that Mother / Father Alcyone bring – this energy is moving the planet, the solar system the entire universe forward: they are all pervasive throughout every single particle in the Universe of Nebadon and the 7 super universes.

- A lot of folks are freaking because we are going to have a global shift: the Great Shift is here says Kryon and Carl Calleman says things are getting infinitely better and better.
 - Not about the disaster timeline – it has been cancelled: IT IS A FRAUD, FAKE TIMELINE! It was intended to keep us in shackles which are in our mind: we are supposed to come from the high Heart place, not the lower chakras which gets us into messing with Mr Inbetween and not knowing which end is up – the dark ones are messing with us!
- Send **Alfred Webre** all the love he can hold – when you get a B in your a/c, you get invited to various kinds of meeting and if you don't go to that meeting,
- **Karen Hudis of the World Bank** also got \$1b – she will deny it to the grave!
 - This is about serving 2 masters – there is only 1 master: the MIGHTY I AM in each of us
 - The message from Mother last night and the master of Masters: the least among us is the biggest story going on; how we do this with infinite love, infinite grace and beauty is to pay it forward to begin helping those who have nothing at all

T: that they got this kind of money means a lot of people died to get it – it's blood money

R: Mr Leo Wanta is not a good man; Ronnie Raegan was an actor, not a very good one

T: during the presidency, he was programmed – cloned and programmed; and Geo Bush Sr / Schirff ran it behind the scenes along with the agency, the nazis from Argentina, Himmler, Goerman and the Thule society who thought they could get hold of the gauntlet of power and the infinity stones and the tesseract - They are in Odin's treasure house and the Collector is taking care of all issues

- We don't mess with these folks: he is blending stories and legends because the guardians of the Galaxy are here and it is all of use and the brotherhoods and sisterhoods are on the planet and every day miracles are happening: it may just be simple acts of kindness or selfless service
- R gave a homeless man his last 50 cents and the man broke down and cried!

T: William Shatner is another compromised being: had his wife killed for her money; Robert Wagner caused his wife Natalie Wood to drown and he tried to cover it up – R thinks he helped a bit!

T reviews who played what role in **Star Trek: The Original Series**: they talked of Gene Rodenberry and the so-called heart attack that killed him and that he was taken out because he was going to speak about the Ashtar Command & tell the whole story; he also had a treatment in the Integraton, like Rama. He had no doubts!

- Michelle Nichol's brother died in the Heaven's Gate catastrophe – found castrated as the other men were;
 - the head of the organization was Mr Applewhite, a former CIA man [and always a CIA]
 - Michelle said that the real story was that Applewhite was twisting the story of Halle Bopp and having the people drop acid! Telling them fairy tales that were not nice
 - at the same time the Vatican Beacon [?] called up Art Bell and told him that they had killed his whole extended family – everyone was dead but him, and he was on the run: he found out that Halle Bopp and the Companion were the focus of the computer in the basement of the Vatican; it was off limits: they knew he had figured things out; no sooner had he spoken to Art than he was killed, and the Black Ops said to Art Bell if you say anything you're dead: to underline the point, they killed Art's wife!
 - Applewhite influenced those involved to believe that Halle Bopp was a ship and they'd be beamed up and taken to a place of bliss, telling them that they didn't need their bodies – told them they'd get a magic potion to help make the shift: it was poison and killed them
 - People were following Halle Bopp - 1999, March 26 - and the world was following the companion, the Nibiru, Mother's star ship.
 - George Lucas said that the 13 Families were petrified at that time that the Nibiru WAS a real ship and that Sekmet was who she was, and the story would get out to the world
 - members of the NSA were the ones who told Art Bell to be quiet.

T: How come George Lucas, Michelle Nichols, George Takai, William Shatner, Leonard Nemoj are feeling free enough to talk about it? Bear and Teran did not record the show; if anyone asks about it, they don't know what is being asked: and the story gets out!

- George said as that the Star Wars series was a direct channelling that he received through Jamie Sands, the author of **The Medicine Cards**, and that Princess Leah is really from Aldebaron and is a member of the Ashtar Command: George did a good job of creating a series out of what was a real story and that could be followed.
- George also said that Dr Bassett's Congressional open hearings WILL commence – not just handing out the information; **it will happen on Full Disclosure after the November elections:**
 - congressional members have just flown the coop until Nov – they are under great pressure to begin these full, open congressional hearings about full disclosure and the ET presence on earth already

T: Raelene experienced and told us last night that **we are completely in the 5th dimension**, no matter what it feels like, sounds like, looks like – **important to keep our vibrations in this high place**

- we need to spend equal time to keeping our energies in that high place; if we feel like going low, “praise respect thank and love the feelings” too and continue working with those high energies which means the energies are exponentially accelerated - Healings go really fast, too
- If we dip into crabbiness or negative emotion, it goes just as fast in the opposite direction
- ALSO means that these characters are having an exponentially harder time keeping up their lies and their programming
- Stories about sightings are more and more frequently across the planet
- Taren Lovewave said that the galactics will show up in the physical and take over the whole media – and George Lucas seconded that motion!
- The compromised ones will be very sorry they took that path a– there is enough energy in the full 5th dimension for people to know that this stuff is tainted: they want money from the revaluation and think they will get money from NESARA when it shows up – that is called serving 2 masters!

T: Omar Gonzalez got into the White House lawn – got stopped at the door and this has never happened before: Obama and the girls had already left: how could anyone get that close?

R: has to do with the fact :Lord make me an instrument of thy peace: where there is hatred let me sow love!

T: Roger Godell, head of NFL – trying to make things “right”; “morally vacant” was the phrase used

elsewhere: Keith Olbermann in his Twitter feed said that when football players get head concussions or severely injured, it gets talked about then swept under the rug; when they start doing domestic violence, there are very strange energies going on; Foot ball it is only 2 steps down from the movie called Hunger Games where you go into Gladiator Games & you don't come out What are we doing teaching this to our kids? It speaks about our civilization.

T: you have to be asleep to believe the things that are being programmed out there in the media: it means these people are being put in a state of mesmerization and completely out of it: it is a tool the Black side use

- Talks of the documentary on FDR they are watching: Teddy R and his cousin – they both did some bad things and some good things – like the head of the NFL who gets \$44M a year and that he will do the right thing just because he is getting a little more pressure – interesting to watch the divisions
- Same thing as with the Hillary story: **KOS and Nada** both told him that a lot of the football players who are of colour: it has to do with the larger picture and the hidden history of the people of the melanin, the gold dust
 - when you are a person of colour and have the melanin in your body, it is actually like the Force, the frequencies of the gold dust and he knows from the Green books, **The Magic Presence** – when you have the melanin and are on the path of the mighty I AM presence, your blood/hemoglobin transforms into that gold dust, as St Germain talks of

T: it is hard for people to get that St G was Dracula / Vlad the Impaler which has to do with raping pillaging and plundering of the feminine in the Vatican and the depiction of what happened to him was that the Church lied to his beloved who was living in a convent while he was on Crusade: he was impaling the Turkish Moors that were overrunning

- **It is both/ and:** Mordred started the Crusades and he was the first Illuminati member because that was a living lie: Morgana shape shifted into Guinevere and Arthur came home and thought he was making love to his wife, it was actually Morgana, and the child was conceived in the lie and the deception – in the larger picture, it was about the desecration of the Feminine

R: talks of his lifetime as Merlin when Morgana encased Merlin in a pillar of ice and he could only observe

T: explains how the ego and the light worker heart get people into trouble.

And the Dali Llama said the 13 families HAVE NOT ONE OUNCE OF POWER, NOT ONE OUNCE!

- FDR is the only president that it appears to have 3 terms going on 4; he was offered a 4th term if he would do nukes and he said no; Truman said he'd do it and got the talking stick.

R: both the greys and the Ashtar Command approached FDR and Truman; deals were made; the A Command said dismantle all your nukes, just stop and we'll give you the technology and the wisdom to heal this planet; he refused because he'd be killed! So the Grays said we have a deal you can't refuse – and thus began the abductions!

T: Allende did not call them ISIL or ISIS – he used the word DESH - an Arabic word that means to trample on – it has to do with feet: Bill Richardson went to Iraq and crossed his leg so the bottom of his shoe was visible – an insult to an Arab!

Jon Stewart took Lindsay Graham and others to task: get a grip, people!

R: Anonymous – August report: the 13 families want to absolutely crash the American economy – the facilities where cell phones are made have bars on the inside so people cannot jump out and kill themselves because they are debt slaves.

T: talks to lots of lightworkers every day who tell her that the people they talk to cannot make any links between what they tell the people, and what they see on TV – have them go to Jon Stewart / The Daily Show and the Colbert Report

T: Eleanor was a gay woman, had her own lover; only pursued this after she found out that FDR was unfaithful & had quite a few lovers, with one in particular that he loved; Eleanor's gay lover was more instrumental in getting some of the social programs out. She was employed by FDR, but the women were the movers.

R: the newest Anonymous also laid a trip on Obama: love them more!

Audio: Cornell West – topic was “The New Populism” at the event called Campaign for America's Future; May, New York City

- Calling for fundamental transformation of American capital society – focussing also on the children, and on Jim Crow
- Thank god for Brother Snowden, for Chelsea Manning
- Our struggle is not limited to the US: we have brothers and sisters in every country on the planet!

A TREMENDOUS PRESENTATION

[SEE below for Elizabeth Warren's presentation at the same event.]

Audio: Democracy Now 2014, Sept 18 **Capitalism vs. the Climate:** [SEE BELOW]
Naomi Klein on Need for New Economic Model to Address Ecological Crisis

<http://publish.dvllabs.com/democracynow/ipod/dn2014-0918.mp4>

Audio: Kryon: the Timing of the Great Shift

Lee Carroll is talking & someone is translating into Spanish

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=li0E4_7ap3g

Published on 9 Sep 2014

Kryon (Channeled by Lee Carroll) is a "Support" Entity, Expressing positivity in a beautiful ways.
For more info visit <http://www.kryon.com/>

Kryon is going to lay out 3 things:

- What has already been accomplished
 - what you are doing now
 - what is coming
- the plan is our plan, something we are part of, not a plan by “god”
- It is time we heard this in its entirety! Highlihgs only
- 3 phases to the shift
 - 1st began in 1987, the first part ended 1999
 - this included the galactic alignment , for the true energy began in the first phase of the shift - it is not coming in 2012, **it is here!**
 - The past 20 years have been remarkable – look at what has occurred, the awakeing that is here
 - the people who walked the stage today were not interested in spiritual things 20 years ago
 - They may have been seekers, but they had no core, no purpose; they were all separate; had no idea who Kryon was or who obias was
- the plan was that from 1987 – 1999 the following things would take place
- THERE IS NO PROPHECY: god cannot tell us what will happen: for this planet humans are in charge
- these are **the potentials** in front of us, generated by the consciousness of humanity
- these are **not predictions**, but facts of what we are about to accomplish based on the energy of the consciousness we have now
1. moving the grid which is why he came & the Grid Group grome came and left in 2002
They built a matrix for the change in our DNA - it was accomplished
 2. the voiding of the energy of Armegeddon – and if you think nothing happened – not so; the planet moved into another energy: one of the largest political systems on the planet fell over and did not come back – Soviet Union, and there is no Nostrodamas quartrain to predict that! This changed the future
 - 3 we began the construction of the crystalline grid which has become a real time grid change for the planet
 - He has explained this before

- this esoteric quantum grid allows for the consciousness shift that we have today to be applied for the change of the earth in real time
- the old system had us dying and going to cave of creation before anything could be applied by the grid, and now we do it while we are here!

4 one of the largest and most profound energies that is **esoteric is KARMA**

- The ancients knew of it and taught it; it worked; it is still in place today **but you don't need it**
- He told us **20 years ago we could void all of the karma we came in with**
 - **THERE IS NO PLAN FOR YOU TO COME IN AND SUFFER!**
 - Did you hear this? Some of you are still stuck in believing you need to experience the drama around us
 - part of the gift you are given is the voiding of this
 - we were told in 1st book we could move out of this completely – and we came to change this planet and we have; we came to spread light and we have!
- There is no stamp on us that says we have to do this or that – we told you there was even an astrological shift – there had to be; the astrology is not fixed
- Astrology is the oldest science on the planet, driven by magnetics and gravity and these are the things that shift the positions of the planets around the sun; change one attribute and the computations of astrology shift with it
- when you move the grid of the planet, astrology chart moves slightly too – there has been a redistribution of 3* in the chart; that is not a 3* shift of the chart – it means the houses have re-shaped themselves to a total of 3*; a bit here and there – some got bigger and some got smaller – the astrologers will have to do some homework - special attention to Jupiter
- all of these things happening in phase 1

- 6, **A change in the weather** – said 20 years ago that places on the earth that used to grow crops will no longer, and those who had never grew crops now would – has to do with water cycle changing
- How is it the weather is changing? What spiritual aspect is that?
 - we do not give ourselves credit for the enormous power as humanity – we sped up the vibration beyond what the Mayans said we would do
 - to the geologic core is moving faster - but to us, we feel it is still the same – how many of us know intuitively that time is speeding up we know it is and the earth feels it and we are experiencing weather we would not feel for 100 years – the internal clock of the earth has sped up

Phase 2 – fewer attributes in this phase

From 2000 – 2012 – the one we are in: what are we are doing?

1. this is hard to understand: when we have human consciousness expecting things for over 1000 years, there is an energy that builds up – it also involves Gaia.
 - Until 1987, we expected massive earth shift about now – we did not see in the Mayan work the revelation of the new idea – that energy of expectation lays on the planet like a blanket, an energy of expectation that even the earth shares; the first thing **we are doing is void the earth shift**- prophets: scientists and the programming of 1000 years says it's gonna shift!
 - yet the concentrated light of a small group will keep it from shifting
- 2 a **re-writing of what we think is the word “contract”** - esoteric human beings come in with what is a contract – he will **re-write that definition** – yes we have a contract; no longer for a lifetime – it is only for one day, **every single day we re-write it & sign it**; it expires at night, when we get up we change it if we want!
 - None of us came to do anything but to exist in this planet in the love of god and steer ourselves to where we can provide light for others! That's it – maybe you are not to write that book; maybe we are more valuable holding the energy where we are: in our family, in our workplace
- 3 And there is the concept of the shifting of our DNA – a week ago he started a teaching of the actual layers we are working with, quantum layers, interdimensional layers – starting to shift and change the core of our programming, all for enhancement of life,

- this is the phase where we start to move the DNA and we begin to work with the quantumness we have as a human being – this may mean nothing to us, yet it is the strongest gift of the phase!
 - It gives us the opportunity to mine the Akashic Record; to go in and pick things that we have learned and observed, and become them again – gives an entirely different paradigm of healing :
 - old soul, feel the life times you have lived; if you had a storehouse of containers, we would have hundreds of containers – in our 3D life, we put them in the closet and call them past lives and we lock the closet as if we could not go there again – in our concept, it is gone!
 - But in a quantum state, all we experienced, it is still here and the attributes of our past lives will come up -
- **another paradigm for healing:** say you have something in your body you would like to eliminate:
 - dear one, in a 3D paradigm you wish you could somehow heal this, take it out of our body
 - in the quietness of your meditation, speak to the quantum levels of your DNA via your Higher Self who has been there in every lifetime: one HS, many lives: you have a friend who has been there:
 - Say to HS: find me the lifetime when there was no disease; the interdimensional levels, is in the DNA in – slowly bring forward that lifetime and replace the current DNA with the past DNA
 - Can we do that? Yes, this is the phase where you can do it! More than you had hoped for !
 - Now it's getting strange! When you look at quantum gifts, they don't make sense but in the scheme of god, they do – don't have to understand it to do it
 - I need a list: what do I need 1st or 2nd – who told you you needed to know how it works?
 - Well, we should know something about it before we trust ourselves to a process!
 - Really? So you leave here and get into your car; you get in and you get out the manual and study it because you would not trust your life to a system you do not understand, would you?
 - You do it all the time, so why not trust yourself to a god who loves you!

Phase 3 – these things will sound too grand!

2013 – 2025 – the final phase

- He gives us potentials: they are just potentials: too grand: won't happen!
- Go back 20 years – all the potentials took place because that was what was read in our consciousness in light workers all over the earth – so I do it again

1. the adjustment of actual human society thinking; the energies in the way we work: another name –

- an actual shift to a higher vibration of human nature!
 - Kryon, that's impossible! Well, we have already begun it: we are starting to clean up our financial institutions! 30 years ago we would have laughed at this idea! Those who have the money, will – it is not working this way now –
 - consciousness has made the difference: a new paradigm – can see it in the news!
 - **a change in what human nature will allow for gov't** – careful about talking about politics!
- Watch for people who really care about you – it's beginning! We will see an total phase out of old energy dictatorships and will see it before 2013 – they will fall over, you know, because the energy of the population will not sustain an old energy leader!
- Remember where you heard it: the strange situation of a man in a chair pretending to channel! I
 - we will have leadership coming to us all around the earth where there is a new energy caring for us, the public – watch for it!
 - So many things are coming in this last phase!

Last two: are going to heal a continent! Watch for it – it begins –

watch for major shift in Africa which has never been a major player in economics: when we heal a continent, suddenly the people there want what we have: peace, gov't that works, their own homes, hospitals – a continent that will arise, that will be a major player in earth's economics – remember where you heard it

- Finally: **another shift in our DNA** – he could say some things that will give us hints – partner would love to be here to see this: he is talking to K right now: will I be around to see this? Oh, you;ll be here – won't tell you how old you'll be, but you'll be here
- there is something in your DNA that will be revealed very slowly, a study 15 years away: The name is **The Lemurian Code!** Now we know!
 - There is so much that is happening! All of those things sound wonderful – will they really happen? Not only can but will - that is the reason we are heading for peace on earth!
- There are those who will drag you down and say it won't happen; don't buy into the drama, don't buy into the old paradigm: it serves no human being to think they will perish – that is what our contract is: hold that light in every country in every language there are those doing what we are doing
 - There is a grander goal here than just living through our life and hoping we make it – there is the one where we are actually responsible for changing the energy of the planet!
- I am not Kryon the teacher, not disconnected; I am not apart from you: how can I tell you who I am?
 - I walk in your imagination; I am part of the music that plays when we have joy and laughter;
 - I am part of the core of the family, of the strand that connects us to the god part; I know that when you leave to come to the planet, you leave my side which is really your side, the “home” side
 - I am with you when you die, take your last breath and go through that odd transition as you have so many times – those who have lost friends recently cry and weep because they are gone
 - He tells us they are just fine! The transition was not painful; they ask us to heal our hearts; it will happen to us too as it has before and before; there is that moment when we realize we are done, and we remember and we remember, and that is when he sees us in the Hall of Honour – what a reunion that is! It's happening right now!
 - Kryon how can you be in 2 places at once? He's in more than 2! On the other side of the veil we are all that way: if there is no clock, there is no time, no past, present, future – on the planet, in another dimension; in a quantum state there is no such thing as a place
 - We are dearly loved; and that is the message of Kryon

Audio: Children Geniuses Sept 13, 2014

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=szyIXbzWSg0>

I am Kryon of Magnetic Service:

- It is a beautiful time; if I told you that I know who is here, would you understand?
- You have heard me say so many times I know who you are
- He is from the Great Central Source; he is a piece of the whole as we are: he does not have the biases of corporeality or 3Dness, as we have; he has the love for us for what we are doing
- he is alerting all of us that his partner had a difficult time getting here today
 - the saying is that there are no accidents
 In the process of getting here, he has asked why this delay happened; K gives us the lesson and the metaphor: Things are not always as they seem

The profundities of the shift

PLEASE LISTEN TO THE REST OF THE AUDIO

Ah! The Equinox! Completing the Virgo initiation that has been preparing us for the world of relationship. Ready? Any final questions/concerns? All systems go? Forget anything? Got yourself all together? Ha Ha! The Virgo list can go on and on a bit now can't it? And let's remember that we are all Virgo somewhere, I am not stereotyping....

This week and next we are on the cusp, and the cusps between signs have always been associated with sensitivity. In this video I go into that a bit. And in thinking about it I recall that it is not a highly valued trait in many cultures, particularly for men in a patriarchal culture, but for women too. The old saying "Oh, don't be so sensitive!" rings in my memory..... and yet, astrologically, it is part of the future evolution of our species... we are all becoming more sensitive.... yikes... heading into the ring of fire.... Who's first?

music by Scott Bristow at <http://www.bristobblue.com/>

T: loves the weather he enjoys down there!

Reading: Mystic Mamma

[SEE BELOW]

Reading: From Dane Rudhyar **Astrological Mandala**
1* of Libra; 3rd *

Reading: **Creating a Positive Future: Time Science Shows Our Earth is on a Positive Timeline in our Time Space Hologram** **By Alfred Lambremont Webre*** [SEE BELOW]

CONFERENCE CALL

C1: from Washington [state]; beautiful weather! Lives in Bellevue, next to a park - east of Seattle

T: asking about rent

C1: rents are sky rocketing: has had indications of rents increasing – can hardly wait for the re-calibrations

- the sky is blue; sees a tinge of lavender – sees it in the morning;
- Birds come to the trees – tons of birds all over the place
- People just enjoying themselves
- Had a job offer in DC: but did not take it

T: Annie Rose said we would have a beautiful, long Indian summer this year

- the astrology said the same thing: this is a wonderful pause - need to let nature cleanse us
- the march tomorrow will probably be covered

C1: why is tofu not good for us?

- 6,000 years ago, people knew that the anti nutrients are collective: the more that you eat tofu, the anti-nutrients do not leave your body and the nutrients of the other food you eat will not be absorbed.
- radio program in Santa Fe – about nutrition – 10 solid years of research on soy: not really good for human consumption – now and then, a bit of tempeh – 1-2 x per month
- the Chinese literature said soy does not harmonize with our digestive system
- a cow needs to be grass fed – that is natural for them
- Tofu is full of protein, but also has other things that are not good for us.
- Hemp seed protein is so much better for humans
 - hemp hearts go rancid – Hemp hearts mean the outside shell is gone – take only the inside part of the seed – making hemp oil is rancid about a week after it is made!
 - if they delivered the hemp hearts in a proper container [not plastic and keep it in the freezer, that would be OK.

To get essential fatty acids: Chia Seeds – and there are many, many colours – one company mixes all the colours and that combination is the best; Chia seeds come from Mexico, but not sure if it is all the colours – a super food that combines protein and essential fatty acids.

- After an earthquake, some new water creeks showed up in Northern California: the old visions go out

with the old ideas – the stuff from the fossil fuel times gets gone!

- Just found out that Alfred L Webre got compromised: he took \$1B of the old money
- we are coming to a place where the dispensation of power, greed, pollution is being peeled away from us

T: HAIR did their opening in Chicago; all the hippies were on the 3rd floor balcony – used the rope and landed on the stage – in 1968! Right before Harmonic Convergence; R saw them in 1969 at the Aquarius Theatre in California -

T: opened this show with Cornell West

C1: asked where T was when she went to Hawaii –

T: there for about 4.5 years in various locations

C1: born in Linnai – 3rd generation Japanese – no pineapples where they used to be: only hotels.

- Moved to Honolulu – father ran a business there; grandparents remained on Linnai
- tells of a pineapple about 6” high, 3” across – the most delicious, sweet

T: describes what she experienced in Hawaii; about the sandelwood forest being destroyed

- the Caller brought so many memories back!

C2: when they went to Hawaii, told to bring an offering in gratitude, and not to bring anything back

T: tells of the dance that explains the creation of Hawaii: they saw a huge shooting star at the exact point in the story when the mountain came up

- Kryon was talking about cleaning up the banking system

Reading: finishes the reading from the radio; begins at

“Universe singularity now emanating energy for “enlightened unity consciousness””

BBS

Audio: <http://www.kiaraperkins.com/>

Gods of the Mountain http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=niKc96trPjE

Beloved Mother Mary and the Ascended women who are assisting us!

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=4wgU9yrH77M

Reading: the final bit of the document

[SEE BELOW]

Creating a Positive Future

T does not read the rest: the suggested topics Webre puts in the article are already taken care of by NESARA.

Reading: About The Water Technology A Gia Wellness Water System

Audio: Richard Hoagland with J Tyberonn: Torsion Physics, Earth-Keeper Wesak 2013

Richard is talking about the structures in the city of Cydonia, aka Helium, a huge city on Mars

- this ties in with what will happen on Oct 19th when a comet comes in in direct contact with Mars at 19.5*,
- Comet “Sighting Spring” is a star ship; Richard alludes to this

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=agVbNT-6aYE>

Published on 29 Jul 2013

Earth-Keeper: Richard C Hoagland was a featured speaker at the Earth-Keeper WESAK Gathering in Arizona, hosted by James Tyberonn & attended by over 550 people.

Richard Hoagland is a former space science museum curator; a former NASA consultant, and during the historic Apollo Missions to the Moon, was science advisor to Walter Cronkite and CBS News. He has written many Internationally 'Best-Selling' books on the topics of 'Hidden Nasa', Extra-Terrestrial life, Mars Cydonia Pyramids, Torsion Physics and other fascinating topics. He is truly a brilliant mind and mesmerizing speaker.

James Tyberonn is an author, teacher, geologist and channel. He began channeling Archangel Metatron in 2007, and is featured each month in the 'Sedona Journal of Emergence Magazine'. He has retired from his geological work, and now writes and conducts seminars and sacred site travel throughout the World on a full time basis. His extensive travels and time as an expatriate allowed him great opportunities to learn other languages and cultures. He is truly a "Citizen of the World", and dedicates his life to sharing the spiritual information received from Archangel Metatron merged with scientific information garnered from his engineering and geology work, merging science with the metaphysical. Tyberonn is considered one of the worlds most knowledgeable sources on Earth-Energies, Sacred Sites, Planetary Grids, Auric Maintenance , Crystals and Gems. For more information visit : <http://www.Earth-Keeper.com>.

Audio: Moyers & Company 2014, Sept 12 Full Show: Climate Change — Faith and Fact

The latest in a string of dire reports on climate change came this week from the United Nations' meteorological advisory body, which said that the amount of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere reached a new record high in 2013, due to a "surge" in carbon dioxide, prompting fears of an accelerated warming of the planet.

A majority of Americans think global warming is real and that human activity's a factor, believing in the science behind reports on climate change. But some two-thirds of white evangelical Christians aren't convinced.

In the face of those who use religion to deny the worldwide crisis of climate change, climate scientist Katharine Hayhoe, an evangelical Christian, believes that her faith is compatible with science. This week she speaks to Bill about ending the gridlock between politics, science and faith in order to find solutions to the widespread threats associated with global warming.

"...The New Testament talks about how faith is the evidence of things not seen," says Hayhoe, who was recently named one of Time Magazine's 100 Most Influential People. "By definition, science is the evidence of things that are seen, that can be observed, that are quantifiable. And so that's why I see faith and science as two sides of the same coin."

Producer: Gina Kim. Segment Producer: Robert Booth. Editor: Sikay Tang.

<http://billmoyers.com/episode/full-show-climate-change-faith-and-fact/>

[SEE BELOW]

Audio: Elizabeth Warren

<http://ourfuture.org/thenewpopulismconference>

The New Populism, Campaign for America's Future, NYC in May

- Sen. Elizabeth Warren: "The New Populism Is A Fight for America's Values"
- Robert Borosage: What Is The New Populism?
- The New Populism Declaration: A Movement and Agenda to Transform America's Economy and Politics
- Memorandum: The American Majority Is A Populist Majority

Audio: Max Keiser Episode 656 2014, Sept 20

http://img.rt.com/files/episode/2e/2a/00/00/keiser2009_480p.mp4?event=download

In this episode of the Keiser Report, Max Keiser and Stacy Herbert discuss the United Kingdom waking up to a new motto: "IT'S NOT WORTH THE RISK," following the outcome of the Scottish independence referendum. They look at the breakdown of who voted for independence (the under 54's) and who didn't back independence (the over 55's) so as the taxpayer owned Royal Bank of Scotland said of the result, "It's business as usual." In the second half, Max interviews an investor, who unlike 55 percent of the population of Scotland, truly has no fear about taking risks, Brock Pierce. Max and Brock discuss bitcoin, internet 2.0 and what the future holds for the technology.

Audio: Breaking the Set 2014, Sept 20

Grenade launchers for schools, Occupy's metamorphosis & abolishing student loan debt

http://img.rt.com/files/episode/2e/34/40/00/bts2009_480p.mp4?event=download

In this episode of Breaking the Set, Abby Martin reports on how LAPD officers charged with guarding schools are being outfitted with military-grade equipment including grenade launchers, automatic weapons and armored vehicles. Abby also talks about the 3rd anniversary of Occupy Wall St., speaking with Dennis Trainor Jr. producer and host of AcronymTV.com about the many projects and offshoot groups that sprouted from the original movement in New York. Abby then goes over the success that Occupy Wall Street debt relief initiatives like the 'Rolling Jubilee' and 'Strike Debt' have had in abolishing millions of dollars' worth of medical and student loan debt for thousands of Americans. Abby reports on the case of Mohammed Abu Kheidr, who was burned alive by a group of Israeli settlers in a revenge killing for the deaths of three Israeli teenagers, speaking with family members of the victim including his 15 year old cousin, Tarek Abu Kheidr, who was arrested and beaten by Israeli police after attending a protest seeking justice for the death of his cousin.

Reading: D'yanni Ywahoo

about having clear mind, and disseminating light – our natural state is luminosity

Closing: Song of the Reed by Coleman Barks / Rumi

INFORMATION RELATED TO THE NOTES

2014, Sept 18 **Capitalism vs. the Climate: Naomi Klein on Need for New Economic Model to Address Ecological Crisis**

Guests: Naomi Klein, journalist and best-selling author. Her new book is This Changes Everything: Capitalism vs. the Climate. Her previous books include No Logo and The Shock Doctrine: The Rise of Disaster Capitalism.

<http://publish.dvllabs.com/democracynow/ipod/dn2014-0918.mp4>

As the United Nations prepares to hold one-day global summit on climate change, we speak to award-winning author Naomi Klein about her new book, "This Changes Everything: Capitalism vs. the Climate." In the book, Klein details how our neoliberal economic system and our planetary system are now at war. With global emissions at an all-time high, Klein says radical action is needed. "We have not done the things that are necessary to lower emissions because those things fundamentally conflict with deregulated capitalism, the reigning ideology for the entire period we have been struggling to find a way out of this crisis," Klein writes. "We are stuck because the actions that would give us the best chance of averting catastrophe — and would benefit the vast majority — are extremely threatening to an elite minority that has a stranglehold over our economy, our political process, and most of our major media outlets."

Transcript

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: Scientists from NASA have confirmed that last month was the warmest August on record globally. Much of the world, including central Europe, northern Africa, parts of South America and the western portions of North America, saw much higher than normal temperatures. According to the National Climatic Data Center, August was the 354th consecutive month with a global average temperature above the 20th century average. The news comes as flooding in India and Pakistan has killed more than 400 people and displaced nearly a million. The flooding is the worst to hit the Kashmir region in half a century. Severe drought in Central America has left nearly three million people struggling to feed themselves. And California is suffering its worst drought in over a century. Meanwhile, a new report by the Norwegian Refugee Council has found more than 22 million people were displaced from their homes by extreme weather last year—more than three times the number of people displaced by war. In the Philippines alone, over four million people were displaced by Typhoon Haiyan.

AMY GOODMAN: On Tuesday, world leaders will gather here in New York for a one-day climate summit called for by U.N. Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon. Climate activists have planned a series of events leading up to the U.N. summit. On Sunday, more than 100,000 are expected to take part in the People's Climate March here in New York. More than 2,000 "People's Climate" events are planned worldwide in 150 countries. On Monday, climate activists are planning to stage a mass sit-in in the financial district of New York in an action dubbed "Flood Wall Street."

Well, today we spent an exclusive hour with the acclaimed journalist and author Naomi Klein. She is just out with her latest book, *This Changes Everything: Capitalism vs. the Climate*. It's her first book since her 2007 best-seller, *The Shock Doctrine: The Rise of Disaster Capitalism*. Before that, she wrote *No Logo*.

Naomi Klein, welcome back to Democracy Now! Congratulations on the book.

NAOMI KLEIN: Thank you.

AMY GOODMAN: What changes everything?

NAOMI KLEIN: Well, climate change changes everything. And it changes everything for the reasons Juan

just outlined. We are on—it's already upon us, and we are on a road towards warming of four to six degrees Celsius. We've reached .7 or .8 degrees Celsius, and we're already seeing these effects. Once you get to warming of that level, the models start to break down. I mean, climate scientists don't know what to expect. Things start going nonlinear. And so, it changes everything about our physical world, if we just simply do what we're doing and continue down this road. So, the argument I'm making in the book is, we do have the opportunity to get off that road, but in order to do so, we have to change pretty much everything, or some really fundamental things, about our economic system.

The good news is that the things we need to change, many of them are broken anyway. We need to make vast investments in the public sphere, which would create millions of good jobs. We need to invest in healthcare, in education, in the sciences. And in so doing, we will tackle one of the most intractable problems we face, which is gross wealth inequality. We can't fight climate change without dealing with inequality in our countries and between our countries. So the argument I'm making is really quite a hopeful one. I think if we do respond to climate change with the decisiveness that the scientist are telling us we do, if we respond in line with science, we have a chance to remake our economy, the global economy, for the better. But this is not going to be the kind of change that comes from above; it's going to be the kind of change that is demanded by mass movements from below.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: Well, Naomi, but one of the central theses of your book is that the inability, so far, of our society to be able to deal with climate change goes to the heart of the system.

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: And you say at one point, "we have not done the things that are necessary to lower emissions because those things fundamentally conflict with deregulated capitalism, the reigning ideology for the entire period we have been struggling to find a way out of this crisis."

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: Could you elaborate on that?

NAOMI KLEIN: So the book starts from the premise that the things we have done to try to address this crisis have failed. And this is not a controversial position. It can't be, when we look at the numbers. And the numbers don't lie. Governments started negotiating towards emission reduction in 1990. That's when the official negotiations started. And since that time, emissions have gone up by 61 percent globally. So, it's not just that we're not solving the problem, it's that we're making it a lot worse.

And in concrete terms, we see this every day. I mean, we see the contradictory messages of those alarming reports—ever more alarming reports—coming from scientists, on the one hand, and on the other hand, political leaders doubling down on the dirtiest and highest-risk fossil fuels. We're tearing up this continent to get at shale gas, to get at tar sands, to get at coal in mountains. You know, we're detonating mountains. We are just going for it on the most horrendous level. So, how do people even hold these contradictions in their mind?

So, there have been all these theories put forward about why "we," you know, have failed to deal with climate change. And you often hear theories related to human nature—you know, we just can't deal with a crisis that's far off in the future. Or the political system is blamed, that politicians think short-term and this is a long-term crisis.

I'm putting forward a different theory. And that theory is, OK, all of these other things play a part, but the biggest problem is that this crisis landed on our laps at the worst possible historical moment. James Hansen testified before Congress in 1988, and he said that he could now decisively make the link between carbon emissions and warming. That was the moment when we lost all plausible deniability. Scientists

knew beforehand, but this was the moment where it became the mainstream issue. That year, when the editors of Time magazine had to choose their "Man of the Year"—they were still calling it a "Man of the Year" then—they chose planet Earth and put planet Earth on the cover. That was the kind of consciousness that was rising.

So what I do in the book is I ask, OK, what else was happening in 1988? Well, the free trade deal between Canada and the U.S. was signed, a historic moment in the advance of corporate globalization. And the next year, the Berlin Wall collapsed. Francis Fukuyama is declaring history over. This was—you know, this, in many ways, is the story I told in *The Shock Doctrine* of how that triumphant ideology of market fundamentalism, as Joseph Stiglitz called it, swept the world. This was the moment when they declared victory, and there was no alternative, as Margaret Thatcher used to say.

So, the problem we had is that we have the essence of a collective problem. We can only solve it with real regulation, making the polluters pay, telling them they can't dig the carbon out of the ground. And we need to come together collectively to respond to this crisis. We need to invest in the public sphere. But it hits us at the precise moment when all of these things become nonstarters—you have to cut back the public sphere, you can't regulate, you have to embrace pure laissez-faire economics. And so, the argument I'm making is, we cannot solve this crisis without a profound ideological shift.

AMY GOODMAN: We're going to break and then come back to this discussion. Naomi Klein is with us for the hour. Her latest book, *This Changes Everything: Capitalism vs. the Climate*. Stay with us.

[break]

AMY GOODMAN: "The History of Climate Change Negotiations in 83 Seconds," and if you're listening on the radio, check it out at democracynow.org. This is Democracy Now!, democracynow.org, The War and Peace Report. I'm Amy Goodman, with Juan González.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: Well, when Democracy Now! was at the 2011 U.N. climate change conference in Durban, Amy spoke with Marc Morano, publisher of the Climate Depot, a website run by the climate denier group Committee for a Constructive Tomorrow. She asked him about President Obama's record on climate change.

MARC MORANO: His nickname is "George W. Obama." Obama's negotiator, Todd Stern, will be here today. They have kept the exact same principles and negotiating stance as President George Bush did for eight years. Obama has carried on Bush's legacy. So, as skeptics, we tip our hat to President Obama in helping crush and continue to defeat the United Nations process. Obama has been a great friend of global warming skeptics at these conferences. Obama has problems, you know, for us, because he's going through the EPA regulatory process, which is a grave threat. But in terms of this, President Obama could not have turned out better when it came to his lack of interest in the congressional climate bill and his lack of interest in the United Nations Kyoto Protocol. So, a job well done for President Obama.

AMY GOODMAN: That was Marc Morano of Climate Depot. Naomi Klein, in your book, *This Changes Everything*, you talk about him. In fact, you talk about a number of these groups. You open with them in a chapter called "The Right is Right."

NAOMI KLEIN: OK, well, let's be clear: They are not right about the science. They're wrong about the science. But I think what the right understands, and it's important to understand, that the climate change denier movement in the United States is entirely a product of the right-wing think tank infrastructure, the groups like Heritage Foundation, Cato Institute, American Enterprise Institute. The Heartland Institute, which people mostly only know in terms of the fact that it hosts these annual conferences of climate change skeptics or deniers, it's important to know that the Heartland Institute is first and foremost a free market think tank. It's not a scientific organization. It is—just like the other ones I listed, it exists to push the

ideology, the familiar ideology, of deregulation, privatization, cuts to government spending, and sort of triumphant free market, you know, backed with enormous corporate funding, because that's a very, very profitable ideology.

And when I interviewed the head of the Heartland Institute, Joe Bast, for this project, he was quite open that it wasn't that he found a problem with the science first. He said, when he looked at the science and listened to what scientists were saying about how much we need to cut our emissions, he realized that climate change could be—if it were true, it would justify huge amounts of government regulation, which he politically opposes. And so, he said, "So then we looked at the science, and we found these problems," right? So the issue is, they understand that if the science is true, their whole ideological project falls apart, because, as I said, you can't respond to a crisis this big, that involves transforming the foundation of our economy—our economy was built on fossil fuels, it is still fueled by fossil fuels. The idea in this—we hear this from a lot of liberal environmental groups, that we can change completely painlessly—just change your light bulbs, or just a gentle market mechanism, tax and relax, no problem. This is what they understand well, that in fact it requires transformative change. That change is abhorrent to them. They see it as the end of the world. It's not the end of the world, but it is the end of their world. It's the end of their ideological project. So, that is unthinkable, from Marc Morano's perspective and Joe Bast's perspective. So, rather than think about that, they deny the science.

So when I say "the right is right," I think that they have a better grasp on the political implications of the science, of what it means to how we need to change our economy and what the role of the public sphere is and the role of collective action is, better than some of those sort of big, slick, centrist green groups that are constantly trying to sell climate action as something entirely reconcilable with a booming capitalist economy. And we're always hearing about green growth and how it's great for business. You know, yeah, you can—there will be markets in green energy and so on, but other businesses are going to have to contract in ways that requires that strong intervention.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: But how do they then deal with nations like Germany, where there has been significant government intervention as a result of citizen protests—Germany is now close to 25 percent renewable energy—as a model where, even within the bounds of some kinds of regulated capitalism, it is possible to make substantial change?

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah. Yeah, and it's interesting. I have a fair bit about Germany in the book. And one of the arguments I make in the book is if you look at which countries have adopted climate action, you know, of a significant kind, there's a strong correlation between countries that have a social democratic tradition, that have not fully embraced deregulated capitalism, and are willing to intervene in these ways and protect the public sphere and that green transition. You see that in the Scandinavian countries. They've always had some of the greenest policies. I'm not saying they're perfect. You've got Norway, which has become a petro-state. But you also have some amazing examples like Denmark.

And then, with Germany, you know, Germany, even though it prescribes strict neoliberal austerity programs on countries like Greece and so on, Germany has never been a full neoliberal state. And this is the legacy of the Second World War. They have a strong social safety net. So, Merkel, under pressure from—you know, Germany has probably the strongest environmental movement in the world, and in particular, a very strong anti-nuclear movement. And they demanded this transition. And under pressure from the left opposition parties working with the government, they introduced this incredible energy transformation that has shown us, if we have the right policies in place—and they have a bold national feed-in tariff program that has encouraged decentralized renewable energy—we can change very quickly. And this is—the number you cited is correct: 25 percent of Germany's energy now comes from renewable energy, particularly wind and solar, much of it small-scale and decentralized.

But here's the catch, and this is where you see the clash of ideologies, even in a country like Germany that is willing to put these incentives in place. Germany's emissions have gone up, last year, the year before.

And that's kind of remarkable. How could that be in the midst of this transition? Well, it's going up because they have—Merkel has been unwilling to break the cardinal rule. She's been unwilling to say no to the fossil fuel companies. So, the coal lobby, which is very strong in Germany, has been permitted to continue to dig up lignite coal, which is the dirtiest of the coals, and to export that energy, even though the demand for it is going down in Germany.

So this is why it isn't just about saying yes, although it is about saying yes to the energy that we want and putting those right incentives and policies in place. We also have to say no to the kinds of energy that we don't want. And this is why—you look at Obama, you know, who just for three years has been spinning his wheels over the Keystone XL pipeline. He just can't bring himself to just say no to this project that, you know, has so many liabilities and isn't necessary to fuel the U.S. economy. But it just seems like the word "no" just can't seem to escape his mouth. And this is what it means to have politicians who are products, really, of this deregulatory age.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: But meanwhile, as he talks about global warming, under Obama, as you note in your book, there's been an explosion in oil production right here in the United States and the enormous amount of carrying of rail freight that now carries oil across the country.

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah, at tremendous risk, yeah. I mean, this is the point, is it's not—you know, we sometimes talk about business as usual or doing nothing. It's worse than that. We're doing exactly the wrong things. We are doubling down. We're in the midst of a fossil fuel frenzy in North America.

AMY GOODMAN: Let's go to President Obama. This is him in 2012 when he appeared in Cushing, Oklahoma, to announce his support for TransCanada to build the southern leg of its Keystone oil pipeline from Oklahoma to Texas.

PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: Over the last three years, I've directed my administration to open up millions of acres for gas and oil exploration across 23 different states. We're opening up more than 75 percent of our potential oil resources offshore. We've quadrupled the number of operating rigs to a record high. We've added enough new oil and gas pipeline to encircle the Earth and then some. So, we are drilling all over the place, right now. That's not the challenge. That's not the problem. In fact, the problem in a place like Cushing is that we're actually producing so much oil and gas in places like North Dakota and Colorado, that we don't have enough pipeline capacity to transport all of it to where it needs to go.

AMY GOODMAN: That was President Obama 2012 in Oklahoma announcing his support for TransCanada. Now, Naomi, you're a Canadian journalist and activist. Your first arrest was outside President Obama's house, the White House, protesting the Keystone XL. Talk about what he's saying there.

NAOMI KLEIN: Well, it's interesting. And, you know, yeah, I was arrested with more than a thousand other people. It was a huge act of civil disobedience. And, you know, it's so interesting because we need huge infrastructure investments, and we're making the wrong ones, you know? I mean, if we're going to double down on fracking in the way that Obama's policies have advocated, we're building—they want to build these huge export terminals. These infrastructure projects are billion-dollar projects, right? This is money that isn't going into the renewable energy infrastructure that we need to roll out—wind and solar. And we know we can do it. I mean, we have research out of Stanford University by Mark Jacobson that is saying 100 percent renewable energy is within our grasp. But, you know, when you double down on the fossil fuels, particularly natural gas, then it starts to compete with renewable energy. It's not just, you know, an all of the above. It takes away the market. And we are at this moment when we're seeing the tremendous potential of these technologies. And there's sort of a temptation among free marketers in this country to just sort of say, "Look, you know, the price of solar is going down fast, we can just leave this to the market." The problem is, these fossil fuel companies are so rich, they don't just have money to burn, they have money to bribe. They have basically bought the whole political system, so they have the ability to undercut the rollout in all kinds of ways, you know, using groups like ALEC, imposing taxes on renewable energy.

So, they're fighting this at every turn, precisely because decentralized renewable energy, it's really a different economic model than fossil fuels. Fossil fuels are—they're inherently centralized. And you need a lot of infrastructure to get them out, and you need a lot of infrastructure to transport it, as Obama was explaining in front of all that pipe, right? Whereas renewable energy is everywhere. You know, the wind and sun and waves, they're free. So almost anybody can become an energy provider. And this is, you know, the German model of the feed-in tariff. You can feed into the grid and become an energy producer, as well as provide your own energy. So, what the big fossil fuel companies understand is that this means that millions of people become competitors with them. And some people talk about fossil fuels as the energy of the 1 percent or even less, and renewable energy, if done right, if done in a really decentralized way, can be the energy of the 99 percent. And that's what's exciting.

And that's an example of how responding to this crisis can—we can deal with our two biggest crises, or two of our biggest crises, at the same time: We can avert catastrophic climate change, and we can tackle inequality. And this is the reason why Germany has been so successful, is that because it's decentralized—and this is the piece of the transformation we often don't hear about, is that there have been 900 energy cooperatives created. Hundreds of cities and towns have decided to take back control over their energy grids from private operators that had privatized them in the '90s, so that they not only have renewable energy, but they keep the proceeds from that, they capture that, rather than having it go into the pockets of shareholders, and they use it to fund their services. So the money stays close to home. So, you're fighting austerity, you're fighting inequality, and you're fighting climate change at the same time.

So, there are all these sort of triple wins when we tackle this crisis. You see it in the food system, too. You know, we would decentralize our food system, have healthier food and lower emissions. And, you know, it is good for the economy. It's just a different kind of economy. And the old economy is so profitable for the few, that they're trying to block action at every turn. This is why it's not hopeful, by the way, when Ban Ki-moon and the U.N. announce how great it is that they have, you know, hundreds of Fortune 500 CEOs coming to the U.N. summit to solve climate change for us, because this is not the model that they are going to be interested in.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: I wanted to ask you about the whole issue of how corporations are trying to, in essence, co-opt or take over the environmental movement. You deal a lot with the Big Greens, as opposed to the people's environmental movement, specifically this whole issue of offsets and of some of the major organizations, like the Wildlife Conservation Society and others, actually being involved in helping to promote exploitation under the guise of environmental enlightenment.

NAOMI KLEIN: Well, OK, so in the '70s and '80s—well, up until 1980, really, when the Superfund Act was introduced, the motto was "polluter pays," right? It was, "OK, you're the one doing the polluting. There's going to be penalties, and you're going to pay for the cleanup." That was the working principle. And that was the thinking behind many of the big environmental wins of the '60s and '70s. What happened in the '80s is that there was a shift, an ideological shift, along with the broader ideological shift in society in the era of Reagan and Thatcher. There was a shift from "polluter pays" to "polluter plays," OK, to "Let's sit across the table from Wal-Mart and McDonald's and Shell and BP," and to think, "And we'll come up with a solution together. We will convince them that acting to protect the environment helps their bottom line, is good for business." And, you know, this was—what I get into in the book is trying to understand how this could have happened, right? Because it was a really bad idea.

You know, you can point, for instance, to Wal-Mart. It is true that Wal-Mart saves money by introducing energy efficiency, and it is true that Wal-Mart will do just about anything to save money. We know that about Wal-Mart. But we also know that Wal-Mart will expand as rapidly as it possibly can. So at the same time as its energy intensity has gone down, because it has introduced efficiency measures, with the help of groups like the Environmental Defense Fund, with whom it has partners, its emissions are still soaring because it is expanding so rapidly. So, the net effect is still significantly negative, but yet they get held up by many of

these green groups as, you know, a sustainability leader.

AMY GOODMAN: You break news in *This Changes Everything*, like, for example, talk about what The Nature Conservancy is doing drilling.

NAOMI KLEIN: Mm-hmm, yeah. The Nature Conservancy is—it's the largest green group in the world. They operate in dozens of countries. And I was interested in them because, you know, as Juan was mentioning, offsets. Because of this partnership model that took hold in the 1980s, when attention turned to solving the climate crisis at the end of the '80s and in the '90s, the question that was asked was, "OK, how do we solve this crisis in a way that is win-win?" And "win-win" meant in a way that is good for business and good for the environment. That was the starting assumption, right? So, what's good for business is to be allowed to continue emitting, if you offset those emissions somewhere in the world. And sometimes this theory is called the "low-hanging fruit theory," meaning let's do the easy stuff first, OK? The problem with this theory is that essentially what these groups are saying is it's hard to take on Shell, you know? It's hard to take on BP. It's hard to take on the big coal companies. And it's easy to buy, you know, land from indigenous people, who aren't politically powerful, on the other side of the world and make them promises about how it's going to make them rich. And so, there have been scandal after scandal in the carbon offset industry, where people essentially feel their land is being grabbed. Once you decide that a forest is going to be a carbon offset, is going to be a sink, then somebody needs to guard those trees, so people lose access to their land. There's all kinds of problems with the offset model. But the biggest problem—because I do think there can be a progressive way of compensating some of the poorest people in the world for doing what they're already doing, which is protecting the land. I think there is a way of doing that. The problem is, it shouldn't be happening so that, you know, a coal company here can continue burning coal and giving kids asthma in some of the poorest areas in this country. So the problem is the interplay between allowing the emitters to continue doing what they're doing and using that as—and using offsets as a rationale.

So, yeah, The Nature Conservancy has been probably the world's biggest advocate of the offset model as a solution to climate change. And I was preparing for an interview with their top official, who ended up canceling at the last minute. But over the course of this research, I came across a story from 2003 in *The Washington Post* about how there was all kinds of sort of dodgy things happening at The Nature Conservancy with land deals. And one of the things that *The Washington Post* discovered was that on a piece of land in Texas that The Nature Conservancy had acquired, actually been donated by Mobil at the time, called Mobil now, ExxonMobil, to save one of the most endangered species in the world, the Attwater prairie chicken, after they had taken control of the land, they had decided to drill for gas on that land themselves. And there was a big scandal about this, and The Nature Conservancy announced that they wouldn't be doing this anymore. You would think that an environmental group would not have to say that they won't drill for gas on a piece of land that is supposedly a preserve for one of the most endangered species, but they actually made that a policy.

But there was some small print, which is, you know, "unless we have to respect an ongoing contract." So, my researcher, Rajiv Sicora, came across this document online which was a paper that was presented at a petroleum conference, an engineering conference, that was quite recent, where somebody from The Nature Conservancy was talking about how they had a well in Texas and they were claiming that this was the most sort of environmentally sensitive oil well. And it became clear, as we dug into it, that they were still drilling for oil, and in fact had drilled a new well. So, yeah, that, to me, was one of the most shocking revelations in the book, was to discover that the largest green group in the world itself is operating or has contracted out oil and gas drilling on a nature preserve.

AMY GOODMAN: And the species have disappeared from that area.

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah, all the Attwater prairie chickens are gone from that piece of land. And according to The Nature Conservancy, there's no connection between their drilling activity and the disappearance of the birds. There's a debate about that among conservationists. But, you know, the very idea that a group that is

supposedly fighting climate change could itself be drilling for oil and gas—they started off mostly getting gas, and now it's mostly oil coming out of the well. You know, even—they say that they're locked in, the contract requires that they do that. And I guess the question I'm asking is, you know: Have they really fought as hard as they possibly can to get out of that contract?

But more importantly, what does this tell us about how close parts of the environmental movement have become to the oil and gas industry? Now, this is really changing, and now we have a whole new wave of environmental activists who are demanding the divestment of fossil fuel holdings from their schools, their religious institutions, their cities, so there is a whole new wave of environmentalism that is, I think, partially responding to these cozy relationships of the Big Green groups with the polluters.

AMY GOODMAN: We're going to talk about what's happening inside the U.N. on Tuesday and then what's happening outside, this weekend, with what's expected to be the largest climate march in history, when we come back. We're talking to the journalist and activist Naomi Klein. Her new book is out this week; it's called **This Changes Everything: Capitalism vs. the Climate**. Stay with us

2014, Sept 18 **Naomi Klein on the People's Climate March & the Global Grassroots Movement Fighting Fossil Fuels**

<http://publish.dvllabs.com/democracynow/ipod/dn2014-0918.mp4>

At least 100,000 people are expected to take part in the People's Climate March in New York City on Sunday. More than 2,000 "People's Climate" events are planned worldwide in 150 countries. And on Monday, climate activists are planning to stage a mass sit-in in the financial district in Manhattan in an action dubbed "Flood Wall Street." The actions are taking place ahead of Tuesday's one-day United Nations Climate Summit. We speak to acclaimed journalist Naomi Klein, author of the new book, "This Changes Everything: Capitalism vs. the Climate," about the upcoming climate activism as well as the global grassroots movement dubbed "Blockadia," which is fighting fossil fuel extraction from Canada to Nigeria to Greece.

Transcript

AMY GOODMAN: "Do It Now! Sing for the Climate." Special thanks to Be Electric Studios for that footage of climate activists building a 300-foot banner for Monday's "Flood Wall Street" action, which we will talk about in a moment. This is Democracy Now!, democracynow.org, The War and Peace Report. I'm Amy Goodman, with Juan González. Our guest for the hour is Naomi Klein, yes, author of No Logo, author of The Shock Doctrine: The Rise of Disaster Capitalism. Now she's out with a new book—seems like you get a seven-year itch, Naomi. Every seven years—2000, No Logo; 2007, Shock Doctrine; now it's 2014, This Changes Everything. Juan?

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: Well, Naomi, I wanted to follow up on what we were talking about just before the break, this new generation of activists who are now challenging the old Big Green establishment groups. You write in the book, "Resistance to high-risk extreme extraction is building a global, grassroots and broad-based network the likes of which the environmental movement has rarely seen." And you give it a name: "Blockadia."

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah, that's—

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: Could you talk about Blockadia and what it means?

NAOMI KLEIN: Well, "Blockadia" is a term that was first coined by activists in fighting the Keystone XL pipeline in Texas, that piece of pipe that Obama was proudly standing in front of, because that—they did go ahead and build that, and people tried to stop it with their bodies. And they called their camps "Blockadia." And what I argue in the book is Blockadia is really this transnational space, roving space, where regular people are stepping in where our leaders are failing, and they are trying to stop this era of extreme extraction with their bodies or in the courts, particularly indigenous people, First Nations people, using their traditional land rights, their treaties, their aboriginal title, to take on massive corporations and to take on the Canadian government, the U.S. government—and winning some really significant victories. You know, this often gets called NIMBYism, you know, "not in my backyard." But the French anti-fracking activists have a slogan, "Ni ici, ni ailleurs," "Not here or anywhere," right? And that's really the spirit of it. It's drawing the line. And you often hear that slogan whether people are fighting pipelines or fighting fracking or coal export terminals up and down the Pacific Northwest.

And there have been real victories. Whole countries have passed fracking moratoriums, like France. You have the province of Quebec, New York state, which is fiercely—people are fiercely trying to defend the fracking moratorium. You have really strong movements opposing LNG export terminals. And, you know, there's—what people are doing is identifying the choke points. So, you know, a mine gets approved in Montana to open up a huge new coal mine, but because demand for coal is dropping in the U.S., this coal needs to be exported, so you've got to build a railway, you've got to build huge export terminals. And at

every point there in this process, there's huge opposition. And so, you know, in the book, I write about the Otter Creek mine in Montana. You know, it may very well not get built, not because they were able to block the mining permit, but because they're blocking the construction of the railway and the export. It's very hard to get the coal out, because all along the Pacific Northwest you have such strong opposition.

And this is—you know, it's really the flipside of this carbon boom, this fossil fuel frenzy. These companies have become so ravenous to get every last drop of fossil fuels out of the ground, they're going to places and they're having to go to places where they don't have as much political power as the places they're used to operating. Right? So, you go to the Pacific Northwest, it's not Texas, you know? I mean, these are places where there's a very, very strong and militant environmental tradition. This is where Earth First! was born. This is a history of tree-sits and blocking logging that way. You also have resurgent indigenous rights movements.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: And you mention also the fight to preserve the forests in Greece that's become a national cause in Greece.

NAOMI KLEIN: Oh, yeah. I mean, this is something we filmed for the documentary that my partner, Avi Lewis, is going to be coming out in a few months with, a documentary that in many ways is a companion to the book—it's not an adaptation of the book—because we did it sort of in a parallel process. And, you know, I'm really excited about the documentary, because in a way that a book can't, the documentary really shows the vibrancy of these resistance movements, and people are speaking with their own powerful voices.

And one of the most inspiring movements that we were lucky enough to document was this movement against a massive open-pit gold mine in northern Greece, in Halkidiki. And it's become a huge national symbol. And it's part of—you know, I think it's more than a resistance movement against fossil fuels. I think it's a resistance movement against the whole logic of extractivism, the whole idea that we need to create sacrifice zones in order to have a healthy economy. And the shift is towards a regenerative economy, an economy that takes care of the land, that doesn't—in which no one, no people, and no place needs to be sacrificed in the name of progress. And it was extraordinarily powerful to see that. And it was also—you know, Greece is the country being hit hardest by austerity. And in the name of economic crisis, we hear about how pensions are being cut, salaries are being cut. But it's also the natural environment that is being thrown under the bus in Greece in the name of economic progress. And in the face of that, people are redefining what progress means.

AMY GOODMAN: Let's talk about what's happening, first on Sunday, then on Monday. It's being billed as the largest climate march in history. Talk about what's happening here in New York and also around the world.

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah. Well, I mean, I'm really excited about the march on Sunday, and I'm sure some of the core local organizers could talk about it better than me, but I've been lucky, you know, to—as I'm on the board of 350 and, you know, been—

AMY GOODMAN: Board of 350 is—350 is the environmental organization 350.org.

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah, and 350 is one of the—part of the coalition behind the march. But there's just hundreds of groups. And I think the most exciting part of it, to me, is that I think this is going to be the most diverse environmental demonstration maybe ever seen in North America. And I think that this idea of climate activism being this thing that like only sort of slick NGOs do—and you've seen this at the summits—and it's really not going to be like that, I mean.

And I think it's really significant that this is happening in post-Hurricane Sandy New York, because there's the connection between the need, the imperative, to fight inequality, to have economic and racial justice,

and to fight climate change. The connections between these projects and these values were so clear in the midst and in the aftermath of Hurricane Sandy, because you saw how different the experience of the storm was if you were wealthy and had had private responses, or if you were relying on the state or if you were in public housing. It was an entirely different experience. And so, I think, for a lot of people in this city, these are not abstract issues. They live it. You know, they know it.

And so, there's going to be a different kind of climate movement on the streets, and it's going to be a lot of people who are not sort of looking down at the globe from space. You know, I have a part of the book about the problem of the imagery of the environmental movement being this—the astronaut's-eye view of the Earth. And, you know, it's a beautiful blue planet, but it gets really fuzzy and abstract from way up there, from—it really is—you know, it's the God's-eye view of the world. This is a movement that is really—it's down in the dirt, and it's—we're going to see Blockadia in force. We're going to see the anti-fracking movement, the anti-pipeline movement, the people fighting mountaintop-removal coal mining, people with refineries in their backyards. So, yeah, there are going to be NGOs participating, but I think what's really different about this is it's just going to feel a lot more immediate.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: Well, in line with the breadth of the movement, as it's growing, I was—in my column in the Daily News yesterday, I reported on a lot of the unions, the labor unions, that are organizing people to come out.

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah, yeah.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: 1199, the hospital workers, is bringing several thousand of its members. And I asked one of the leaders, "Why are you getting involved in this at this stage?" And they said, "Well, we've got members. We've got members who come from Guyana who are facing rising sea levels in their country. We've got lots—thousands of Filipino nurses that are part of our union, and they've just had to deal with what happened in their home country with the typhoon. And so that this is something that strikes directly at our members and their understanding of what's happening in the world."

NAOMI KLEIN: It's also—I think it's even more than that, which is that if we're serious about getting off fossil fuels and having a more deliberate economy where we can track those parts of our economy that are responsible for soaring emissions, then we need to also expand those parts of our economy that are lower emissions. And that includes healthcare. That includes education. And these are sectors that are in crisis, where we need these massive investments. So, I think climate change, if we're going to respond to it seriously, because it is a health crisis, and also because this one of those—this is a real win-win, where we can invest in services that make people's lives better and are also low-carbon. I think that's why healthcare workers get this. They get this. But so do transit workers' unions. And, you know, obviously there's tensions within the labor movement, and we have a long way to go, but that's going to be a big part of the march.

AMY GOODMAN: I want to ask about what's happening on Monday, the direct action called "Flood Wall Street." This is one of the action's organizers, David Solnit.

DAVID SOLNIT: Now I think the next step for the climate movement is realizing that the fossil fuel industry is just the tip of the iceberg of the economic system that is hardwired to produce climate change, be bad for democracy, bad for community. So we're going to take the next step during this—the day after the march and actually take it to Wall Street and say we actually need to replace this whole economic system of corporate capitalism. We have the pieces to replace it. We have the know-how. We have the alternatives. We just need to build a big loud movement that has the capacity to actually shift and make the changes needed to address climate change. So we're going to be on Wall Street with thousands of people, and a lot of us are going to sit down and refuse to move, even if that means risking arrest. And we invite everybody to join us, if you care about the future, care about the climate and care about democracy. [video courtesy of Be Electric Studios]

AMY GOODMAN: So, that is Monday, after the big march on Sunday. And that's Wall Street. You're actually going to be speaking there, Naomi.

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah, I'm going to be speaking there when people start gathering at Battery Park. And, you know, Sunday is a big family-friendly march, and everybody, I think, will feel safe participating in that. And there is a desire to—and I think a big message of Sunday's march is urgency, you know? There's going to be a sounding of the climate alarm, and precisely because of what we were talking about earlier, because of this impossible cognitive dissonance that we are living, where on the one hand we're being told this is emergency, and on the other hand we're being told to double down on the cause of the emergency. So, because we don't have climate leaders gathering at the U.N., we have climate failures gathering at the U.N. And some of them aren't even showing up, like my prime minister. Stephen Harper is such a climate criminal that he's decided to skip the whole thing, and he's just showing up for the dinner afterwards. So, there's going to be that sense of urgency expressed in the streets.

And then, I think people are going to take it one step further on Monday with Flood Wall Street, and also, as David said, you know, take it to the people who are really responsible for blocking progress, and make those connections. You know, a lot of the people who are involved in organizing Flood Wall Street, I first met them in the context of Occupy Wall Street. And they understand that this is an economic system that is sacrificing people in the name of profit. They already knew that. What climate change tells us is this same logic of profit and growth above all else is sacrificing the very life systems that we all depend on. And that's an obvious connection to make, and it supercharges our movements with existential urgency. And, you know, this isn't about a brand new movement; it's about all of our movements coming together.

AMY GOODMAN: Naomi Klein, I want to thank you for being with us. We're doing part two of this interview, and then we'll be broadcasting it on Democracy Now! Naomi's new book is out, *This Changes Everything: Capitalism vs. the Climate*. **Democracy Now!** will be covering the climate march on Sunday from 10:30 to 1:30 Eastern time. Go to democracynow.org.

2014, Sept 18

Naomi Klein on Motherhood, Geoengineering, Climate Debt & the Fossil Fuel Divestment Movement

Extended web-exclusive interview with Naomi Klein about her new book, **This Changes Everything: Capitalism vs. the Climate.**

Watch Part 1 & Part 2 of our extended interview with Naomi Klein.

AMY GOODMAN: This is Democracy Now!, democracynow.org, The War and Peace Report. I'm Amy Goodman, with Juan González. Our guest for the hour is Naomi Klein, journalist, best-selling author, activist. Her new book is called *This Changes Everything: Capitalism vs. the Climate*. Her past books, *No Logo* and *The Shock Doctrine: The Rise of Disaster Capitalism*. As we move into this weekend of climate activism, hundreds of events taking place not only in New York, where a U.N. climate summit will happen on Tuesday, but a major climate people's march will be taking place on [Sunday], "Flood Wall Street," a different kind of activism, taking place on Monday. But, Naomi, I wanted to go back to the beginning of *This Changes Everything*, because part of the beauty and the power of the book are the specifics, the details. You begin on a hot tarmac with a U.S. Airways plane. Tell us this story.

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah. Well, this was just, you know, a news story I came across while I was researching the book. And it was two summers ago, record-breaking heat in Washington, D.C., and it was so hot that the tarmac melted. And somebody posted a picture online of—he said, "This is my plane." And the wheels were stuck in the tarmac, and they couldn't get the plane out. So, everybody had to get off the plane, because they were hoping that by making the plane lighter, that they would be able to pull it out of the melting tarmac. But it didn't work, so they got, you know, a more powerful tow truck to pull it out, and they finally got it out. And so I start the book with this story. Everybody gets back on the plane, flies to their destination. None of the reports mention climate change or the fact that there could possibly be a link between emissions, like from flying, and the reason the tarmac is melting. It's just a sort of quirky story.

But, you know, what I say at the start of the book is we are all metaphorically passengers on that flight. You know, we're all doing—you know, faced with this crisis, experiencing it and doing the very things that are making it worse. And that's what our governments are doing when they move from conventional oil to tar sands oil and when they move from conventional natural gas to frack natural gas, which has higher rates of methane leakage. We're all passengers on that flight. So that's why I started it, because I think it sort of shows us where we're at.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: Well, you also say that we shouldn't delude ourselves to thinking that we can achieve some kind of a transformation in our use of energy without reordering the way we live our lives, and it's going to cost. How do you see paying for the transition that has to occur?

NAOMI KLEIN: Well, I mean, we often here, like, "We're broke." It's not that we're broke. It's that the money is in the wrong places, and we're not willing to go get it. We have politicians that aren't willing to go after the money that's stuck at the top of the economic pyramid. And we have corporations who fight every attempt to do so tooth and nail. So, you know, I don't like giving a sort of exact estimate for how much it would cost to seriously adapt to and mitigate, to use the U.N.—you know, lower our emissions and deal with the heavy weather already upon us. There's a lot of estimates out there. But, you know, at the low end, people talk in the hundreds of billions; at the higher end, a couple trillion dollars globally, that we need to do the transition away from fossil fuels and deal with the reality of climate change that's already locked in.

So I do, yeah, a rundown in the book of some of the places where we could get the money, like a financial transaction tax, which just slows down a part of our economy that is generally just sort of fueling mindless consumption, just money making money. And obviously we need to slash fossil fuel subsidies. We can get money from cutting back military spending. We could have a billionaires' tax. We can have a carbon tax. So, yeah, I make a list, and, sure enough, you know, it easily adds up to a couple trillion dollars. And so, the

issue is not that the money's not there; the issue is that we have politicians who are not willing to go after that money.

And that comes back to the central thesis of the book, which is, we can talk all we want about how we have the technology and how we know what the policies are, but if we're not willing to have a full-throated ideological debate about what values we want to govern our societies, whether we believe that good can come out of collective action, whether we believe in defending the public sphere, then we're not going to get anywhere. And that's what I find hopeful, because there are so many movements that also are in the midst of defending the public sphere against, you know, brutal attacks. And that, if we are willing to link climate action with that broader ideological struggle, it'll build our coalitions for us.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: Well, you also talk about the divestment movement on the—and you mentioned it briefly earlier. Talk about more about that. That's really grown—

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: —very rapidly now, and the impact that that's having.

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah. Well, the fossil fuel divestment movement, I don't think I've ever seen a student movement spread so quickly. And, you know, there were a few campaigns on a couple of U.S. campuses, like Swarthmore, where there was—there were divestment movements specifically focusing on coal. And those predate this sort of national, and now international, fossil fuel divestment movement, which really comes out of the research that was first published three years ago by British researchers at the—it's called the Carbon Tracker project, where they did this breakthrough research, where—you know, we know the fossil fuel companies have a business model to continue to grow, and that's antithetical to climate action. We already knew that. But they crunched the numbers in this extraordinary way, where they said, "OK, we know that there is such a thing as a global carbon budget." And Bill McKibben, you know, popularized this research in **Rolling Stone**, and then with the **Do the Math tour**, in a way that I think just woke people up, you know, in a way that hadn't happened before.

And what Carbon Tracker showed and what Bill laid out so well, right, is we know how much carbon we can emit and still give ourselves a 50-50 chance of staying below two degrees' warming. The science on this isn't controversial. And what the Carbon Tracker people did is they added up what the fossil fuel industry already had in reserves. Now, these are the pools of carbon they've already laid claim to, that are already counted towards their stock price. They've essentially already spent the money, right? And that added up to five times more carbon than our atmosphere can absorb and still have that chance of staying below two degrees' warming. Two degrees is already a dangerous target. You know, as you guys know, it's very controversial at U.N. meetings when they set that two-degree target. You know, I remember in Copenhagen—and, Amy, I'm sure you remember, as well—African delegates were saying that this was a death sentence. But this is what our governments agreed to. The U.S. government agreed to it. The Canadian government agreed to it. And yet, the fossil fuel companies are planning to dig up five times more carbon than that. So they've essentially declared war on life on Earth, and they're also saying, "We don't believe these politicians are serious when they set that two-degree target." So, that's where the fossil fuel divestment movement comes in, which is, it's clear that, left to their own devices, you know, they will bring us towards this catastrophic warming.

AMY GOODMAN: I wanted to go back to 2010. You know, we've been covering these climate summits. I remember, of course, seeing you in Copenhagen. We were in Cancún, in Bolivia for the People's Summit in Cochabamba. We were in Durban, Doha, this year in Warsaw, Poland. We're heading to Lima, Peru, next year in Paris. In 2010, Democracy Now! interviewed Bolivia's lead climate negotiator at the time, Angélica Navarro, when we broadcast from the summit just outside Cochabamba in Bolivia. She explained what the climate debt is and why it's needed.

ANGÉLICA NAVARRO: What we are trying to explain to the developed countries is that they have to think their actions in—also having into account the consequences to the others. And what are these consequences is that peasants are suffering more of drought or that there are more typhoons, or there are more floodings. How can you express to a farmer that has lost, as I just heard, part of their crops due to drought, and that it's not the responsibility of them? How can I explain them that it's something very far in the north that is causing this increase in drought? We call it that they have to have a debt and that they have to repay this debt. But I want to reassure the public it's not necessarily a financial debt. It's an emission debt. So you have to take out of the atmosphere the CO2 that you have put in and that is creating this problem to this farmer. That is the debt.

AMY GOODMAN: That was Bolivia's lead climate negotiator at the time, Angélica Navarro, in Cochabamba for the World People's Summit on Climate Change, a sort of non-official summit. Now, you quote Angélica Navarro in your book. Talk about climate debt.

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah, well, in the introduction to the book, I talk about meeting Angélica in 2009. I was working on a story, and somebody suggested I meet with her. And she put the argument to me about climate debt. I had never heard it before. And she described it in this incredibly hopeful and inspiring way. She said, if we take out—if we respond to climate change based on principles of equality and historical responsibility, which basically means that the people who got a 200-year head start on emitting have to lead, then it is a chance for what Angélica described as a "Marshall Plan for planet Earth," that it really would close the inequality gap between North and South.

And, you know, there's an intimate connection between climate change and colonialism, and the debts of colonialism and the debts of slavery, because it was when Europeans adopted the steam engine that the colonial project was sort of superpowered, because it meant—it seemed at the time that they had transcended the natural world. You know, the ships no longer depended on the winds, and the factories no longer depended on the vagaries of water levels to fuel their water wheels. They seemed invincible. But climate change is a delayed response, right? Because all of that—all of the time that coal was being burned, since the Industrial Revolution, it's been building up in the atmosphere. So, it wasn't that we had transcended our relationship with the natural world. It was just that it took a while for the world to talk back. And now it's roaring. And that is climate change.

So, there is—it's really not a different story than the original story of how our world became so unequal. It's all the same story. It's another chapter in that story. And, you know, I'm arguing, Angélica has long been arguing, that we can respond to climate change in a way that heals those ancient wounds. And, to me, that is an amazingly inspiring and hopeful vision.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: You also talk about others who have other ideas of how to deal with the problem—geoengineering—

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: —and one conference that you attended during your research on geoengineering. Could you talk about that?

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah, well, look, the point is, is that we have been emitting now for so long. We have been going in the wrong direction now for so long that, as Michael Mann says, the Penn State climate scientist who wrote *The Hockey Stick and the Climate Wars*, there's a procrastination penalty. So, we're now in a situation where, you know, if we had started in 1990 or 1992, we maybe could have done this gradually. But now, we have to do it so radically that it requires things like what we've been talking about—contracting, deliberately contracting parts of our economies, these huge investments in the public sphere. And this is so unthinkable to our economic elites that we are now increasingly hearing, "Well, it's inevitable, and because it's inevitable, we need to start thinking about these technofixes, like geoengineering." So, I mean, to me,

it's very telling that it is more thinkable to turn down the sun than it is to think about changing capitalism.
And—

AMY GOODMAN: What do you mean, "turn down the sun"?

NAOMI KLEIN: Well, so, one of the geoengineering methods that gets taken most seriously is called "solar radiation management." Solar radiation management, managing the sun. So, what you—so the idea is that you would spray sulfur aerosols into the stratosphere, then they would reflect some of the sun's rays back to space and dim the sun and cool the Earth. So, climate change is caused by pollution in the lower atmosphere, and so they're saying that the solution to that pollution is pollution in the stratosphere.

And, you know, it's really frightening when you look at some of the modeling that is being done about what the possible downsides of this could be. And this is sometimes called the Pinatubo Option, because it would simulate the effects of a very powerful volcano. And we know that after these eruptions, these very powerful volcanoes, that send sulfur into the stratosphere, we do see cooler winters. And Mount Pinatubo is an example of that. But we also see interference with rainfall, interference with monsoons in Africa, in Asia. So we're talking about potentially playing with the water source, which in turn plays with the food source, for billions of people. And there's no way to test it. So, some models show this is very dangerous. Other models show that it can be managed. But the point is, you can't test something like this without deploying it. You know, you can test how—you could talk about nozzle test: You can make sure you can actually spray it. But the point is, we would not know how this would interact with an incredibly complex climate system until it was actually deployed. So you'd have to essentially use all of the world's population as guinea pigs.

And I think what's—you know, this is why I say this changes everything. There are no nonradical options left. And this is why I think climate change is particularly hard for centrist serious liberals to wrap their minds around, because they're always looking for those nonradical solutions, you know, splitting the difference and something that will seem reasonable and politically sellable. The problem is, we've got climate change which will radically change our physical world, or geoengineering, which is, you know, a deliberate attempt to radically change our physical world with absolutely unknown consequences and untestable consequences. Or we, rather than try to change the laws of nature, try to change what we actually can, which is the laws of economics.

AMY GOODMAN: I mean, you have the Heartland Institute describing geoengineering as, quote, "much less expensive than seeking to stem temperature rise solely through the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions"; Cato Institute arguing "geo-engineering is more cost-effective than emissions controls altogether"; Hudson Institute saying that geoengineering, quote, "could obviate the majority of the need for carbon cuts and enable us to avoid lifestyle changes." The very point you're making.

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah, so, I mean, some of the scientists who are at the heart of this research—you know, people like David Keith or Ken Caldeira—they would say, "We absolutely do not see this as an alternative to emission reduction. We see this as potentially a stopgap measure." And you can understand why many climate scientists, who have been sounding the alarm now for decades, saying, you know, "We are in huge trouble. We need to cut emissions," seeing no action—in fact, seeing us going in the wrong direction—would be desperate enough to start trying to propose these technofixes.

AMY GOODMAN: What's wrong with seeding the clouds over drought areas?

NAOMI KLEIN: Look, all of this is a huge gamble. But what you're talking about is—you know, you're talking about a regional response. And actually, that's not entirely new. There have been these attempts to do regional weather modification. Actually, it's banned in international treaties, because it was the first—the sort of first wave of discussion around this was not about responding to drought, it was using climate engineering as a weapon of war. And this was actually attempted during the Vietnam War, to try to flood deliberately the Ho Chi Minh Trail. So, there's a whole Cold War history around weather modification. So

this is a new incarnation of an old story and the idea that this could be done at a global scale as a climate fix. But, of course, once you unleash these technologies, you don't—it's not well-meaning climate scientists who decide how it's going to be deployed. It's governments who decide how it's going to be deployed. And you can easily see a scenario where, you know, say, the U.S. and Europe do a sort of emergency geoengineering response that has a negative effect on China and India, and they then retaliate with their own.

You know, the point is, I don't think this is around the corner, but I do think it underscores just how radical a situation we find ourselves in, that serious people are seriously discussing this as if it's sane. It's not. And that should prompt us, I think, to talk about much saner solutions, like, hey, we can switch to 100 percent renewable energy. We have examples like Germany. They're heading for 60 percent renewable energy in a decade. You know, why don't we do that instead, because it's a lot lower risk? It does require us to challenge the—it does require that we have this ideological war, that we take on corporate power, which is why it is so important that we're having actions like Flood Wall Street and that we have a new generation of climate activists that understand who the actual barriers to climate action is, because I think most people would rather put a solar panel on their roof than turn down the sun.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: Well, Naomi, one of the climate scientists cited in your book is Kevin Anderson of the Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research. Democracy Now! spoke to him last year at the U.N. climate summit in Warsaw.

KEVIN ANDERSON: In the short term, the only way we can get our emissions down is to actually reduce the level of energy we consume. Now, we can also put low-carbon energy supply in place, you know, power stations that are renewable—wind, even nuclear, as well. These are all very low-carbon power stations and other energy sources. But they take a long time to put in place. And we now—we've squandered the opportunity we had to make those changes. So, we still need to do that, but it's going to take us 20, 30 years to do that. So what we need to do in the interim is to reduce the amount of energy we consume, and therefore reduce the amount of carbon dioxide that we emit.

And the levels of reduction we now need in carbon dioxide, and therefore energy consumption, are such that for many of us—for the wealthy of us, certainly—we can't carry on as we're going now. So we'll have to consume less. And there's absolutely no way out of that. The maths are absolutely clear.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: That was Kevin Anderson of the Tyndall Centre, who took a 25-hour ride by train to get to the conference.

NAOMI KLEIN: I quote Kevin a lot in the book, and Alice Bows. You know, their research is really hard to argue with, and they're the ones who are saying that we need to cut our emissions by 8 to 10 percent a year in the industrialized world. And that is not compatible with the economic system that we have. The only precedent for emission reductions at that level in a sustained way is in the aftermath of the Great Depression. Now, we don't want that. We don't want to just let our economy crash. So we need to manage it. We need to have a strategic economy, a deliberate economy. We need to grow the areas that are helping people and that are low-carbon, and we need to contract the areas are just mindlessly emitting.

AMY GOODMAN: So take us on a tour of this great transition. What would it look like today if the United States was serious about dealing with climate change? What first needs to happen?

NAOMI KLEIN: Well, I think two things need to happen at once, and this is what the German experience shows us. You need to have bold national policies, like you need to have feed-in tariffs. You need to have clear goals—how much of your grid is going to switch to renewable energy, by what time. You need to have the right incentives in place. I think what Germany shows, too, is, you know, we often think that—and, you know, there are these groups that exist just to make this argument—that it's a big problem, so we need only big solutions, and so they argue in favor of nuclear power and industrial agriculture. But actually, what

Germany shows is that the fastest transition we're seeing anywhere in the world is happening through a multiplication of small-scale solutions, with well-designed, smart national policies. But that's not enough. You also need to say no to the fossil fuel companies. So we need to close those carbon frontiers, right? We need to have clear no-go zones—no drilling in the Arctic, no new tar sands, and wind down the tar sands. We need to enshrine these fracking moratoriums into law. We need to turn the moratoriums into bans, and we need to expand them. So, it's the yes, on the one hand; it's the no, on the other hand.

And it's also—you know, I talk in the book about the connection with—in my country, in Canada, I think there's a really clear connection with respecting indigenous land rights, because some of the largest—it's simply a fact that some of the largest pools of carbon are under the lands of some of the poorest people on the planet, and much of it is under indigenous land. So, there are tremendous fights being waged by indigenous people around the world to keep the drillers out of the Amazon, to slow down the tar sands. But one of the most important things that needs to happen is that the benefits of this new economy, of this next economy, of the transition, the people who have been hurt the most, who have been on the front lines of the extractive economy and have got the worst deal in the unequal exchange powered by fossil fuels, need to be first in line to benefit, so that there are real options beyond just extractive economies, because people are being asked to choose between having running water and having an extractive project in their backyard which will potentially poison their water. That's a nonchoice. People need better choices than that.

AMY GOODMAN: So talk about the position of the United States. I mean, we were both in Copenhagen when President Obama swooped in. This was in 2009. Describe the role of the U.S. in the climate negotiations.

NAOMI KLEIN: Well, I think the most destructive role that the U.S. plays in these negotiations is insisting on pretending that the world was born yesterday. And, you know, the way these debates play out, the central stumbling block, the central debate, is over whether we are going to respond based on the principle of common but differentiated responsibility. And that means that we have to acknowledge that we have known about this, we have known about this for a long time, that the rich world got rich burning carbon, and that there is a historical debt that's owed to the developing world precisely because the effects of climate change are being felt first and worst there. So there needs to be an equitable response. And the most destructive role that the U.S. plays in these negotiations is just coming and saying, you know, "We don't acknowledge that. We don't accept the concept that we're more responsible because we started first." And that just derails every discussion.

And I think part of the responsibility for this, you know, is shared by the environmental movement in the United States, because there is this sense that that is a political no-go zone. You can't talk about any kind of redistribution of technology or wealth between North and South, that that is toxic. You hear that phrase a lot. And, you know, I don't think it's impossible for the U.S. government to have an equitable, equity-based response to climate change. But I think if they're not being pressured internally by the environmental movement to make equity a priority, then it's not going to happen. And I don't think that that has been enough of a priority for the U.S. environmental movement.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: And what about the impact—much is made of the growing influence of the more advanced of the countries of the South and East, of China, India, Brazil. What's your sense of whether they're having any kind of a different road on the whole issue of being able to attack climate change?

NAOMI KLEIN: Well, so, I mean, part of the reason why it is so important for countries like the U.S., Canada, the European Union to lead by example, to lead by cutting our emissions decisively, and also by committing to an equity response, which means, you know, helping the developing world to leapfrog over fossil fuels, whether that's with money or technology or both, is that if we don't, if our countries don't do that, it's an incredibly convenient excuse for governments in China and India to go, "Well, why should we do anything? They started it." And that's exactly what's happening. So we're in this no-win, tit-for-tat fight. So, you know, by leading, we take away the best arguments of regressive governments in those countries,

which are using it with abandon.

The other thing that I think we need to acknowledge is that, you know, the fossil fuel resistance movement is a global movement. And, in fact, it was born in the Global South. You know, we talked about these amazing movements in North America, but in the book I talk about really how if we want to talk about where this all started, I would say it started on Ogoniland in the Niger Delta. I mean when Shell Oil was kicked out, and they still have not returned, and a huge amount of carbon has been kept in the ground because of that tremendously courageous struggle. And the whole slogan, "Leave the oil in the soil, leave the oil in the ground," I mean, this is a slogan born in Nigeria and Ecuador, in the incredible movements to save the Amazon from oil drilling and to save the Yasuní. And so, in a sense, what's happening now is that, you know, as the fossil fuel frenzy moves north and we pillage ourselves, we are starting to see some of the forms of resistance that were born in the Global South come to the North, yeah.

AMY GOODMAN: Naomi, you spent something like seven years writing this book, but you not only wrote the book in seven years, you also had a baby, Toma, who's two now. Can you talk about how you relate trying to get pregnant and issues of fertility with the environment?

NAOMI KLEIN: Mm-hmm, yeah. I have a chapter at the end of the book called "The Right to Regenerate." And it's a very personal chapter. I struggled with whether or not to include it in the book or not. But I wrote about it because I kind of needed to write about it in order to write the rest of the book. I wrote it first, and it ended up being the end of the book. But it was—it's seven years since *The Shock Doctrine*, but I've really been working on this book for five years. And five years, you know, in my personal life, what I was going through is I was trying to have my first child. I lost several pregnancies, and then eventually was lucky enough to have a baby and become a new mother. So this was—you know, I was going through this process, doing these sort of high-tech fertility treatments, having a sort of disastrous experience with that, and then I started to see sort of intersections between what I was going through and the research I was doing into climate change. Because I was sort of hitting a biological wall myself, or being told that I was, I became—I felt like it helped me to understand, you know, in a kind of really personal way, what it means to hit those boundaries, those natural boundaries. See, I think we tend to see our bodies as machines, and we see the Earth as a machine, and we are incredibly resilient, we have all these built-in redundancies, but we are not invulnerable. You know, we bend, but we break. You can hit the wall. And having had that experience of just hitting a wall, of my body just telling me no, while I was doing this research, I found it actually really helpful to believe, actually, it is possible to hit the wall. So, you know, I tried to learn from that.

And I also started to notice that the way in which climate change was playing out in the natural world is also often as a fertility crisis, that we were making the world less fertile, whether we're making the soil less fertile, whether we're deliberately making seeds less fertile so that they can be patented and owned, or simply that warming temperatures and acidifying oceans are wreaking havoc on many species' ability to reproduce. The first example I noticed was a story about how the eggs of sea turtles were—you know how sea turtles amazingly go through that process of going up on beaches and digging holes and burying their eggs in the sand. Well, because the sand is just a little bit warmer, the eggs are cooking in the sand and not hatching. Or, the male eggs are dying, and the female eggs are hatching, and of course that creates a reproductive crisis later on. So I started to see all kinds of the examples of how climate change was playing up as a bottom-up extinction crisis, meaning that it wasn't the adults that were dying, but it was the very young who were losing their food sources or were just simply losing their ability to fight for life in those early days—you know, the ability of oysters to form their hard shells in the earliest days. We know that acidification has that impact. But what we didn't know, what scientists were surprised by, is how much more vulnerable the young are to that, that in those first early days of forming the shell, if there's even a slight change in pH levels, they won't be able to do it. So, these are some of the earliest signs of how climate change is playing out in making our world less alive.

So, just going through that personal experience, I think, kind of attuned me to see some of this. I always

see my books as a process of pattern recognition, you know? Once you sort of identify a pattern, you kind of see it repeat. That's what happened to me with *The Shock Doctrine*. And that happened to me with fertility in this book.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: And you also talk in the book about, once your son was born, the impact it had on you of bringing much closer to home what climate change will mean for the future, for our offspring—

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: —for our children and our children's children.

NAOMI KLEIN: Yeah, yeah, yeah. You know, I didn't write the book because, you know, I was worried about my son's future. I started writing the book before he was born. But it has brought the crisis into my heart in a new way. And what I find is, you know, we all get scared reading these reports about melting glaciers, but sometimes it's kind of hard to wrap your head around, you know? And so, what I found in my personal experience, the moments where I was just sort of blindsided by the reality of this crisis and just sort of overwhelmed by emotion were not—was not when I was reading those scientific reports or even doing the reporting. It was when I was reading—when I read children's books to my son.

I had this moment early on when—you know, so, basically, this was my life for a while, just like writing this book, taking breaks and reading stories, board books, to Toma. And he has this favorite book, *Looking for a Moose*, where a bunch of kids set off on a journey to see a long-legged, bulgy-nosed, something-something-antlered moose. And I had read this book, you know, 75 times. And this slogan that they keep saying, "Have you ever seen a moose? Have you ever seen a moose? We've never seen a moose," and finally, they see all these moose. They say, "We've never, ever seen so many moose!" And it just hit me. I'm like, "Wait a minute. He might never see a moose," because I had been in northern Alberta and I had been talking to members of the Beaver Lake Cree First Nation, and they had been describing how the moose were sick, when they would find moose covered in tumors, or they were just disappearing. And this is happening all over North America. You know, they're extremely affected by climate change and extremely affected by the toxins associated with fossil fuel extraction. I've only seen a moose a couple of times in my life in the wild, this extraordinary experience. But I just have those moments where it's like, "Wait a minute. He may never have these experiences that I've had."

AMY GOODMAN: What's happening to the oceans?

NAOMI KLEIN: So, it's a big question, but—you know, I wrote a lot of this book while I was living in British Columbia, is where my family lives. And it's an interesting vantage point from which to think about this, because the Pacific Northwest, the waters along that coast are some of the most rapidly acidifying waters, and it's for a variety of reasons. And one of them is climate change. Some of it's natural upwellings. But we're already seeing the impacts on oysters. I mean, oyster farms, some of them—there's one oyster farm that is actually—oh, I think it was in Washington state, and now it's opened a hatchery in Hawaii because it can no longer hatch—they can no longer have the hatchery in those waters, and they bring the oysters back—not exactly a low-carbon solution—back after they form their shells. We've seen scallops just wiped out in British Columbia in the past couple of years, linked to acidification. Strange starfish diseases—there's a wasting syndrome where suddenly like the limbs are falling off, and this is happening on a massive scale all along the Pacific Northwest. We don't know if that's linked to climate change or something else; it isn't exactly clear yet. But the oceans are definitely changing. And you see it. It's not like, OK, this is happening down the road. It's happening a lot faster than was predicted.

AMY GOODMAN: Well, Naomi Klein, I want to thank you very much for being with us. Naomi Klein is a journalist, best-selling author, activist. Her new book is called *This Changes Everything: Capitalism vs. the Climate*. Thanks for spending this time with us.

NAOMI KLEIN: Thank you, guys.

AMY GOODMAN: I'm Amy Goodman, with Juan González. Thanks so much for joining us.
GUEST

Naomi Klein, journalist and bestselling author. Her new book is *This Changes Everything: Capitalism vs. the Climate*. Her previous books include *No Logo* and *The Shock Doctrine: The Rise of Disaster Capitalism*.

<http://www.mysticmamma.com/new-moon-in-libra-september-23-24th-2014/>

ART: ANDREW SZOEKE



We welcome a beautiful NEW MOON in LIBRA on September 23rd/24th on the heels of the ♋ EQUINOX ♌ on September 22, 2014.

In this planetary moment of transition, as we move from one season into the next, let's take the time to pause and release all our energy that has been tied into the past. It's time to step into our future, and use all the realizations and lessons we have learned thus far to propel us into a new place and a new experience of being!

Here are the MYSTIC MAMMA Astral Insights from our treasured featured astrologers. First from the always wise **Cathy Pagano from her Wisdom of Astrology:**

“Pluto is stationing (going from retrograde to direction motion) about 2 hours before the Equinox, indicating another big energy shift. Pluto went retrograde in April, right before the Cardinal Grand Cross occurred. What inner changes have taken place that are ready to move on now? “

“...The Sabian symbol for Pluto at 11* Capricorn is: A pheasant hidden in the underbrush of the forest suddenly displays its brilliant plumage. Perhaps this symbol speaks to the beauty of life which will be revealed to us in some manner.

“I think we all need to see something beautiful and positive now so that we remember why we strive to change our priorities in life. Maybe it will be this weekend's Climate Change march in New York City.

“The Sun goes into the sign of Libra on the Autumn Equinox. The astrological wheel is turning. When the Sun is in Virgo, we are energized to take stock of who we are and where our talents lie...When the Sun goes into Libra, we get to take that 'self' out for a test drive with others.

“We put ourselves into social situations where we see how well that Virgo integration took. Most of us put on a good face with others but inside we're often insecure and uncertain. Or, we act too assertive and domineering, forgetting how to treat others with respect and courtesy.

“Those Libra scales swing wildly up and down between too much and too little, between over-confidence and insecurity.

“How would it be if we could go out into the world and be content to be ourselves, centered in who we

are and ready to accept the other person for who they are? What if each of us could meet the Other in harmony and justice? That's Libra's goal. "

© Copyright 2014 ~ Cathy Pagano All Rights Reserved.

From the always inspiring **Pat Liles from The Power Path.com:**

"Sun and Moon in Libra ~ September 24, 12:13 AM Mountain Time (September 24, 6:13 AM Greenwich Mean Time)

"Libra, ruled by beneficent Venus, Goddess of Love, Beauty and Creative Power brings a balance of harmonious relationship, cooperation and fairness to our month. Libra raises not only a desire for social activity, but also a need for beauty, the arts, aesthetic refinement.

"Because air signs are masculine and mental, as well as interpersonal in their expression, (and we all have Libra some where in our charts) Libra's harmonious nature brings a tactful and diplomatic ease to our interactions.

"With the powerful combination of Equinox 0° Libra and New Moon 1° Libra, we can use this opportunity to empower our intentions, dreams, and projects to reach the highest manifestation possible. Libra is an ally to focus our intentions for peace, unity of all consciousness, and unconditional love.

"Enlist this Equinox/New Moon portal with honoring and ceremony, at the least a private review of where you have been and where you now want to head. Present your open heart and your intentions to the invisible world, so it may align and serve the divine manifestation that you are."

© Copyright 2014 ~ Pat Liles All Rights Reserved.

From **Lea Whitehorse from her Lua Astrology:**

"What characterizes this Libra New Moon is that it makes no major aspects to any of the planets. This is a quiet chart, a moment of stillness in which you have a chance to take a breathe, pause and reflect..."

"The Sabian symbol of the New Moon is : The Transmutation Of The Fruits Of Past Experiences Into The Seed-Realizations Of The Forever Creative Spirit

"You live and learn. Every experience along the way is a 'seed realization' for the future. Nothing is wasted. Everything is for a reason. In order to regain your balance, you may need to re-frame the past. Your soul is eternally creative. You are constantly 'in the making'. Likewise in life you have an endless supply of creative potential, an endless sky full of love..."

"There's a lightness to this Moon – I want to say delight...This is a lunation of reprieve. After the recent emotional highs, we all need a bit of a rest. The cosmos is being kind. Take advantage of it whilst you can... Re-charge, re-set, re-balance..."

...because next month is a whole other story ;)"

© Copyright 2014 ~ Lea Whitehorse All Rights Reserved.

Tune in close to this NEW MOON for a few more golden insights!
NEW MOON Blessings!

Creating a Positive Future: Time Science Shows Our Earth is on a Positive Timeline in our Time Space Hologram

By Alfred Lambremont Webre*

“It’s time science, not rocket science that Earth is on a positive timeline.”

Positive Future = Positive Timeline + Unity Consciousness

http://exopolitics.blogs.com/positive_future/2014/09/creating-a-positive-future-time-science-shows-our-earth-is-on-a-positive-timeline-in-our-time-space-hologram.html

VANCOUVER, BC

Positive Future = Positive Timeline + Unity Consciousness

A key discovery promulgated in this paper is that (1) a critical mass of humanity is (2) co-creating a positive future, through conscious acknowledgement that (3) we are synergistically traveling along a positive timeline (4) in Unity consciousness.

The Positive Future equation has supplanted and overcome an outdated Matrix Elite formula that is no longer effective:

Problem + Reaction = Solution

Positive Future equation

The Positive Future equation is:

Positive Future = Positive Timeline + Unity Consciousness

The Positive Future equation suggests that in the synergy between the Positive Timeline and Unity consciousness, a critical mass of humanity is collectively and individually activated for some or more of these suggested actions and policies for a positive future, and synchronistically resources and actors are brought together in multi-dimensional universe processes to manifest a desirable result.

Positive Paradox

The “Progress Paradox” or “Positive Paradox” of our current era is that, although our Earth dimension is on a positive time line, Earth’s current bloodline Elites, Negative dimensional entities, Matrix institutions (Governmental forms, Religions; Central Banks), and War & Addiction industries are acting out operational plans that seem based on a false negative timeline, or an actual catastrophic timeline that is occurring in some other dimensional timeline than the positive timeline Earth is demonstrably on.

Although humanity has every objective reason to be optimistic about the future, large segments of humanity still reflect this Matrix power focus on a false catastrophic timeline.

According to an April 14, 2014 Ipsos survey, In the West, 42% vs. 34% people feel pessimistic about the future, despite the objective scientific reality that humanity is on a positive timeline. China, India, Brazil, Turkey and Russia are more optimistic than pessimistic for their young – but all other countries are more likely to think things will be worse rather than better.[1]

Yet time science demonstrates that our Earth civilization is traveling on a positive timeline within our time

space hologram. And time cosmology analysis demonstrates that Unity consciousness (“We are One”) is the dominant universal consciousness frequency broadcast, as of December 21, 2012, through the singularity – interdimensional portal – at the core of the specific Universe in which we are based.

Catastrophic duality consciousness (“I win, You Lose”) fostered by these Matrix Elites, Negative dimensional entities, and Matrix institutions can no longer can control and thrive on our current positive timeline, as a matter of density and dimensional frequency and time science. Duality consciousness is “Service to Self” and cannot survive in our new Universe consciousness density frequencies.

It is now “time” for the positive timeline to be acknowledged as a scientific reality, and for our individual and collective to transform consciously as a critical mass of ethical humans awaken to the reality of the positive timeline.

Positive timeline in synergy with Unity Consciousness

If you resonate with the positive timeline in synergy with Unity consciousness, you are encouraged to share these insights with others privately and publicly, your family, friends, networks.

Together we can build that critical mass of awakened humanity that acknowledges and collective shifts to being in a reality of a positive timeline Unity consciousness (“We are One”) as the new norm.

All you have to do and all we have to do is change our minds, and the positive timeline follows, as a matter of science.

Time Space Hologram & Time Lines

One of the early key discoveries of time science is that our own universe of time, space, energy and matter contains, and is composed of time-space holograms, and may be considered itself a time-space hologram, created by a higher intelligence and composed of parallel time lines.

“Our universe includes a time-space hologram, within which our Earth human civilization exists, that is an artificial environment created by a higher intelligence. The higher intelligence that created and maintains our universe, including the time-space hologram that we inhabit, is the spiritual dimension that itself is composed of God/ Source, the intelligent civilizations of souls that incarnate in the time-space hologram, and the intelligent civilization of spiritual beings. In “Simulations back up theory that Universe is a hologram: A ten-dimensional theory of gravity makes the same predictions as standard quantum physics in fewer dimensions,” Ron Cowen writes, ‘At a black hole, Albert Einstein’s theory of gravity apparently clashes with quantum physics, but that conflict could be solved if the Universe were a holographic projection.’

“The time-space hologram we inhabit as Earthling humans is composed of multiple timelines. Time travel and teleportation are methods by which intelligent civilizations navigate the dimensional ecology of both the Exopolitical dimensions (the multiverse) and the spiritual dimensions of the Omniverse. Teleportation consists of point-to-point movement across a single timeline. Time travel consists of movement across more than one timeline.”[2]

Timelines = Parallel lanes in a multi-lane highway

Humanity is based inside a virtual reality composed of multiple, parallel timelines.[3] In simple 3D-3rd density terms, one can visualize timelines in a time-space hologram as parallel lanes of a multi-lane highway.

As a collective consciousness, humanity can find itself on a changed timeline from a more catastrophic lane (global coastal flooding event) to a more positive lane (landing a Utopia on Earth). Catastrophic

timelines (lanes in the highway) that may have been foreseen through technological time travel (chronovision), scientific remote viewing, or psychic remote viewing can fail to materialize as humanity finds itself on a positive timeline.

Origins of the false “Catastrophic Timeline”

This paper addresses a recent Italian magazine article that misrepresented my views on the positive timeline. The magazine invited me to publish a response. The title of the Italian magazine article was: “Alfred Webre: the global disasters that are affecting our planet, are the effects of “Kill Zone” Planet X.”[4]

Edgar Cayce remote viewing of 21st Century global coastal event

The Italian March 8, 2014 article relies on my 1974 book **The Age of Cataclysm** that was a first book to integrate modern earth sciences with parapsychology and analyze the remote viewing predictions of psychic Edgare Cayce of a global costal flooding event occuring on Earth early in our 21st Century.

A global coastal event may be triggered by a variety of astronomical events causing massive tsunamis and earth changes having catastrophic effects on human civilization such as the global coastal event destroying Earth’s great maritime civilization (Atlantis), caused by the solar system catastrophe of 9500 BC when a fragment of the supernova Vela entered the solar system.[5]

2010 Farsight Military-trained Remote Viewers

Predicted Global Coastal Event of June 2013

In the course of research on potential impending transitional changes during the 2012-13 time horizon, I identified in 2010 what can be described as two parallel realities, each buttressed by independent sets of data and personal and institutional decisions – a 2012-13 catastrophic timeline and a 2012-13 positive future timeline.[6]

The two parallel 2012-13 timelines were quite opposite in nature.

The cataclysmic timeline envisioned 2012-13 as a time when the Earth is hit by destructive “solar flares, large meteors, tsunamis, world-wide coastal inundations, mega-catastrophe.” This was congruent with the Edgar Cayce remote viewed global coastal event, as well as hermeneutic interpretation of prophecies of Earth Changes.

As evidence of a possible 2012-13 catastrophic timeline, researcher Dr. Courtney Brown pointed to the results of a recent Farsight Institute remote viewing study of global climate change 2008 – 2013. Expecting to find marginal effects of global climate change on coastal areas in 2013, Dr. Brown reported instead remote viewers found a catastrophic 2013 timeline, and a global coastal event for June 2013 that devastated global coastal cities, with the U.S. Capitol in Washington, DC under water.

1971 DARPA Chronovisor Probe of Washington, DC – 2013 Global Coastal Event. US Supreme Court Building under 100 ft of Bracking Water

In a remarkable coincidence (or synchronicity), both the Farsight Institute and a chronovisor probe in the early 1970s by DARPA’s **Project Pegasus** chose archetypal targets in Washington, DC right across the street from each other. Project Pegasus chose to view the U.S. Supreme Court building in 2013 via chronovisor and Project Pegasus participant and whistleblower Andrew D. Basiago “found that the Supreme Court building was under 100 feet of stagnant water” in a chronovisor probe. U.S. chrononaut Basiago adds, “There were second, third and fourth dimensional chronovisors. I went to Washington, DC in 2013 bodily. They poured water out of my boots. That particular chronovisor was not a screen or a

template. It was a cube of light in the nature of a time-space hologram that enveloped us with the result that we physically went to the time-place they had tuned in." The chronovisor was originally developed by two Vatican scientists in conjunction with Enrico Fermi and later refined by DARPA scientists.

The 2010 Farsight Institute probe targeted the U.S. Capitol building in 2013, and some remote viewer reports viewed the U.S. Capitol in ruins along side deep water.

Predicted 2013 Global Coastal event occurred along another, catastrophic timeline dimension

The June 2013 global coastal event predicted by Edgar Cayce remote viewing, 1971 DARPA chronovisor probe, 2010 Farsight Institute probe in fact occurred in another timeline dimension, according to Dr. Courtney Brown, director of the Farsight Institute.

In a video update, Dr. Brown concludes that 15 February 2013 meteor event over Russia, the largest since the Tunguska event, coupled with the close approach of the roughly 50 metre asteroid 2012 DA14 that occurred about 15 hours later may constitute the meteor or asteroid event referenced in the Farsight Institute study, which predicted a global coastal event destroying most coastal cities on the planet in June 2013. Dr. Brown states he does not expect any other meteor or coastal event between February 16, 2013 and June 1, 2013 based on the Farsight study. Dr. Brown refers to the holographic nature of reality and to the his remote viewers having possibly viewed a global coastal event happening in June 2013 on Earth in some other timeline or holographic version of Earth or parallel universe.[7]

Planet X and the Catastrophic timeline

Some have speculated that a Planet X (Nibiru or Second Sun type flyby) would be responsible for the June 2013 global coastal event predicted by the Farsight Institute study. [8]

Dr. Brown's interim update appears to suggest that Dr. Brown does not expect any other meteor or coastal event between February 16, 2013 and June 1, 2013 and that the Farsight Institute report states that no global coastal event caused by Planet X can be expected through June 1, 2013.

Why the global coastal event DARPA foresaw did not materialize

U.S. chrononaut Andrew D. Basiago writes that the 1971 DARPA probe was actually to an alternative catastrophic time line. He states, "We are now starting to discover why the global coastal flooding event that I saw when DARPA sent me to 2013 via chronovisor in 1971 didn't eventuate on this time line. NASA is now reporting that in 2012, a solar flare almost destroyed Earth. According to my source to the secret US agency the Department of Physicists, had the huge solar flare occurred six to seven days before it did, when the Earth was one degree of tilt in another position, it would have "wreaked havoc" on Earth. Apparently, the probe I was involved in at ITT Defense Communications in Nutley, NJ on November 5, 1971 for the Office of Naval Intelligence took us to an alternate time line where the devastating effects of such an event in 2012 were evident in 2013, including Washington, D.C. being 100 feet under water."

Dr. Courtney Brown's February 16, 2013 interim update on the Farsight Institute report and Andrew D. Basiago's conclusions regarding DARPA's 1971 chronovisor probe of 2013 appear both to conclude that the respective catastrophic timelines viewed in each study are not the actual (non-catastrophic) timelines that Earth is actually experiencing in 2013.

The False Catastrophic Timeline & False Flag Operations

As stated, Matrix Elites, Negative dimensional entities, and Matrix institutions (Governmental forms, Banks, Religions) appear to be operating from (1) duality consciousness ("I win, You lose"), (2) to create a false catastrophic timeline and thus attempt to maintain hegemony over Earth and humanity.

Using the primary playbook of the False Flag Operation, the Matrix powers appears to be employing an End Times script, ecocide, and depopulation through Weather Warfare; Tectonic Warfare; Biowarfare (Ebola; GMOs; Chemtrails; Famine); World War III memes; Negative dimensional warfare; Religious warfare; and other means.[9]

These efforts cannot find a stable dimensional reality along the positive timeline and have an increasingly short shelf life in the minds of a humanity that is now bathed in the universe, galactic and solar waves of Unity consciousness.

The positive timeline & Unity Consciousness

In 2012-13, Dr. Carl Joseph Calleman, an expert on the Mayan concepts of time and cosmology, stated in an interview with me, “the universal alternating energy wave movements end, and Earth is set on a gradual setting of a potential to reach advanced utopian planet status – a virtual ‘Garden of Eden’”.

Dr. Calleman writes the positive timeline envisions 2012-13 and the years that follow as: “2012 heralds Earth’s entry into the Golden Age, and between now and then is a time of transition from life as you have known it into life totally in harmony with all of Nature.”

Universe singularity now emanating energy for 'enlightened unity consciousness'

According to Mayan time and cosmology expert, Dr. Carl Johan Calleman, October 28, 2011 marked a portal in linear time when the singularity [interdimensional portal] at the core of our own universe began to emanate a constant “enlightened universal consciousness.”[10]

Unity Consciousness is a consciousness that realizes “We are One.”

Likewise, the interdimensional portal or singularity at the center of our galaxy (our galactic center ‘black hole’) modulates this universe consciousness energy wave as well.

This is, by any standard, exceedingly good scientific news.

Universal, alternating energy wave movements have been a feature of our universe, says Dr. Carl Johan Calleman, since the Big Bang, and it is these wave movements that have shaped the nature of consciousness in our universe over the past 18 billion years.

What, you may ask, does this universal wave of unity consciousness have to do with you or your reality?

In a word, everything.

The wave of unity consciousness – like all universe energy wave emanations, creates the ‘meme’ or story content of our personal and our collective reality.

Dr. Calleman’s discoveries are suggesting that our highest thoughts and ‘memes’ are/may in fact be sourced from the energy waves of the universe singularity, mediated through the galactic singularity – the black hole at the center of our galaxy the Mayans called Hunab Ku.

The singularity of our solar system is Sol, our sun - a dimensional portal to other galaxies, according to physicist Nassim Hamein.

These universe singularity energy waves serve as carrier waves of ‘the universe’s mind and spirit software’ - the way that the intentional universe (some call it source, the ‘sea of Light’ or God) lets us know of its

intention for consciousness in the entire universe.

As a practical matter, does it matter if you are tuned in and commit to Universal consciousness universe energy wave of unity consciousness?

Yes – the universe energy waves we humans tune in and commit to determine our planetary status and how we reach our potential as a planet.

Positive Timeline: Earth will be utopia, not a dystopia or

The good news is that our universe singularity is now emanating ‘utopia’ consciousness waves on its alternating energy carrier waves.

The more we humans are individually and collectively tuned into the universal energy wave of ‘enlightened universal consciousness,’ and committed to achieving unity, non-dualistic consciousness, then the stronger the ‘positive timeline’ becomes, and the more rapidly we achieve our potential as a planet – “a potential to reach advanced utopian planet status.”

The Positive Paradox

The “Positive Paradox” is although that Earth is objectively and scientifically on a positive timeline in a field of unity Consciousness, the more humanity as a critical mass fully internalize and acknowledge this reality, the more it manifests.

The term ‘conscious’ is used in Unity Consciousness to emphasize how important individual commitment to achieving unity consciousness is.

One psychiatrist professor I know stated, “Humans are velcro for the negative and teflon for the positive. Because avoiding the negative was so important in our evolution – avoiding getting eaten in the jungle – our brains tend to remember the negative and forget the positive.”

Unity consciousness can be expressed in a simple realization: ‘You and I are not we but one.’

Dr. Calleman writes, “To begin with, for all that we know it is designed to bring a shift to unity consciousness where the human mind no longer will be dominated by any dark filter. We will in other words become “transparent” and I believe this is the particular consciousness – seeing reality the way it is with no separation - that so many are waiting for. Not just any consciousness, but one that transcends the dualities of the past and aids the human beings to see the unity of all things.

“The reason that this kind of unity consciousness can be beneficial to the planet, and to mankind, is that it is one that leads to the transcendence of all separation (between man and woman, man and nature, ruler and ruled, east and west, etc). I feel that without the manifestation of such a shift in consciousness the world will sooner or later come to an end.

“People with a dualist and separating consciousness are somewhat like cancer cells in the body of the Earth with little regard to its larger whole and would eventually generate a collapse of its ecosystem. Only a shift to unity consciousness will forever stop the unchecked exploitation of the Earth and on a deeper level make us understand that we are part of creation and need to live in harmony with it. But will such a shift just happen automatically?”

Creating a Positive Future

There are many modes of expression in many Earth cultures of on how to create a platform for humanity on

Earth to awaken and thrive along the scientific reality of our new collective positive timeline.[11]

Here are some suggested collective actions and policies for this platform. Your suggested collective actions and policies are welcome.

You can submit your suggested collective actions and policies via any of the channels linked to below.

Truth & Disclosure - A full public disclosure of the presence of intelligent civilizations in Earth's environment and a global referendum as to whether and on what conditions humanity should enter into relations and space travel, space colonization, space governance, with organized intelligent universe, multiverse, and Omniverse society.

New Energy, Teleportation & Time Travel - A full public disclosure of secret (new energy, zero point, free, antigravity, exotic) new energy sources now available for application on Earth. Public implementation and rollout of sequestered of free energy technologies for powering dwellings, human settlements, industry, transport and propulsion, communication and many other areas.

Implementation of teleportation as a global, national, regional and local transportation system, replacing polluting fossil fuel vehicles (trains, buses, trucks, autos) and their intensive land use in highways, railways, and urban freeways, as well as of a regulated time travel public education program.

Recognition of Animals as sentient beings with rights - Worldwide grant of personhood rights to animals with concomitant rights against murder, slaughter, torture, and cruel and inhumane treatment. Special intelligent civilization status for cetaceans including whales and dolphins. Development of healthy, safe, tasty protein meat substitutes for humanity's consumption and nutrition.

Secure Online Direct Democracy at the local, regional, national, and global level - Secure virtual technology now permits the implementation of Swiss canton democracy worldwide. There is no more need for intermediaries such as City Councils, State or Provincial Legislatures, National Parliaments or Congresses, or even, ultimately in time, a gathering of nations such as the United Nations. Experience over the centuries has shown that the powers that be buy off all intermediaries. Direct virtual democracy adapts secure virtual technologies and provides virtual hack-proof citizen voting at the municipal, provincial/state, regional, national, and world level. Under direct virtual democracy, the entire city votes on municipal laws; the entire nation votes on national laws; the world population votes on global standards, all duly informed by government staff at the respective local, national and world level. Municipal Government, for example, is tasked with efficiently picking up the garbage and managing the city according to the laws passed by local virtual democracy.

Reinvention of money as a human right and public utility like air, water or electricity available for creative investment at public money utilities. A global ban on privately controlled central banks like the "U.S. Federal Reserve System" and on privately owned commercial banks. Support of complementary currencies. Licensing of consumer cooperatively owned banks. Imposition of heavy criminal penalties for violation and astronomical fines, for individuals, organizations, and nations.

Social guarantees in the form of annual income, health care, and elementary, secondary, and post-secondary education for every person on the planet, for life. Funded by universal state pools, tax on all financial transactions and by post graduation contributions to education plan, and more. Implementation of traditional and alternative, as well as advanced extraterrestrial medical technologies.

World Debt Forgiveness – Global forgiveness of all public and private debts – a world bankruptcy for a bankrupt system and an end to the debt – fiat money prison system. Criminalization of charging interest on money and of fractional reserve lending.

Disenfranchisement of the state power of monarchies and religions worldwide – The UK monarchy and the Vatican are examples of the abuses that occur when two institutions based on non-democratic principles (Divine Right of Kings and Popes) are given established state rights in a modern democratic world.

Criminalization of the war industry - A criminalization of and global ban on war, genocide, and depopulation in all its varied forms, overt and covert. A ban on war as a dispute resolution method. A permanent ban on the design, production, or sale of weapons systems, including nuclear weapons, space-based weapons, and conventional weapons. A permanent ban on the maintenance of offensive armed forces. Imposition of heavy criminal penalties for violation and astronomical fines, for individuals, organizations, and nations.

Criminal Prosecution and Conviction of War Crimes Racketeering Organization and Restorative Justice for War Crimes Victims - Criminalization and rigorous prosecution of the international war crimes racketeering organization for a planning and implementing a genocidal depopulation program, including (and not limited to): (a) planning and triggering wars and armed conflicts through false flag operations; (b) regional and global radiation genocide and ecocide through depleted uranium (DU) and the nuclear agenda; (c) planning and implementing environmental war attacks including geo-engineering, weather warfare, HAARP, chemtrails, and scalar weapons robotization and genocide of humanity, famine, vaccines, GMO foods, DNA manipulation and more; (d) Carrying out a program of assassination and Cointelpro terror against activists, researchers and social inventors in the multiple areas of peace research; new energy; food and nutrition; radiation; democracy and electoral politics; (e) Carrying out as DOPE INC. a lethal, 300 hundred year old conspiracy to addict humanity to narcotics and to criminalize useful substances such as hemp for profit and enslavement; (f) the transhumanist agenda of population mind control through nano-weapons, emf and other weapons. There is no statute of limitations on murder. Imposition of heavy criminal penalties for violation and astronomical fines, for individuals, organizations, and nations.

How will these suggested collective actions and policies for a positive future manifest along humanity's positive timeline?

The basic equation of a positive future suggests that these collective actions and policies (or variations of them to achieve essentially the same goals) will manifest out of the synergy of the positive timeline and humanity's awakening to Unity consciousness.

The **Positive Future equation** reflects a new level of collective manifestation by humanity and its individuals, resulting from the synergistic dynamics of the positive timeline and a humanity awakening to Unity consciousness.

Join & Act

Join in our awakening communities committed to the positive timeline and Unity consciousness, including:

Comments Section – Add your thoughts below

Email us

Facebook: Discuss in Positive Future group on Facebook

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/positivefuture/>

Positive Future News (Free): Subscribe <http://bit.ly/1tiP0dO>

REFERENCES

* - About Alfred Lambremont Webre

<http://exopolitics.blogspot.com/2008/10/post.html>

BILL MOYERS: This week on Moyers & Company, Christian and climate scientist Katharine Hayhoe on ending the gridlock between science and religion.

KATHARINE HAYHOE: Climate change is a casualty of much larger societal issues. It's not a scientific issue, it's not a matter of one more report will do it. One more new analogy, and people will get it. Information is not the answer. The answer has much more to do with who we are as humans, and how we function politically.

ANNOUNCER: Funding is provided by:

Anne Gumowitz, encouraging the renewal of democracy.

Carnegie Corporation of New York, supporting innovations in education, democratic engagement, and the advancement of international peace and security at Carnegie.org.

The Ford Foundation, working with visionaries on the front lines of social change worldwide.

The Herb Alpert Foundation, supporting organizations whose mission is to promote compassion and creativity in our society.

The John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation, committed to building a more just, verdant, and peaceful world. More information at Macfound.org.

Park Foundation, dedicated to heightening public awareness of critical issues.

The Kohlberg Foundation.

Barbara G. Fleischman.

And by our sole corporate sponsor, Mutual of America, designing customized individual and group retirement products. That's why we're your retirement company.

BILL MOYERS: Welcome. There are roughly 80 million evangelical Christians in America and for years a majority of their ranks have refused to take global warming seriously. Many were swayed by the likes of Rush Limbaugh, who said, "If you believe in God, then intellectually you cannot believe in manmade global warming... you must be either agnostic or atheistic to believe that man controls something he can't create."

Then there is the powerful Republican James Inhofe of the Senate Committee on the Environment and Public Works, who said: "God's still up there, and the arrogance of people to think that we, human beings, would be able to change what he is doing in the climate is, to me, outrageous."

So it is that while more Americans believe global warming is real and caused by human beings, some two-thirds of white evangelical Christians are not convinced. And they wield a lot of political clout. These are people who believe that to be saved by god you must be born again – your heart and mind transformed by a cathartic spiritual experience. But as the climate crisis worsens every day, it's clear these good folk need a different kind of conversion, one that opens them to the reality overtaking us. Only someone they trust, one of their own, is likely to help them see the light.

Which brings us to Katharine Hayhoe. You may have seen her with the actor Don Cheadle on the opening episode of Showtime's award-winning documentary series, "Years of Living Dangerously."

KATHARINE HAYHOE in Years of Living Dangerously: When I look at the information we get from the planet I look at it as God's creation speaking to us. And in this case there's no question that God's creation

is telling us that it is running a fever.

BILL MOYERS: That fever has been running high on the plains of Texas, where Katharine Hayhoe lives. West Texas is cattle country. Or it was, until prolonged drought killed off the livestock business and devastated towns like Plainview.

DON CHEADLE in *Years of Living Dangerously*: It's 10am on March 16th, 2013. This has become a weekly ritual. Each Saturday these people walk the four miles around the Cargill meat packing plant on the edge of town. They're praying for rain and for the plant to reopen. Six weeks ago it closed, and overnight 10 percent of the area's entire workforce was laid off. It shut down because of a three-year drought that devastated the cattle herd here in Texas. And without cows, you can't run a meat packing plant.

CHURCH PASTOR in *Years of Living Dangerously*: Father, we pray for the situation in Cargill, by God. Because as you bring the moisture, as you bring the rain conditions will change, my God. Because it's your rain--

BILL MOYERS: Katharine Hayhoe knows those believers well. She, too, is an evangelical Christian, also a rising star of climate science, named this year as one of "Time" magazine's "100 Most Influential People." She and her husband, Andrew Farley, who's a pastor, teach at Texas Tech University in Lubbock. Together, they wrote this book, "A Climate for Change: Global Warming Facts for Faith-Based Decisions." Welcome.

KATHARINE HAYHOE: Thank you for having me, Bill.

BILL MOYERS: When I saw the film I couldn't believe that that was the Plainview, Texas I knew many years ago. Then it was bustling, like a beehive. The film reveals it as an almost lifeless place. Now why are you convinced that this has to do with global warming instead of just the usual droughts that come and go in West Texas?

KATHARINE HAYHOE: Everybody living in Texas knows that droughts are just part of life there. So the first question people always ask is, well, how is this any different from what my daddy or my granddaddy experienced way back when?

First of all, we see things changing. We see plants and trees flowering earlier in the year. We see birds and insects and other animals farther north than they ever used to be. It's warmer now in every season of the year in Texas because of climate change. So along comes this drought just like you had 30 years ago and 50 years ago.

But now it's so much warmer that more water evaporates from the soil, more water evaporates from our lakes and our rivers and our streams. And the drought is more severe than it would have been otherwise. We had an incredibly severe drought that summer of 2011 throughout Texas and Oklahoma. And that drought conditions persist until today.

BILL MOYERS: As a scientist, you study consequences over time. And is it what's happening over time that has led you to think this is not just the weather, this is change?

KATHARINE HAYHOE: That's exactly right. One of the most common things people do is they say, oh we had a cold year. Or, oh we had a wet summer. Therefore, where's all this stuff? Where's all this global warming? Climate is defined as the long-term average over at least 20 to 30 years.

So we can't just jump on some band wagon immediately and say, oh that heat wave was definitely climate change. We have to very carefully analyze the data and look to see if there is a trend laid over the pattern of natural variability. So we've always had our highs and lows, our wet and dry. But the assumption that our society is built on is that over long periods of time, 20 to 30 years, it all averages out.

This is the assumption that we build our houses on, that we design our cities on, that determine where we grow our crops. What happens if that line is no longer stable? Then we still have our pattern of natural variability but the highs are getting higher over time. And that's exactly what we see.

BILL MOYERS: The Christians who show up in the film think drought is an act of God. Are they counting on faith to save them?

KATHARINE HAYHOE: Oh yes. I mean, there are signs everywhere saying, pray for rain. During a drought, every church has a sign out front saying, pray for rain. They have prayer rallies, they have prayer walks.

We believe that God has the ability to do things like that, but as Christians I think we also believe that God set up the world such that there are these types of natural events, good and bad, and there are consequences to our actions.

And so in this case, first of all we have developed an agricultural society in a semi-arid environment. One that depends on an aquifer that is going one way fast. So as we become more and more vulnerable to rain fall, that's just when climate change is coming along and altering that rainfall. So it's a series of choices that we've made as a civilization, a society, at the local scale, national, and global, often not knowing what the result or the impact of those choices would be--

BILL MOYERS: But all their lives, those people were told that God is omnipotent. If you challenge them on that and say, not God, but we have to change the course or we will suffer from global warming irrevocably, aren't you undermining their faith?

KATHARINE HAYHOE: That's one of the most frequently asked questions that we get. And so when my husband, who's a pastor, when my husband and I wrote this book together, he was the one who laid out the book and said, these are the questions that we have to answer. And number one on that list was, if God is in control, if that's what we believe, then how could something like this happen?

But isn't that the age-old question? Every time something happens in our lives, or that of our community, or our country, we think, if God is in control, how could that happen? How could a plane full of innocent people on their way to the AIDS research conference be shot down?

And as I've talked to more and more people, I've started to figure out what the questions are that people have. And if you tackled the questions head-on, how do we know this is real? Why do we think it's humans, not a natural cycle of the sun or volcanoes, or anything else? Why do we care about it? Why do I care about it?

Here are my values, and here, based on my values, are why I care. If we can get past the issue of rhetoric and politics, and actually start talking about what's in our hearts, I have seen amazing things happen in terms of moving forward to look at solutions that are consistent with the values that we have.

BILL MOYERS: So let me ask about you. When it comes to science as you said, you crunch the data. You analyze statistical models, but to become a Christian, you don't crunch the data. You don't analyze the models. But why do you require evidence as a scientist, that you don't require as a believer?

KATHARINE HAYHOE: One of my favorite versus comes from Hebrews in the New Testament. It talks about how faith is the evidence of things not seen. By definition, science is the evidence of things that are seen, that can be observed, that are quantifiable. And so that's why I see faith and science as two sides of the same coin.

Science is by definition bounded by what we can conceptualize, what we can document, what we can observe. And faith, I think, is the other side of that. Why does this even exist? Why can we do science?

Why does the world make sense? Why are there elegant, physical laws describing the behavior of our atmosphere that also apply to galaxies on the other side of the universe?

BILL MOYERS: And yet people we both come from, people who love us and we love, remain distrustful of science, and of scientists.

KATHARINE HAYHOE: Yes.

BILL MOYERS: Why is that?

KATHARINE HAYHOE: For a long time, many of us have felt like scientists are on one side espousing one set of values. And Christians and or conservatives are on the other side. And so along comes this new issue of climate change, which in my opinion has enormous theological implications. It is entirely consistent with the Christian faith to love others and to love our neighbors.

So along comes this issue of climate change, but who are the primary spokespeople? It's these pointy-headed scientists who have been on the other side of the fence, on many other issues regarding creation, evolution, the age of the universe. Even other issues today, like, genetic modification and things like that. So, it's no surprise that when you get a messenger who is not trusted, who you perceive as not sharing your values, that you know, why would you believe them?

BILL MOYERS: It was so clear from the film that you have actually made some converts.

NELLY MONTEZ in *Years of Living Dangerously*: I'm Nelly. I used to work at the Cargill plant.

KATHARINE HAYHOE in *Years of Living Dangerously*: Oh, ok.

DON CHEADLE in *Years of Living Dangerously*: She was just talking about how all, that she hadn't really thought about ... well tell me what you were ...

NELLY MONTEZ in *Years of Living Dangerously*: Just, you know, like the things you know the things that we can do as far as, you know, taking some of that layer of blanket off, you know, right there, I'm sitting there going I didn't know that. Wow, you know, I didn't know that. [...] I had never heard of climate change. After hearing Katharine I was just like wow. If we start using the right things and doing the right things we could probably save our planet.

BILL MOYERS: Do you often get feedback like that?

KATHARINE HAYHOE: In person, I would say there's more positive feedback than negative. But in terms of not in person, internet, email, letters, things like that, I would say it's probably about 99 percent negative. And I get five to ten times more hate mail from Christians than I do from atheists for example.

BILL MOYERS: Why?

KATHARINE HAYHOE: Well, caring about climate is entirely consistent with who we are as Christians. But over the last several decades, we have increasingly begun to confound our politics with our faith. To the point where instead of our faith dictating our attitudes on political and social issues, we are instead allowing our political party to dictate our attitude on issues that are clearly consistent with who we are.

BILL MOYERS: What does that tell you?

KATHARINE HAYHOE: That this issue pushes a button. It is a giant red button as big as this table, and it really makes people mad because they feel like it threatens something that they hold dear. And that's

because we've been told that you can't be a Christian, or you can't be a conservative, or you can't be a person of faith or even a person of integrity and agree that climate is changing, that humans are responsible, and that there's something really important we need to do about it.

BILL MOYERS: Who's telling them that?

KATHARINE HAYHOE: Well, if you read the social science, which is honestly my favorite reading material these days, we have found out from social science that number one, if you take conservative Protestants and you ask them what they think about climate change, but you control for age, for conservatism, and for political party affiliation, then the bias drops out. That's what is accounting for conservative Protestants thinking climate change isn't real. It's our political affiliation.

But here's the thing. In the majority of cases, if you really dig down to the bottom of people's objections to climate change, they're not based on the science. They're based on the solutions. People fundamentally object to the solutions to climate change, because climate change is a tragedy of the commons.

So by definition, one individual's actions will not be sufficient to address the problem. We have to act together. Together it means government. People are fundamentally opposed to government solutions to a problem. And so, but it's a lot easier to say it isn't a real problem, than to say it is a real problem, and it's a very serious problem. But we don't support any action to do anything about it.

BILL MOYERS: I think I hear you suggesting that conservative Christians are Republicans who, are deeply influenced more by Republican opposition to government than by global warming itself. Because if they take the science seriously, we have to do something about it. And the only way we can do something about it, is collectively through government.

KATHARINE HAYHOE: Yes, I believe actually that climate change is a casualty of much larger societal issues. Just to give you an example going back even farther, when we talk about climate change, the words we hear are things like carbon tax, and government legislation.

If you go back in history, what was the whole American Revolution, what did the whole American Revolution come from? It came from tax and government tyranny, and government imposing sanctions and taxes on people that they didn't think were fair. And so I think it's actually imbedded in the American psyche to object to big government solutions that involve taxing people's rights to do or use whatever they want.

BILL MOYERS: You've been quoted saying you feel like the conservative community, the evangelical community, and many other Christian communities have been lied to. By whom?

KATHARINE HAYHOE: So with climate change, we have people who we trust in our community. We have people who are Christians, we have people who call themselves Christians, we have conservative leaders who may not be Christian but are very respected within the community. And these are the people standing up telling us it's a hoax, it's not real. Or even maybe it's real, but it's not a big deal and we don't have to worry about it.

BILL MOYERS: Well, this is the puzzling thing. You know, why so many conservatives in leadership positions, Republicans I'm talking about, why do they dismiss the science? What do they have to gain, except the satisfaction that they're limiting the growth of government?

KATHARINE HAYHOE: That's, oh that's a great question. And honestly, trying to figure out that question is one of the main reasons why I am now in the department of political science. My background's originally in physics, and then atmospheric science. And then just a couple of years ago, I actually moved departments for multiple reasons, as all of us do. But one of the reasons is because I feel like the science is there.

We have all the information we need to take precautionary steps on this issue. It's not a scientific issue, it's not a matter of one more report will do it. One more national climate assessment, that's what will solve the problem. One more new analogy, and people will get it. Information is not the answer. The answer has much more to do with who we are as humans, and how we function politically.

BILL MOYERS: So why is it that two Christians walking down the same road of faith suddenly turn in exactly the opposite directions of belief about this issue of global warming?

KATHARINE HAYHOE: I think it relates to the fact that we often look to leaders we trust and respect to tell us what to think about it. And especially in the more evangelical parts of the Christian community, we have a leadership vacuum. I mean, aside from Billy Graham, it's hard to name a conservative Christian leader who's been around for decades. People come and go. We don't have a Pope Francis. We don't have, you know, John Paul, who has written very extensively and eloquently on the environment.

So in that leadership vacuum, especially in the more conservative parts of the church, our political leaders step in. People who share values with us. The media steps in, people who will say the things that we agree with in terms of you know, abortion, gun control, immigration, things like that. So I think it's a matter of we are being told things by people who don't like the solutions to climate change, and have decided that it's a lot better and it's a lot smarter to deny the reality of the problem than to acknowledge it exists, but say you don't want do anything about it.

So we have people, for example, like Bob Inglis. Probably every politician when they're first elected, say, to Congress, they might be walked into a room and shown a picture of Bob Inglis and said, let me tell you what happened to Bob. He, very conservative person on every single issue, except climate change. His son convinced him that climate change really was real. Bob had the moral courage to stand up and say it is, and he was out.

BILL MOYERS: Defeated?

KATHARINE HAYHOE: Yes

BILL MOYERS: At the polls?

KATHARINE HAYHOE: Uh, huh. In the primaries.

BILL MOYERS: Presumably by many very Christian--

KATHARINE HAYHOE: Absolutely.

BILL MOYERS: --believers.

KATHARINE HAYHOE: And he is an outspoken Christian man. He shared every single value with people except for that one, and that one was enough to end his career as a politician. But I mean, you know Christians. We have a history of majoring on the minors.

I grew up in a church where the church split between cousin Gordon and somebody else over whether when you get to heaven you cups of equal size filled with different amounts of joy, or whether somebody gets a full cup of joy but the cups are different sizes. So compared to that, climate change is a much bigger issue.

BILL MOYERS: So you said the recently, "the evangelical world is the last significant holdout on the reality of this issue." This issue of man-made global warming. Do they have the muscle to prevent us from saving the planet?

KATHARINE HAYHOE: Goodness, I don't know the answer to that question. And I'm glad I don't, because what motivates me is hope. The hope that by just changing a few minds, by giving, and it's not, the responsibility is not mine to actually change their minds. I see my responsibility as giving people the information they need to make the right decision.

And so bringing the issue home and saying, climate change isn't just kind of number 151 on a list of things you care about. Let's look at the top five things you care about. Let's look at your kids health, your job security, how much your bills cost to pay, like, your air-conditioning bill and your water bill. And your faith. Let's look at things that matter, and then let's talk about how climate change interacts with and affects the things that you already love, you already hold dear. And so my hope is, I don't know how many people have to make the right decision to change the balance. But all I know is, I'm just gonna do my part.

BILL MOYERS: All right, then give people some concrete, specific things they can do about it.

KATHARINE HAYHOE: Three things. The first thing we can do is prepare to adapt to what we can't avoid. We already have a great idea of what is happening in each part of the country. Are we getting more frequent heavy rainfall and flood events? Are we seeing rising sea level? Are we seeing stronger hurricanes? Are we seeing more heat waves? Look at the U.S. National Climate Assessment, great resource online, written in very plain English. Not for scientists, for other people.

That tells us what's coming, and it just makes sense. It's like we've been driving a car all these years, looking backwards. We need to take our eyes off that rearview mirror and actually look down the road and say, in ten, 20, 30 years, how high will sea level have risen? Therefore, should I be building my house here?

How warm, or how wet, or how dry will it be therefore what types of crops should we be planting, if any? So that's the first thing, adaptation. The second thing we have to do is mitigation. Mitigation is reducing the amount of energy we're getting from carbon-based fuels. We can do that two ways. We can switch to alternative sources of energy, or we can use less. So on an individual level, the number one thing I recommend is going online and figuring out what our personal carbon footprint is.

The enormous balloon of carbon dioxide that we produce every year. And if it's a good carbon calculator, and there's many good ones, it'll give you a list of ten, twenty, thirty things that you specifically could do depending on how far you drive to work, how big your house is, what part of the country you live in, how much money you have, things like that.

Number three is we live in a democratic society. We need to tell our leaders that we care about this issue. Tell them, I'm a mom, and I care about it because of my kids. I'm a Christian, and I care about it because of my faith. I'm a conservative business person, and I care about it because I want a healthy economy. And the myth is that climate change and a healthy economy are opposed.

BILL MOYERS: That's right.

KATHARINE HAYHOE: We have the ability and I think we have the responsibility, to do that in the society that we live.

BILL MOYERS: Your parents were missionaries.

KATHARINE HAYHOE: Yes.

BILL MOYERS: Are you?

KATHARINE HAYHOE: I'm starting to think I might be.

BILL MOYERS: For?

KATHARINE HAYHOE: I mean, imagine a world where, you know, the highways are made of solar panels that charge our cars as we drive. Where every house is just made out of shingles of solar panels with a little wind turbine in the corner. Where we have no air pollution anymore, you know, killing children with asthma and people with respiratory disease. I mean, I know this sounds like utopia.

BILL MOYERS: Sounds to me like it could be a new gospel.

KATHARINE HAYHOE: It may be. A gospel that builds on the resources that God has given us. We have more than enough abundant energy to power our society from wind, from solar, from tides. All the things that we believe, as Christians, God created and has given to us as a free gift. So I think that there is the ability to have a better future, one that is built on the goodness that God has given us here in this world.

BILL MOYERS: Katharine Hayhoe, thank you very much for being with me.

KATHARINE HAYHOE: Thank you Bill.

BILL MOYERS: On Sunday, September 21st, Americans from all over the country are gathering here in New York City for the People's Climate March. It could be the largest such march and rally ever. And it comes two days before delegates from around the world will meet at the United Nations for a summit on climate change. The demonstrators will urge the leaders and activists in attendance to act now to stop global warming before it's too late.

At our website BillMoyers.com, you can find out more about the People's Climate March and the UN summit plus our continuing coverage of climate change news.

That's all at BillMoyers.com. I'll see you there and I'll see you here, next time.