

**INFORMATION REGARDING CALLS PRESENTED AND/OR SUPPORTED BY  
2013 RAINBOW ROUND TABLE**

**I TO ACCESS THE THREE WEEKLY CALLS via the Internet**

**A BBS RADIO** Go To [www.bbsradio.com](http://www.bbsradio.com); click on Talk Radio Station #2; click on "64K Listen"

**Thursday:** 9 pm – 12:00 pm EST **Stargate Round Table** **Host: Marietta Robert**

**Friday:** 9 pm – 2 am EST **Friday Night Hard News** **Hosts: T & R**

**Saturday:** 4:30 pm – 2 am EST **History of our Galactic World & NESARA** **Hosts: T & R**

**Friday, Saturday:** From **10 – 11 pm EST**, for one hour, the call moves to the Conference Call Line [PIN below] and then returns to BBS Radio.

- Use the following phone numbers to ask questions or make comments during the radio show.

530 – 413 – 9537 [line 1]

530 – 763 – 1594 [line 2 & 3]

530 – 746 – 0341 [line 4]

- **BBS Toll Free # in Canada, US 1 – 888-429-5471** This number picks up whichever line is available.

<b>B <u>Conference Call</u></b>	1-213 -342-3000	Thursday PIN #	87 87 87#
		Friday PIN #	23 23 23#
		Saturday PIN #	13 72 9#

**C Skype** **BBSradio2**

**D Archives for the 3 Programs listed above**

- To access the **FREE BBS archives** for any of these programs:
  - Go to [BBSRadio.com](http://BBSRadio.com); scroll down the column on the left hand side and click on "Current Program Archives".
  - The next page which comes up lists the programs alphabetically under the picture of the presenter. Find MariettaRobert's picture: Stargate Roundtable with Marietta Pickett and click on "Other Archives".
  - The page which comes up is the familiar one; click on "Save link as" and the archive will download to the site you indicate on your computer, or you can listen to the program directly.

- The **2013 Round Table website also has an archive section:**

<http://2013rainbowroundtable.ning.com/> look under the "Archives" tab for written notes.

**II TO ACCESS OTHER CALLS SUPPORTED BY 2013 Rainbow Roundtable**

**A Sunday, Mondays: 9 – 10:30 EST** **Cheryl Croci's Activation Calls**  
By telephone only: 1 – 213 - 342- 3000; PIN 9467441#

**B Tuesdays, 2<sup>nd</sup> & 4<sup>th</sup> of each month:** **Ashtar on the Road**  
**9:30 – 11:00 pm EST** Host is Fran; Susan Leland channels Ashtar & Mother Sekhmet  
[www.Ashtarontheroad.com](http://www.Ashtarontheroad.com)  

- Phone Number: 1 – 559 – 726 – 1300; PIN 163731#
- Call is free [except for long distance charges]
- Can also listen to the call via Skype

**C Wednesdays: 7:00 – 9:00 EST** **The Friends of The Aboriginal Moabite Nation Call**  
By telephone only: 1– 712-432-0900 PIN 666238#  
Replay # [good for 1 week] 1-712- 432-0990 PIN 666238#

**Host: Marietta Robert**

**Meditation: Angelsu**

**Mayan Calendar: Rainbird**

**20<sup>th</sup> Thurs – 11 IMIX** – a new unial; IMIX is the mother, the dragon – also Spring Equinox day

- In the wave of Cheun, the monkey; finished 20 days of core days yesterday – very intense
- Have 5 days, beginning with spring & the light that has come with it;
- 11 day is also IMIX, the tone day; we have 1 energy – community, beginning; creative manifestation, artist is an aspect of IMIX – a powerful day on this equinox.
- The red dragon has a support: ETZNAB the mirror
- Occult spiritual teacher is AHAU, the 20<sup>th</sup> glyph, the Christ Consciousness
- As we work with 11, we are looking at destruction and re-construction – work with ho'o'ponono

**21<sup>st</sup> Fri – 12 IK – the wind, the ancestors; 12 is crystal clear understanding**

**22<sup>nd</sup> Sat – 13 AKBAL** - 13 is transcendent; Akbal is the night; working with unseen world

**23<sup>rd</sup> Sun – 1 KAN** start a new wave, KAN the seed – prep the seeds in the ground - be open to life & harmony

**24<sup>th</sup> Mon – 2 CHICCAN** – transformative serpent energy; 2 is duality

**25<sup>th</sup> Tues – 3 CIMI** CIMI is the bridger of worlds, death and other energies coming together

**26<sup>th</sup> Wed - 4 MANIK** – the healing hand;

**27<sup>th</sup> Thurs – 5 LAMAT** - - the stargate

### **Astrology: Annie Rose**

- In relation to idea that we have more compassion: think of the people waiting for news of their loved ones from Malaysia Airlines SH 740 - that they should have acceptance of whatever the outcome is, and that we should send them thoughts of love and condolences: visualize us putting our arms around each one and hugging them so they know they are not alone;
  - thinks it was a female employee who had something to do with this
  - thinks the next 4 days will turn up something significant
- This is a new year; will turn out well in spite of what it looks like
- Capricorn, July – want to move, or change their homes
- Aries, Leos, Sag – will be exuberant and energized
- Libras: need to stop and take a look at themselves
  - Take a look at the people around you; dismiss those who are negative
- Russia, US: story not over – will be some startling revelations about how US gov't is run
- We are all at the end of a very long, long journey waiting for currency re-valuation – will be very soon: end of this week, early next week
  - For all 12 signs: a lessening of financial constraints
- Will see an outstanding production of crops this year: plenty of water, soil nutrients – not because of politicians, but because we are being blessed this year: will start with American farmers, and will escalate and move out: country will become more of a manufacturing country again, not just about finance – will return to the creative and productive state

### **This will be a very happy spring!**

**MR:** pleased to hear the farmers will be doing well, and that there are solutions etc that will help clean things up

**AR:** those who are trying to stop the farmers from selling products will be stopped.

**MR:** many countries do not want US products because they are non-GMO; speaks of the sows who could not longer carry babies to term due to GMO grains.

**AR:** need to learn from native Indian lore, and from the animals; speaks of her cats one of which avoids all kinds of foods

### **Hard News**

**R:** lots of law-breaking going on! Talked to Larry, Curly, Jack the Alien Hunter and Randi Rhodes

**Ed Schultz:** guest was Col Laurence Wilkerson on Crimea and the Ukraine & the difficult situation

- LW: very similar to situation at time of Cuban missile crisis when JFK Sr had so many war hawks around him;

**L, C and Jack:** Obama is in a unique position here: Col W brought up JFK Sr and that position:

- Dr Richard Hoagland's name came up: he wrote a white paper, as did JFK, how about the US and Russia should do a joint mission to the moon: if they did that, there would be folks on the moon who would help them iron out their differences
- Obama being told about **a joint mission to Mars:** when they get there, Ashtar and the others will help iron things out.

**R** asked them about this: stardrive.org – Jack Safardi, he's an astro physicist like Fred Alan Wolffe –

- Jack S and others are talking to 19.5\* about the next steps which creates a way in which dialogue can happen as opposed to continuing to fund the death machines and wars

**R: spent 5 minutes with Ranu MU:** what is the next step here: **Read my lips! NO NUKES!**

- How they get this completed: has to do with the financial fiasco in US & that austerity will not fly here
- It is a horror story: 9 law makers got sanctioned today: John Boehner, Harry Reid, John McCain, ? Landrau **[SEE BELOW]**

**T:** About going to Mars: **remember BO has been there and to other star systems**

- **KOS on Mr Putin:** "Don't you think you can mess with Mr Putin because you are messing with Magneto, and Magneto is more than a match for our spineless lawmakers"

**Magneto – a character in the X Men** – a master chess player; you have to be 64 moves ahead in the chess games to win and he always wins!

- It is all about the financial schemes like LIBOR, Goldman Sachs, Bernie Madoff, NATO and hedge funds and so on

- The woman who funded the beginning of NATO [daughter of Saudi Prince Feisal who was killed by King Saud; she and her mother lived in Switzerland] gave \$200 million of her inheritance to our black op gov't / non-leaders who then started NATO
  - it was created to get into the middle east and sure enough, they did: they are up to no good
  - They have met their match in Putin who is working behind the scenes with Xi of China, Obama in the US
  - The talk in the headlines is so corrupt: certain whistleblowers are penetrating this - Naomi Klein, Greg Palast, Ray McGovern; also RT TV is also a powerful force – no comparison in US
- **This week's I CHING** – don't try to figure this out right now: too many layers which will bury you & this process is just beginning
  - This story with Col Wilkerson saying this has been a long term plan and the only place this is being discussed is at the highest levels in the alphabet organizations; they don't want people to know!

- JFK was at the 1961 Star Nations Conference with the major leaders of the world
- W E B deBois and JFK were the only 2 people there to represent the people of the world: all the others were cabal.
- De Bois was poisoned; JFK's clone was taken out; at the same time, BO was being groomed to come into the presidency for this time

**R:** brings up Stanley Kubrick's movie **2010 – The time we make contact:** a sequel to **2001 –** Russia and US at the point of mutual destruction: world about to be exterminated; meeting on Jupiter's moon Europa when the folks there said how about a chat? Let's figure things out, not by war.

**T:** it is **Putin who is initiating this idea:** going to ask Obama to take part in a joint project, how to navigate space, knowing full well that there will be galactics there to be part of the discussion

- Remember that Mars has already intercepted earth; at the time of the Incas, people from Mars came here & were called Incans – a galactic intervention. Lord Monca is in charge of Mars and was Lord Veracocho
- There were advanced Incas as well as naughty ones, as well as Mayans who killed and ate people; the Aztecs did it, the Toltecs did it –
- **98% of all life on all the billions of planets and star systems in the Universe of Nabadon have the same DNA base as we do.**
- Mars needs a lot of cleaning up: all the failed experiments from Area 51 [cross breeding and such] are there, via the Chair technology which has been around for decades;
- we have been going back and forth to Mars for over 10,000 years – it is dog eat dog up there, as Obama found out and also Andrew Basiago
- All of this is the dark side of the story – yet Obama has used the principles of moving forward "yes we can" and not getting mixed up in the old stories and what the Republicans are trying to stop

**Reading:** US officials sanctioned by Russia

**[SEE BELOW]**

**Guest: Dr Carla Bozenksi**

[cousin of Angelsu]

- Has been researching some incredible products – is her own best guinea pig
- Due to an accident, was in a lot of pain – used some of her own products which she modified

**MR:** refers to Dr Carla as someone who believes in taking charge of one's own health

- Also did something for someone with breast cancer – inoperable – who came to her – in 2 months, the lady had no cancer after taking Dr Carla's naturopathic remedies which dissolved the tumours completely by the end of the 3<sup>rd</sup> month.
- Created a formula many years ago that cured the so-called bone cancer and helped the fibro myalgia pain in about 10 days time: the only negative comment was that he no longer wanted to drink wine at night to go to sleep or smoke medical cannabis for the pain: what she discovered was the 2 ingredients she had put in the formula had actually allowed the product to pass the blood-brain barrier quickly and balance endorphins and balance the endochronology of the brain so he was no longer addicted to certain things
  - The person she was working with had always smoked and drank wine: when he thought about it, he said he got the feeling, he should not do it again - he was pain free and about 6 weeks later, tried the smoking and the wine again – got very ill again, then he stopped permanently
- This was the formula she used on herself when she had such horrific pain due to the accident
  - has put on herself a particular kind of essential oil that has been infused with thousands of data in it and also has 23K gold in it -

**LISTEN TO THIS TO GET ALL THE DETAILS OF HOW THE FORMULAS FOR PAIN WORK**

- Sent Angelsu something just last week – it took LESS THAN A MINUTE to help Angelsu's pain – LIKE A SWITCH HAD BEEN FLIPPED!

**Dr Carla** describes what she did to the Black Widow Spider – 4 puncture wounds on the top of her right foot – used her own formulas and an electrical treatment from one of her pieces of equipment.

**Angelsu:** tells of her mother's experience with fire ants, and how Dr Carla's roll-on formula helps with the pain of those bites – referred to the formula as having an intelligence and knowing where to go to provide the most help to the sufferer.

- Explains how she uses the Dr Bach Flower Remedies, and her resistance to allopathic remedies [except in exceptional situations ]
- Speaks of the **Oil of Gladness formula** which has the ability to extract the gold in such a way that it micronizes the gold as a gold film on the inside of the bottle – which means that when we use it, we get the qualities of the gold in our blood stream, microscopic particles of gold, which affects aging and gets rid of arthritis and all kinds of conditions that can be reversed.
- **The original formula** she discussed is referred to as **The Magic Potion** – the client who used it was so crippled up the doctors wanted to operate: she used it every 2 hours and the gold dissolved what was formed in her body/bones

**MR:** Can people call her to get product until the website is ready again?

Website: Website is in re-construction – have to remove some of the devices  
no paypal buttons

However, [Drbozajeski@gmail.com](mailto:Drbozajeski@gmail.com) there is no information on the oils on the website!

<http://mycarlasgold.com/> - can see some of the formulas she created a while ago

[2 parts of the site are being re-worked & are unavailable at the moment:: “About Dr Carla” & “Testimonials”

**Cell:** 415-499-2553 if you are interested or want a consult

- Has an archived lecture about 1.5 hours long about the healing of the viruses & other things
- Paypal address: [docvanburan@gmail.com](mailto:docvanburan@gmail.com)

**ALL THE DETAIL OF THE DISCUSSION IS NOT IN THESE NOTES: NEED TO LISTEN TO THE TAPE.**

**TO GET THE UP-DATED WEBSITE ADDRESS, CALL MARIETTAROBERT @ 317-773-0061  
or e-mail HER @ [stargatemarietta@gmail.com](mailto:stargatemarietta@gmail.com)**

### **Hard News:**

**T:** Yanakovich got in due to corruption: 40 Million people in Ukraine, including Crimea; the ethnic Russian speaking people are in Crimea;

- From another program, they learned that Crimea had been Russian for over 300 years – only the last 50 years as part of Ukraine which was done by Kruschev who just handed them over to Ukraine so it is a deep-rooted story
- also the Russians saved the Crimeans from slaughter by Hitler which will not be not forgotten
- As of **last night, Crimeans pensions were doubled**; Kiev has been controlled by the west and have been living as dirt poor
- Misrepresentation of Russia by the West as bad, and the US as a good player – the UN is so corrupt too – Samantha Power is saying you can't distort the facts; the Russian rep is rebutting this

- UN, Fed Reserve, IMF, NATO, World Bank, changes in the tax system – all go down

**Reading:** mentions Harry Reid going after Koch Bros [who no longer have the \$ people think they have]

- Janet Yellin: in April, the \$ to be used for quantitative easing is going down; less & less each month
  - This is a fight among oligarchs; EU, Russia, US all have oligarchs – EU is filled still with Nazi thinking, along with our criminals in Congress - Only about 65 people in US Congress are not Nazis
- This kind of thinking has not yet begun to penetrate the thinking of most people
  - To be able to glean that JFK Sr is alive, and only a clone was killed – this info will only come from the galactic level of thinking and this is **not imaginary thinking!**
  - Imagine a being who is part of a planetary council of 9 [Sirius] who, since 18 years of age, has been all over the galaxy and Sirius and his wife and daughters have sat with Ashtar in the physical and KOS, Tom the Cat, and Larry, Curly and Mo – and all kinds of White Knights – this is enormous!!!

**Reading:** Scalia licks his chops ~ Upcoming SCOTUS Case May Be Even Worse than Citizens United

**[SEE BELOW]**

- W E deBois started the NAACP in 1906, he was born in 1868, 4 years after war was over and the Emancipation Proclamation came in.
- Behind the scenes, we are already past this
- Today, R talked to **Larry, Curly:** there are letters going out re: dinars, dongs, etc – the papers that are going out are null and void – **DO NOT GO THERE AT ALL!!!!**
  - Have to learn not to divide your loyalty: everything that is going on with the dinars and dongs etc has to do with keeping the "powers that were" in power longer; T & R were asked to make this clear.
- Thom Hartmann's show: found out today that a Kentucky coal co. has been dumping coal ash into the river between Kentucky and Ohio for years: there is radioactivity, lead poisoning, arsenic etc in the coal ash & now in the water
  - there is a huge lawsuit in the chute! Corporations are not people, and money is not speech!
- Thom Hartmann replayed the **speech of George Galloway before Congress in 2007**
  - GG: if people had listened to Kofi Anon, himself and some others, far different outcome
  - subject came up today because **TODAY IS THE 11TH ANNIVERSARY OF GOING INTO IRAQ WITH SHOCK AND AWE**
  - Twitter is 8 years old today, too.
- The UN has said that the Christian and Anti Bolaka fighters in the Central African republic have reached terrifying levels of carnage: cutting the heads off children and engaging in cannibalism –
  - this comes back to the west – IT MUST HAPPEN HERE!
  - This is Egypt, here! Jerusalem and all the cities along the Nile are here in North, Central and South America – this is fact supported by biblical texts and old maps.
  - Caves in Indiana; Cleopatra's body found in same place; Alexander the Great's tomb in SE Illinois complete with ET artifacts etc
- a young man, aged 13, was tried for a double murder: mandate was life in prison without parole: tried as an adult even though he was only 13, under 100 lbs and had nothing to do with the event -
  - locked up for life and is still there – the characters who did this by these mandates are getting ready to give him a new sentence this summer
- Jails for Judges coming along

- Gen. Sinclair – had gay sex with another man; raped 2 women – got no jail time, a slap on the wrist
  - Sen Gillibrand: another reason to take the responsibility for sexual abuse out of the line of command **[SEE BELOW]**
- **Janet Yellin: The Wolf of Wall Street:** won't let the ones on Wall Street get away with things
  - Was on C-Span for hours last night – they don't like her: talking about raising the interest rates next quarter – now people are pulling their money out of the dollar as they want a free skate to make profit **[SEE BELOW]**
- THINGS ARE GOING SUPER FAST, in spite of appearance of being slow!

**Reading:** Have you pieced together the puzzle of the flight to Beijing, China: here are the missing pieces:  
Patents, patents, patents! **[SEE BELOW]**

**Closing: Angelsu**

## INFORMATION RELATED TO THE NOTES



[View photo](#)

U.S. Sen. John McCain, R-Ariz., center, speaks during a news conference in Kiev, Ukraine, Saturday, March 15, 2014. McCain and a team of seven other senators concluded their visit in Kiev on Saturday with a news conference in which they reaffirmed their support to the interim Ukrainian government. (AP Photo/David Azia)

2014, March 20

MOSCOW (AP) — Russia slapped a travel ban Thursday on nine U.S. lawmakers and officials — the first retaliation against the United States for its sanctions against Russia for annexing Crimea.

The Russian Foreign Ministry made the move minutes after President Barack Obama announced a new round of sanctions. The ministry said "the use of sanctions is a double-edged sword that will boomerang against the United States."

A look at Obama's advisers and the U.S. lawmakers targeted by Russian sanctions:

### OBAMA ADVISERS:

- Caroline Atkinson, a deputy national security adviser for international economic affairs.
- Daniel Pfeiffer, a senior adviser to Obama.
- Benjamin Rhodes, a deputy national security adviser.

These three officials conceivably played a role in crafting the specific sanctions. The White House is aware of their inclusion on Russia's sanctions list but declined comment.

### US LAWMAKERS

- John Boehner, a Republican congressman from Ohio, Speaker of the U.S. House of Representatives and 2nd in the line of succession for the presidency. Boehner has supported Obama's pursuit of sanctions to respond to "Russian aggression" and has urged the administration to speed up the permit process to increase U.S. natural gas exports to counter Putin. "The speaker is proud to be included on a list of those willing to stand against Putin's aggression," his spokesman said.
- Harry Reid, a Democratic senator from Nevada who runs the U.S. Senate, sets the legislative agenda.
- John McCain, a Republican senator from Arizona who lost the 2008 presidential race to Obama. McCain has called for stronger punitive measures against Russia, calling Obama's responses timid. "I guess this means my spring break in Siberia is off, my Gazprom stock is lost, and my secret bank account in Moscow



is frozen. Nonetheless, I will never cease my efforts on behalf of the freedom, independence, and territorial integrity of Ukraine, including Crimea," he said. McCain had traveled to Ukraine to support the protesters who forced President Viktor Yanukovich to flee to Russia last month.

— Robert Menendez, a Democratic senator from New Jersey who chairs the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. Menendez criticized Putin's annexation of Crimea as a "reckless and unacceptable act that contravenes all international norms and laws" and said it called for a swift response from the U.S., Europe and other allies. Menendez tweeted: "If standing up for democracy and sovereignty in Ukraine means I'm sanctioned by Putin, I'll take it."

— Mary Landrieu, a Democratic senator from Louisiana who chairs the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee. Landrieu, who is seeking re-election this year to a fourth term, had strongly criticized the Russian government after it ended American adoptions of Russian children.

— Dan Coats, a Republican senator from Indiana and member of the Senate Intelligence Committee. He co-sponsored a resolution, which passed the Senate unanimously, that condemned the Russian military seizure of Ukraine and called on Obama to "use all appropriate economic elements of U.S. national power" in coordination with allies to protect Ukraine's independence, sovereignty and economic integrity. Coats tweeted Thursday: "While I'm disappointed that I won't be able to go on vacation with my family in Siberia this summer, I am honored to be on this list."

<http://news.yahoo.com/us-officials-lawmakers-sanctioned-russia-181850508.html>

## 2014, Mar 19 **Scalia Licks His Chops~ Upcoming SCOTUS Case May Be Even Worse than Citizens United**

Legal expert warns that *McCutcheon v. FEC* could eradicate all donor limits.



*Photo Credit: Stephen Masker/Creative Commons*

Sometime in the next three months – perhaps as early as next week – the Supreme Court will issue its next big campaign finance decision, a ruling that reformers worry will further open floodgates of one-percenter campaign cash. The case, *McCutcheon v. Federal Election Commission*, concerns a challenge by the RNC and conservative CEO Shaun McCutcheon to the federal laws restricting how much one person can donate to candidates and party committees each cycle.

“Really what’s at stake here is whether there’s just a few hundred or a few thousand people who can dominate the entire election process in the U.S.,” warned attorney Adam Lioz, a counsel for the progressive think tank Demos and co-author of the [amicus brief](#) filed by groups including the NAACP, the Sierra Club and the American Federation of Teachers. In a Monday interview, Lioz responded to arguments from Mitch McConnell, Antonin Scalia and First Amendment attorney Floyd Abrams; discussed why neither side is satisfied with a 1976 precedent; and argued the legitimacy of America’s political process was under threat. A condensed version of our conversation follows.

### **What is the worst-case scenario in this case?**

The worst-case scenario would be that the Court not only strikes down the aggregate limits, but does so in a way that calls into question contribution limits more generally, and puts them in the crosshairs...

The Court for decades has viewed spending limits under a standard known as strict scrutiny...but has been more deferential...with regard to contribution limits...

If the Court were to change the legal standard that they use to review contribution limits...it could also engage the courts in a very sticky game of judging the levels of different contribution limits, and sort of trying to take a scalpel to the work of the legislative branch...

We have a Supreme Court who no longer contains any members that have had political experience, getting involved in substituting its own judgment for those who actually have experience running for office, and know how these laws operate in practice...

So the worst case-scenario is to jeopardize contribution limits generally, which would be a radical departure from four decades of campaign finance law, where the Court has been very clear again and again that the legislative branch has the discretion to set reasonable contribution limits and make sure that the integrity of our democracy is not threatened.

### **When you say “the integrity of our democracy,” what is the nature of the threat there?**

The Court has for years said that we can pass laws to fight corruption or its appearance. Obviously the prospect of contributors, wealthy contributors, giving large donations directly to political candidates raises that prospect of

corruption or its appearance — and fairly directly. And then there's also the more general sort of broader threat to the integrity of our democracy, when the citizens of a democracy accurately perceive that a very small number of wealthy donors calls the tune, and that practically speaking the size of one's wallet determines the strength of her voice in our democracy. This is a direct threat to citizens' participation, and to the legitimacy of the laws and policies that come out of our political process at the end of the day.

**What, if anything, stood out to you in the oral argument on this case?**

Some of the justices seem to really understand what's at play here... There was one reference to [how] under 500 people could fund the whole shooting match...

We already have a situation where candidates know that they have to appeal to the donor class, a very small percentage of the overall populace, in order to get in the game and run effectively. This decision, if it comes out badly, could put that phenomenon on steroids. And now all of the sudden, instead of thousands of people who are members of that donor class, we could have a few hundred people who are really playing this gatekeeper role across the country, where candidates are forced to make a pilgrimage to certain wealthy donors and make sure they get their stamp of approval in order to really be viable...

So that's I think really what's at stake here, is whether there's just a few hundred or a few thousand people who can dominate the entire election process in the U.S. Which would not only open up new doors to direct types of corruption — because it would return us to the old soft money system, where individual office-holders could solicit large checks for their party and their fellow candidates. But it would also really concentrate control over our political system and undermine people's faith in our democracy...

When you ask the very wealthy what their priorities are, they have the exact opposite priorities as the general public.

**Justice Scalia said in [oral] argument that it was “fanciful to think that the sense of gratitude that an individual” elected official feels “because of a substantial contribution” to the RNC or DNC is “any greater” than for a massive contribution to a PAC trying to re-elect them that would already be legal. Is he wrong?**

Well, I think Justice Scalia's statement demonstrates the fallacy at the heart of Citizens United — in that what he's pointing to is the very real phenomenon that when wealthy contributors make million-dollar contributions to outside groups like super PACs, that there is certainly a level of gratitude and appreciation generated amongst the folks they're aiming to support.

But these things are also a matter of degree... If the Court strikes the aggregate limit, they'd be creating a situation where the candidate him- or herself could directly solicit million-dollar checks on behalf of his or her party and/or fellow candidates, through the phenomenon of joint fundraising committees...

That increases the nexus, and puts candidates into more direct contact with the donors in terms of the multimillion-dollar checks...

The contributions that large donors can make to candidates often take place early in the election cycle, in the primary campaigns, or even when people are deciding whether to run for office in the first place... Big money acts as a filter, determining who runs and who wins...

In that very important way, [those] kinds of big checks to candidates have a more profound effect on the system as a whole than outside spending. And so therefore it is reasonable there be greater protection against those kinds of big checks to candidates...

[Also] the majority of outside spending tends to be concentrated in a handful of races that are highly competitive,

and so therefore already expensive. And so the marginal value of each dollar spent by a super PAC in a, you know, \$50 million race is going to be much less than the marginal value of a dollar that a big donor can give to a candidate earlier in the process.

**Senator McConnell's [brief](#) in this case argues that the government's arguments reveal that "The real concern is with the raw amount of money a single individual could contribute," and that the "attempt to justify the aggregate limit as a naked restraint on too much individual speech is an affront to the First Amendment." Why do you disagree?**

The government's argument — and the argument that should win the day in this case — is that allowing one person to give an unlimited amount into federal elections presents two concrete problems. Number one: It facilitates the circumvention of the...base contributions limit, the limit [on cash] that goes directly to a candidate, because it gives more opportunities for candidates, and parties especially, to pass around money and to aggregate sums...

And then...it puts individual candidates back in the business of soliciting huge checks from individual donors, so [it] essentially revives the soft money system that Congress acted in...McCain-Feingold to end...

In terms of the broader argument...the government has a responsibility to fight the appearance of corruption, and to protect the integrity of our democracy. And when individuals are giving millions of dollars into the system — that, again, are skewing the priorities of our elected officials, are distracting our elected officials from pursuing the objectives of the general public, and essentially making our elected officials more responsive to the donor class than to their constituents — then that is another compelling reason for the government to limit large contributions to candidates and to the parties.

**Debating Citizens United, the First Amendment attorney Floyd Abrams [wrote](#), at The Nation, "People who would enthusiastically defend the free speech rights of Nazis, pornographers and distributors of videos of animals being tortured or killed were appalled that corporations and unions should be permitted to weigh in on who should be elected president." And he specifically warned that in the arguments over Citizens United, "the assistant solicitor general defending the constitutionality of the statute was forced to concede that the same logic that the government used to defend the statute would, as well, permit the government to criminalize the publication of a book by a corporation urging people to vote for a candidate." What do you make of those arguments?**

When it comes to the relationship between money and speech, there is a fundamental difference between content and amplification... I do not support the government being able to discriminate and regulate based on the content of anyone's speech, or based on the ideas that are being put forth. What we're talking about here is about whether a wealthy individual or a corporation that accumulates wealth in the economic sphere should be able to use that economic might to purchase political power by amplifying its voice — using essentially a million-dollar megaphone...drowning out the rest of us in a sea of campaign cash. And that is a fundamentally different question than whether it's appropriate to silence certain ideas because of the content of that speech...

Ultimately, what we're talking about is the relationship between economic capitalism and political democracy... One of the universal values that we hold in our political sphere is that we all come to the political table as equals, to make decisions together. And if we allow people who are successful — or even just lucky — in the economic sphere to use that economic might to purchase political power, then we threaten the core of our democratic values. And so the concept of preventing for-profit corporations from purchasing political power by intervening directly into elections has everything to do with how we as society want to balance the relationship between ourselves as political equals and separately as economic players.

**SCOTUS blog's Amy Howe [counts](#) at least three conservative justices who've indicated that the 1976 decision *Buckley v. Valeo* should be overturned, leading to fewer restrictions. But activists who favor campaign finance regulation have also taken issue with *Buckley v. Valeo* from the other side, for accepting the premise that contributions are speech. Do you see *Buckley* as a precedent that is worth saving?**

No. But we need to overturn *Buckley* in the correct way...

*Buckley* has given us a lot of problems over the years... It introduced this concept of money as speech, and it was not at all sensitive to the idea that money is primarily used in our system to amplify speech, as opposed to create speech initially...

[And] *Buckley* is the first place where we got this flawed concept...that only corruption or its appearance are acceptable reasons for [regulating] money in politics. And that has caused lots of problems over the years.

What we need to do is to make room for other core American values to be at play in this debate. So, for example: the core value of political equality. Core values of participation in our democracy...

We definitely need to look at — to be transforming — the Court's entire approach to money in politics. We need to go back to *Buckley*, start over, and get it right

**Who is the justice to watch here? Does this ultimately come down to how far to the right John Roberts wants to go on this?**

I think John Roberts is an important justice to watch, because while he has been skeptical of campaign finance reform throughout his tenure on the court, he's also been sensitive to the status and reputation of the court...

The American people...are watching the Court very closely for this *McCutcheon* case. There are people who are ready to take action in its wake...

A radical decision from the Court, that jeopardizes contribution limits in general, will really do a significant amount of harm to the Court's stature. Because the public does not believe that corporations are people. The public does not believe that money is speech. And the public does not believe that one person should be able to dump \$3.5 million into an election and drown out their fellow citizens, threatening the integrity of our democracy.

[Josh Eidelson](#) ([josheidelson.com](#)) is a *Nation* contributor and was a union organizer for five years. He covers labor as a contributing writer at *Salon* and *In These Times*. Check out his [blog](#) or follow him on [Twitter](#).

[http://www.opednews.com/Quicklink/Scalia-Licks-His-Chops-Up-in-General\\_News-Campaign-Contributions\\_Campaign-Funds\\_Citizens-United-140319-515.html](http://www.opednews.com/Quicklink/Scalia-Licks-His-Chops-Up-in-General_News-Campaign-Contributions_Campaign-Funds_Citizens-United-140319-515.html)

2014, Mar 20 **Jeffrey Sinclair: US general guilty of 'inappropriate relationships' avoids jail**  
Army general reprimanded and fined \$24,100 for relations with subordinates after sexual assault charges dropped in plea deal

[Karen McVeigh](#) in New York

<http://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/mar/20/army-jeffrey-sinclair-no-jail-time-trial-sexual-assault>



Jeffrey Sinclair smiled and hugged his two lawyers in the courtroom Thursday morning after the sentencing. Photo: Ellen Ozier /Reuters

An Army general who was accused of sexually assaulting his lover was reprimanded on Thursday and ordered to pay \$24,100 in fines and restitution but will not face jail time or a demotion in rank.

Brig Gen Jeffrey Sinclair, 51, who is married with two children, pleaded guilty this week to reduced charges, in a plea deal which saw the dismissal of separate charges that he forced a military intelligence officer with whom he was having an affair into oral sex and threatened to kill her and her family.

He admitted having a three-year affair with a the officer – a 34-year-old captain – and two other inappropriate relationships with subordinates and pled guilty to maltreatment of the captain, disobeying a commander's order and misusing a government credit card for trips to see his mistress.

Sinclair, thought to be the highest-ranking general ever to be tried for sexual assault, was deputy commander of the 82nd Airborne Division and of American forces in southern Afghanistan, when he was recalled in 2012.

Upon hearing the sentence, Sinclair smiled and hugged his two lawyers in the courtroom at Fort Bragg, according to the Associated Press. Outside the building, he said "the system worked" and all he wanted to do now was "hug my wife and sons."

As part of the plea deal, Sinclair's sentence could not exceed terms enclosed in a sealed agreement between defence lawyers and military attorneys. The agreement, unsealed Thursday, called for Sinclair to serve no more than 18 months in jail.

In closing arguments, prosecutors said that Sinclair should be thrown out of the Army and lose his military benefits, while his defence argued that would harm his innocent wife and children the most.

The two sides also offered contrasting arguments about the seriousness of the charges he was accused of.

"It's not just one mistake. Not just one lapse in judgment. It was repeated," said prosecutor Maj Rebecca DiMuro. "They are not mistakes. We are not in the court of criminal mistakes. These are crimes."

After the plea deal, Sinclair could have faced a maximum penalty of 20 years, although the prosecution did not ask the judge for a prison sentence. The charges included requesting explicit photographs from female

army officers, possessing pornography in a combat theatre and seeking a date with a lieutenant.

The judge could have dismissed the general from the army.

Sinclair pleaded guilty to adultery, which is a crime in the military, in addition to using a government-issued credit card to pay for trips to see his lover and other conduct unbecoming an officer.

The case against him began to unravel when it emerged that his accuser may have perjured herself in a pretrial hearing in January, amid questions of whether military officials improperly rejected a previous plea deal because of political concerns.

Amid what has been described as an “epidemic” of sexual assault in the military, Congress has been recently engaged in a heated debate over how best to tackle it, with a central issue being whether the prosecution of sexual assault should be taken out of the chain of command. Both sides in the debate have cited the problem-ridden case in arguments to support their case.

The defence had called a host of character witnesses this week to laud Sinclair as a selfless leader.

During his hearing, Sinclair broke down in tears multiple times, including when a letter from his wife was read aloud.

He apologized to his family and the women with whom he admitted inappropriate relationships.

“I’ve been frustrated and angry, but I don’t have to look any further than the mirror for someone to blame,” he said.

In the letter, Rebecca Sinclair, who hasn’t attended her husband’s hearings, said she hasn’t fully forgiven her husband but doesn’t want the army to punish him and his family further with a significant reduction to his pension and other benefits.

“Believe me when I tell you that the public humiliation and vilification he has endured are nothing compared to the private suffering and guilt that he lives with every day,” she wrote.

The case has dragged on for two years, amid an ongoing debate about how best to tackle rising numbers of sexual assault in the military.

Victims groups have long argued that independent military prosecutors should be in charge of deciding whether to go forward with cases, to avoid the conflicts of interest that having commanders in charge brings.

On Thursday, senator Kirsten Gillibrand said the case provided a argument for her recent unsuccessful bill, opposed by military leaders, to strip commanders of the authority to prosecute cases and put such cases instead in the hands of independent military lawyers.

In a statement, Gillibrand said: “This case has illustrated a military justice system in dire need of independence from the chain of command. It’s not only the right thing to do for our men and women in uniform, but would also mitigate issues of undue command influence that we have seen in many trials over the last year.”

Gillibrand is expected to renew her effort to change military law when the Senate begins work on a defence policy bill in the spring.

Brian Purchia, of Protect Our Defenders, a group supporting victims of military sexual assault, described

the outcome of the case as “slap in the face” to victims. Purchia said: “This is showing the world that the Pentagon is serious about sexual assault? This man, who forces subordinates to send nude photographs of themselves and was accused of threatening the lives of families? This is the person they want in command?”

“This sends a very bad signal to the troops and those victims thinking of coming forward” said Purchia. It shows yet again why we need independent and impartial justice system for military sexual assault.”

Greg Jacobs, a former Marine and the policy director of the Service Women's Action Network policy director said: “The General Sinclair case will go down in history as yet another reason we need Senator Gillibrand's Military Justice Improvement Act.”

*The Associated Press contributed to this report*

<http://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/mar/20/army-jeffrey-sinclair-no-jail-time-trial-sexual-assault>



## 2014, Mar 19 Janet Yellen is the Wolf of Main Street

At her press conference, the Fed chair declared a view of the economy with a human face – a view that Wall Street hates

• PLUS: [Federal Reserve axes economic stimulus](#)

Heidi Moore [theguardian.com](http://theguardian.com), Wednesday 19 March 2014 20.40 GMT



One analyst complained that Yellen was 'winging it' and was 'not

helpful'. Exactly. Photograph: Larry Downing / Reuters

Wall Street is finally being forced to think for itself.

Today marked the first press conference for Janet Yellen, the first female chairman of the Federal Reserve. The Fed holds these press conferences regularly to let the public know how the nation's central bank is delivering on its two major tasks: lowering the unemployment rate so that nearly all Americans have jobs; and controlling inflation, to make sure you're not paying too much at the supermarket.

It was historic enough to see a woman deliver the official diagnosis on the US economy: the economy is growing, but slowly. Unemployment is still too high. Millennials are living at home.

Yet Yellen's first policy statement was historic for another major reason: she showed she is running a very different kind of Federal Reserve than the one Ben Bernanke ran. Unlike Bernanke, who often catered to Wall Street's fears, this new Federal Reserve appears reluctant to play the usual reindeer games.

The Fed is stepping away from its reputation as a bunch of economics nerds eager to please the cool frat boys on the trading floors.

The best example came when Yellen wiped away one lazy way of measuring the economy's health. The Fed, under Bernanke, promised that when the unemployment rate hit 6.5%, the central bank would raise interest rates. This was called *quantitative* guidance, and it fed Wall Street's fetish for largely made-up numbers. The 6.5% benchmark was a big hit with traders. It meant they didn't have to think very hard: when unemployment hit 6.5%, Wall Street could start girding itself for a rise in interest rates.

Then Yellen shut down the betting parlor. [In a statement](#), the Fed said it "will take into account a wide range of information, including measures of labor market conditions, indicators of inflation pressures and inflation expectations, and readings on financial developments. This is what is now called 'qualitative guidance'".

Translation: the Fed is looking at when the economy improves, and the economy comprises a giant number of measures and statistics. If Wall Street wants Cliffs Notes, it will have to look elsewhere.

That was Janet Yellen's declaration of independence. And that matters even – especially! – if you don't work on Wall Street.

Here's why: Wall Street cares a lot about when interest rates rise – it determines how much profit traders at Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley make on things like Treasury bonds and mortgages.

The result of the Fed's 6.5% benchmark: all market pundits did every month was spend hours going googly-eyed over the unemployment rate. On Twitter, the watering hole for economists and media, it became a game called [#NFPGuesses](#), where "NFP" stood for "non-farm payrolls," the technical term for what the rest of us call the unemployment rate. Pundits and economists would try to guess the unemployment rate, and then, like holding your March Madness bracket printout at the bar, everyone would cheer or groan about whether they correctly nailed the score – never spending much time to think about the lives, the jobs, and the faces underlying those employment numbers. Those were too distant from the elite world that can afford to think about data as a cold number.

This hype-ocracy was both short-term and misguided: the unemployment rate was dropping, yes, but that's because more people were dropping out of the workforce. The unemployment rate only measures people who are still in the workforce and can't find jobs; it ignores those who have given up.

For normal people, at the same time, interest rates became a distant concern. The economy is so unstable, and banks are so tight with money, that most people have had a hard time trying to borrow money for everything from mortgages to home equity lines of credit to auto loans to small business loans. The only time most people have seen an interest rate recently was to check on their credit-card statements.

As a result, obsessing about interest rates was becoming one of those sharp divides between Wall Street and Main Street: while traders obsessed about when interest rates would rise, normal people were still trying to figure out where the jobs would come from.

Yellen has swiped the blackboard clean. No longer will the Fed promise to raise interest rates at 6.5% unemployment. Instead, the Fed will raise interest rates when the economy is strong enough to justify it. Wall Street will just have to get over itself.

Yellen was clear that from now on, those market pundits are going to be forced to really think about where the economy is going, using a range of numbers, including how many people are dropping out of the workforce, how easy mortgages are to get, and whether regular people find it easy to borrow.

In her comments today, Yellen showed a sensitivity to the economy as real people experience it: mortgages that are hard to get, businesses that aren't investing, "kids shacking up with their families", people dropping out of the labor force because they can't find jobs.

This is a sensible view - a view of the economy with a real and human face - but Wall Street hates that. Wall Street thrives on numbers. It believes the highest and best use of the Federal Reserve's time is coddling the financial world. The Fed is no longer coddling. It is cutting its Wall Street-centric stimulus program, called quantitative easing, and it's ending its cheat sheet for traders.

This approach will go down hard. Peter Boockvar, a managing director with the Lindsey Group, derisively [called](#) the Fed's changed approach "winging it", and complained, "policy is now even more subjective". He concluded: "not helpful".

Yellen seems to embrace that. She appeared to refuse to be the oracle that market strategists want. "This is the committee's forecast, and it is based on the committee's understanding of the economy at this time," she said with finality to inquiring reporters. "And that could change over the next several years."

Yellen doesn't know the future. Her radical and historic act today was in admitting it.

<http://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2014/mar/19/janet-yellen-fed-wall-street-press-conference>

**2014, Mar 15 Rothschild Struck Again?? Patents To Blame For Missing Flight 370?? (Video)**

By N. Morgan

<http://beforeitsnews.com/alternative/2014/03/rothschild-stuck-again-patents-to-blame-for-missing-flight-370-video-2919004.html>

(*N.Morgan*) On observation of this situation with the missing Flight 370 many are coming to the conclusion that this wasn't an accident or a plane crash, but something far more sinister and perhaps, murderous. Why is it taking authorities so long to locate this plane? with all of their high tech gadgets and radars, they cannot locate this aircraft?

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=KccsA4XAfrg](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=KccsA4XAfrg)

Maybe they don't want it found. That is what this is all pointing to. A major cover up and maybe murder/kidnapping. Some of the people on that plane held shares in a Rothschild venture. If they all died, the shares would then go back to him. Is this the motive?

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=KccsA4XAfrg](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=KccsA4XAfrg)

**Malaysia Airlines Flight 370: "Patents Patents Patents?"**

***This update on Malaysia Airlines flight 370 is about an online message and e-mail that is currently circulating on the internet.***

The message is posted below:

***“Have you pieced together the puzzle of missing flight 370 to Beijing China ?? If not, here are your missing pieces.***

***Patents Patents Patents***

***Four days after the missing flight MH370 a patent is approved by the Patent Office, 4 of the 5 Patent holders are Chinese employees of Freescale Semiconductor of Austin TX.***

***Patent is divided up on 20% increments to 5 holders.***

***Peidong Wang, Suzhou, China, (20%)***

***Zhijun Chen, Suzhou, China, (20%)***

***Zhihong Cheng, Suzhou, China, (20%)***

***Li Ying, Suzhou, China, (20%)***

***Freescale Semiconductor (20%)***

***If a patent holder dies, then the remaining holders equally share the dividends of the deceased if not disputed in a will.***

***If 4 of the 5 dies, then the remaining 1 Patent holder gets 100% of the wealth of the patent.***

***That remaining live Patent holder is Freescale Semiconductor.***

***Who owns Freescale Semiconductor ??***

***Jacob Rothschild through Blackstone who owns Freescale.***

***Here is your motive for the missing Beijing plane, as all 4 Chinese members of the Patent were passengers on the missing plane.***

***Patent holders can alter the proceeds legally by passing wealth to their heirs. However, they cannot do so until the Patent is approved. So when the plane went missing, the patent had not been approved. Thus, Rothschild gets 100% of Patent once Patent holders declared deceased.”***

**The patent that got this online message started is this one, US 008671381, from March 11, 2014: Saved copy:**

**<http://truthnewsinternational.files.wordpress.com/2014/03/us008671381.pdf>**

- Original: [A](#), [B](#)