

2012, Nov 30

Friday Night Hard News Call

TO LISTEN TO THIS CALL:

- GO TO BBS RADIO 2 & SCROLL DOWN THE SCHEDULE UNTIL YOU REACH FRIDAY NIGHT.
- CLICK ON "HARD NEWS ON FRIDAY" & THE INFORMATION PAGE WILL COME UP.
- UNDERNEATH THE PICTURE OF THE GALAXY AND THE LOTUS, YOU WILL SEE A BLUE BOX WITH THE WORDS **PROGRAM ARCHIVES**.
- CLICK ON THAT BOX TO GET TO THE LISTING OF PROGRAM ARCHIVES.
- YOU CAN DOWNLOAD THE PROGRAM TO YOUR OWN COMPUTER OR LISTEN DIRECTLY.

Opening: **Angelsu** R and T have been on the scene for a long time!
 Having the news from Faction 3 is beyond prize!

Phone Numbers: 530-413-9537 [line 1]
 530-513-6472 [line 2, 3]
BBS Toll Free 888-429-5471 **Toll Free in North America**
Skype: BBSradio2
Website: www.2013RainbowRoundTable.ning.com

Meditation: **Angelsu**

Housekeeping: **Rainbird**

- BBS:**
- A listener-supported radio program
 - We only need \$214 to complete this week! **Thank you! Thank you! Thank you!**
 - Go to BBS Radio 2; find the listing for Friday Night Hard News; find the **Paypal** button
 - **NO COST TO ACCESS THE ARCHIVES for any of the T & R PROGRAMS**

- T & R:**
- Need some gas money, food money - RENT COMING UP - by DEC 8th
 - we can eat for them too as we do it in unity consciousness
 - On the website: www.2013RainbowRoundTable.ning.com
 - There is a **Paypal** button on this site
 - **Please notify them if you're sending something:** koran999@comcast.net
 - Rama's mailing address for cheques, Money orders: Ram D Berkowitz
 1704 B Llano St, # 249
 Santa Fe, NM 87505
 - **phone contact** is via MariettaRobert: 317-773-0061
 or by e-mail: stargatemarietta@gmail.com

Hard News:

T: Palestine is not a non-member, observer state - General Assembly has voted overwhelmingly in support of this

R: this is a big F*** deal because they can now go to the ICC and present international war crimes of Israel against Palestine

T: reads from Palestinian President Mahmoud Abbas -

- * Palestine as a country - the whole of that land has been that country for 1000s of years!

Caller: called on a land line - knew there would be some wonky stuff! There is lots of interference
There is a limit to how far T & R - question was about why galactics cannot help them

- if they got direct help, it would not be beneficial to them

T: that was about Faction 3 - from the beginning, they cannot have any contact with Faction 2 and everyone except Rama is under a gag order

Caller: he has been finding the freedom to say that wherever he goes - he's been trying to handle the mantle with as much discretion as possible: he heard her say they could not get direct financial help - they have to operate without that contact

T: Right from the beginning, Faction 2 did not get to talk to Faction 3 at all ;

- in 2002, Dove did not like that & wanted a direct line with KOS; he said no
- she went to DC looking for KOS and met Ted Gunderson instead, and he took her out.

Caller: two weeks ago, he asked that question - later, he got taken down

• Maybe because he was in the inbetween zone between 3D and 5D, in the transitional level, he could not be taken down completely - he is kicking something over; lots has gone down since

- Caller knows her perspective on Poof but he morphed from the Galactic round table & has ??
 - Everything is morphing, everyone is trying to contribute now - all playing out, the whole coup thing
 - all the generals are going down - those who know about the big picture can see it - he knew the play would be made - these are the times now - we don't know how far we can go now - everyone is listening: he is not privy to the info they are, but he has had to reach into his heart and make the best step possible with good intention

R: in terms of what you understand about Poof - they have known about her since 1999 when the story began about the O positive ? - all were connected with CH& MK -

- all of this has been turned upside down and inside out due to the changing timelines -
- Faction 3, the wingmakers, the faction of light are in the process of making sure this is completed and is not in the hands of the traders on Wall Street who are going to receive a hug from Mother en route to the Dark Rift: love them more

Caller: Poof says all the right things, unless you gloss it completely!

R: lots of emotional baggage, eons of it! Could do a whole night on 9 and one half weeks and how the dark ones play with you and spit you out: this goes back to the Fertile Crescent: you cannot enter the Gates of Ishtar if you are not aligned with the highest good of all concerned

- The rules have not changed: at the soul level, these souls deal with Mother; in the 3 D realm until we are in 5th, Faction 2 gets no connection to Faction 3
- Faction 2 was originally the military and members of Congress; this bag got mixed up - still 1 - 2% are not coming along on the right path, and they still are angry with the Commander in Chief because of his skin colour
 - This is why we are still waiting for the galactics: if we can't get past this issue, we can't move forward - **it is the one issue coming full circle for all humanity to deal with**
- POTUS spoke in Hatfield , PA and he was on fire: we are going to go all the way over the fiscal cliff, and the tax rates are going back to Clinton rates.
 - He could not talk about NESARA - but they can talk about black box days and circle days -
 - **our home work is to be neutral and send them love!**
- Ed Schultz and John Nichols had a wild time with Scott Walker: every week one of his aides has been indicted for fraud, or stealing \$ from people's accounts

T: there can be no contact because, at a soul level, may we pass every test

- if they had inside knowledge of what F3 is doing, they would not pass their tests - AIPAC could call up and say we have a deal for you

- At the soul levels, as you make a soul decision that you want to work for the light, you can step out of the ring and ask forgiveness and talk to Mother - Susan Rice as of yesterday got up and showed her true colours -
- R said she has showed her true colours and is as bad as McCain and Hillary combined: BO knows what she is like: F 3 says she is completely compromised: yet under the circumstances of F 2 and where she is - she has done a stunning job at the level of where the exposure is at!
- McCain, the pot calling the kettle, Ms Rice, black is not on - Barack is a master and the others are still learning - will go on until after the arrests have been made and it will change in an instant.

UNTIL THESE ONES HAVE BEEN ARRESTED, do not give your personal information out to any -

- Mother has been scrambling their information! They are trying to re-get the info because Mother scrambled it once - every time they get more personal info, Mother has to scramble it again and time is wasted. The rules have not changed.
- There was a time when T & R worked with Faction 2 and this did not pan out; F 3 told them [F 2 folks] "you are not F3, do not pursue this." They did and they will be treated accordingly by Mother.
- This is why December's astrology is so powerful. The risk is so high right now - who cut the wires under the ocean? That is what they did! Mossad
- People like Poofness and Casper can ask for forgiveness from Mother: they have not made a choice to step out of the ring, and have continued to go deep into their ego - so we send love.
- The AIPAC info [in the following] is 100% true: Gordon Duff does not like Obama, and he is Faction 2

Reading: AIPAC, Decapitators Inside US Government~ Intelligence Analyst

Caller: Israel stunned by UN defeat, pushes settlement plans - announcing that authorizing 3000 new settler homes on West Bank and Jerusalem

T: yes, they know about it: Israel is in so much trouble. Ultimately, there will be no Israel at all and a one-state solution called Palestine.

- Israel is part of a plot to assassinate some others
- They are foolish if they think they can get away with this - and link Obama, Biden, Hillary, Panetta & ?
 - This IS show down time - the impudence is beyond words! They are inter-galactic war criminals, not children - the space ship technology that Dr Keshe has is also in the hands of Obama, Putin etc - they also know they cannot out do Ashtar!

Continues reading the Duff article - Win Ho Lee WAS NOT A CHINESE SPY - the 2 discs taken from Los Alamos labs by a Bill Clinton clone! TELLS THE STORY OF THE NUKES, BUSH JR

- A nuke was launched on Tel Aviv: they were willing to take out a million of their own people to begin WW 3 with Iran - but it was stopped by ASHTAR!

[LISTEN TO THE COMMENTARY]

Putin is NOT A THREAT

The cover-up is Hillary - Duff is not aware of what is going on - **The reason is that the actual spies are internal to the gov't: they only put out what they can cover up or put on someone else.**

Caller: very interesting what T says!

T: you are more aware than most people, and people on this call are also aware - and yet we can get fooled.

- It is all playing out right now: the fact that we voted in BO in such a big way is very encouraging - the people are not so dumb as they are made out to be.
- The writer is not thinking with his heart

Caller: how about the lunch with Romney & Obama?

R: They got very serious; Romney is a good poker player the way he does not have emotions on his face

- the rumours are Obama gave him a tongue-lashing about the lies in the election
- Also, Obama let him know he knew about the assassinations here and there

T: here is Netanyahu, trying to take Hillary out

R: they are taking each other out because they know it is the last time in this timeline; there is a story out there about solar flares and one would be a kill shot that would take out the grids on the planet - Ashtar has it in the bag and it won't happen, yet the energies are very intense -

- there are very serious crimes going on at the highest levels of gov't- those with the money they have from hedge funds, they don't have a prayer!

Caller: thank you for the info.

R: also heard to day: Dennis Kucinich was on Ed show - talked about the new constitution that is coming about : there are lots of things about to transpire that will shift the way things are being done -

- possible reference to a new economic system waiting in the wings - banks have rainbow bills and will show them if you ask about them: written on them was "US Notes", not "Fed Reserve"
- Dennis talked of Benghazi story: much deeper than is said - pre-meditated for a long time - McCain, Rice and so on - can't pay debt to China and are very worried because all the societies are after them
 - the Indigo Crystal children, generation Y-Z with their abilities "mutant and proud of it": have the abilities at their finger tips to transform the world in a moment - the Laws of the One are replacing free will choice

T: Reference to members of congress meeting at Caucus Restaurant on Jan , 2009 - even murder plots, assassination plots are OK!

2012, Ap 25 Robert Draper Book~GOP's Anti-Obama Campaign Started Night Of Inauguration

[SEE BELOW]

Reading: BUSH-CONNECTED CRIMINALS ARE TAKING THIS NEWS STORY DOWN [SEE BELOW]
Sherman Skolnick was killed by Hillary!

Reading: the Purge of the Nazis has begun on 3 continents Ben Fulford [SEE BELOW]
SABBATEAN - Satanists who eat the body, drink the blood

- Did R ask anyone about Morsi's attempts - he is an absolute dictator, worse than Mubarak
 - He is doing this because he got orders from Hillary: if you want your \$3 Billion . . .

[**Insert:** information about Palestine getting recognition in the UN - now they can bring a case against Israel for war crimes]

Music: "A Fire Burns for Freedom" it was a marijuana tree in the garden of eden, not an apple tree
It was the fruit of the marijuana tree
we need to juice marijuana fruit, not smoke it which hurts the oxygen-breathing mechanism
They are trees - 40 footers!

Al Jazeera: about Morsi's draft constitution: - Morsi represents the 13 families and the \$ he gets
A draft of the constitution has been approved in a rush vote

- Gives only 2 four year terms, at least!
- It upholds the status of Islam - the Sharia Law which is not good.
- It recognizes only Islam, Christianity and Judaism
- also allows for civilians to be tried in military courts, backtracking on earlier proposals

- loose wording: Article 31 - not to insult anyone - can be used to curb freedom of speech
- lots of religious undertones - seen as a lot more conservative than the 1971 constitution
Article 11 - state guarantees a high level of moral and religious behaviour
- Also about the process: people felt they were not consulted during the writing of it
 - nor where they consulted during the voting - were only 4 women and they belonged to the Muslim brotherhood; not a single Christian member, nor a representative of church although Christians make up 10% of the population; an absence of secular and liberal members - people feel they have been cheated out of an very important document for Egypt's future

Al Jazeera: why are they rushing to push through the controversial constitution?

Reporter: Only way to get out of the political crisis; last night on TV he said once the constitution was passed, he could annul his decree about dictatorial powers as it would no longer be needed

- the people do not accept the constitution as legitimate document - call it the Muslim Brotherhood constitution, not Egypt's constitution

Al Jazeera: Goes back to Palestine and words of the Palestinian President Abbas

"we came to launch a serious attempt to achieve peace, rather than just talk about it"

- Support for Palestine came from across the world - Susan Rice is as dirty as all of those trying to throw over the gov't -

[Tara willing to say that Bill Clinton will be next Sec of State - **Natasha of KOS** says "No Comment" - but Hillary is not yet arrested.]

- Reverberating around the neighbourhood: **Israel is a failed state;** many people in Israel are not happy

Reading: Obama Administration has unveiled announced an ambitious roadmap for what it calls an AIDS free generation

- T: AIDS was created by secret gov't: brain rot virus of a sheep and a leukemia virus of a cow
 - then inserted it the blood banks in NYC and San Francisco; got it into the blood of gay men
 - went & put it in Haiti and Africa
 - Al Gore developed a more lethal kind that killed in 3 days -
 - he arranged for it to be inserted in the clinics in Africa:
 - easily 50 - 100 MILLION DEAD since this started.

Correcting Fulford's Nov 26 report:

Ref to the sentence about birthdate of Jeshua: Catholics introduced the lie in the first place!

4 BC or 8 BC; or conception in 8 BC, birth March 1st, 7 BC

Jeshua came to teach us by the Six Perfections - this is same soul as Buddha

The Aboriganal Moors have same 6 perfections: listed / / /

About Berlusconi: his goons arrested six members of the P2 lodge so he could back into power - whatever, he will be in shackles along with the rest!

Putin / polonium - same story as Arafat - there are things going on all over that have to do with various groups wanting to take each other out: this is the final count down to Dec 21 - that energy is in the mix

- As Dennis K was saying: we need to support BO and go off the fiscal cliff as what happens can be remedied in 24 hours - the people doing this are committing treason and they can be arrested for letting it happen - we can watch the crime happening and see the arrests.
- Neil Keenan - NK was working on right side of this: reading something from Ben means he does not have

all the pieces of the puzzle - it is worse than the Gordon Duff article

- R does not read Ben: he will have a stake in whatever is going on and may expect for NK to give his a slice - they are not counting on St Germain who says who gets what
- the basket of currencies means the US will not be in control of all currencies
- the oil comments: US is exporting the production of oil
 - Susan Rice has money invested in the Keystone Pipeline which is only being brought in to export and the US gets the externalities of pollution and so on.
- Generals won't be fired - they will be arrested!
 - This is the article on AIPAC and decapitating
- Obama flying to show allegiance - a blatant lie! Photograph - only being respectful.
Last sentence - NOT
- as for the airbase in the Azores - this is a way of Hillary and others to get money to Chinese - quits reading this one!

Audio: Jon Stewart - after the so-called lunch

- making out Romney won the opportunity to have lunch with Obama for donating \$ [one of the offers to all the donors]

Audio: Amy Goodman - Nov 29th Thursday

[SEE BELOW]

EXCLUSIVE: **Julian Assange** on WikiLeaks, Bradley Manning, Cypherpunks, Surveillance State

Audio: Amy Goodman - 2012, Nov 29

Smoke Signals~ Martin Lee on Growing U.S. Movement to Legalize Marijuana

[SEE BELOW]

Audio: Keiser Report - 2012, Nov 29

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=USSBPBMgWTc

Episode 373'Angel' Capitalists

We welcome Mark Carney to the City of London freak show at which Max predicts that Carney will play the bearded hermaphrodite who devalues the pound by 25 percent and yet only manages to introduce an ice age of economic growth. Max and Stacy also compare the rebels robbing the central bank in Goma to the Goldman Sachs takeover of central banks in Europe. In the second half, Max Keiser talks to professor and author, [Andrew Ross](#), about the 'angel capitalists' striking debt across America and about the historic Black Friday strike at Walmart.

Reading: The Mayan Factor by Jose Arquelles Ch 4 *The Loom of Maya*

Closing: Rainbird

2012, Nov 26 **AIPAC, Decapitators Inside US Government~ Intelligence Analyst**

ww.veteranstoday.com/2012/11/26/press-tv-aipac-decapitators-inside-us-government-intelligence-analyst/



By [Gordon Duff](#) and Press TV

“Behind the plotters are drug cartels that have penetrated the US government, former lobbyists who were moved into government during the Bush administration and now are suspected of being involved in a coup attempt.”

Seventy hours ago, at this writing, while on Air Force One, President Barack Obama issued a press release that has been utterly ignored by the Western Press.

The president has openly announced a move against violent plotters inside the US government and espionage agents. He does not use the terms “AIPAC” or “the Israel lobby” but it is highly unlikely he could be referring to anything else.

In fact, we can think of no other group.

I was privately briefed on some of the reasons behind this document. On what is known, not “surmised,” I will explain:

There is, currently, within the US military, the Executive branch of government and among extremist “power brokers” in America an active plot to “alter” America’s form of government through “decapitation.”

Let me be clear. Where the memo, printed in full below, refers to “violent”, it means “assassination” of many top leaders in America including but not limited to the President, Vice President, Secretary of State, Secretary of Defense and others.

The plot makes use of the resources of major private defense contractors and their intelligence and special operations personnel. There has been active recruiting that has been noted and is why the memo was released and why many members of the military have been subjected to investigation.

The Benghazi attack was planned and financed by this group.

Many writers in the alternative media have noted much of what is going on but not all. Some have shown access to very knowledgeable sources.

Behind the plotters are drug cartels that have penetrated the US government, former lobbyists who were moved into government during the Bush (43) administration and now are suspected of being involved in a coup attempt.

There is no direct evidence tying any foreign government to this plot though most are “fanatically” aligned with the militant Likudists in Israel under Netanyahu’s regime.

The President’s text below, unedited:



The White House Office of the Press Secretary For Immediate Release

November 21, 2012

Presidential Memorandum — National Insider Threat Policy and Minimum Standards for Executive Branch Insider Threat Programs

Memorandum for the heads of executive departments and agencies

Subject: National Insider Threat Policy and Minimum Standards for Executive Branch Insider Threat Programs

This Presidential Memorandum transmits the National Insider Threat Policy and Minimum Standards for Executive Branch Insider Threat Programs (Minimum Standards) to provide direction and guidance to promote the development of effective insider threat programs within departments and agencies to deter, detect, and mitigate actions by employees who may represent a threat to national security. These threats encompass potential espionage, violent acts against the Government or the Nation, and unauthorized disclosure of classified information, including the vast amounts of classified data available on interconnected United States Government computer networks and systems.

The Minimum Standards provide departments and agencies with the minimum elements necessary to establish effective insider threat programs. These elements include the capability to gather, integrate, and centrally analyze and respond to key threat-related information; monitor employee use of classified networks; provide the workforce with insider threat awareness training; and protect the civil liberties and privacy of all personnel.

The resulting insider threat capabilities will strengthen the protection of classified information across the executive branch and reinforce our defenses against both adversaries and insiders who misuse their access and endanger our national security.

Barack Obama

A very real threat to world stability

To some, at a glance, this might actually sound like a response to leaks within the CIA and White House except for some extraordinary language. Please make very special note of the following:

“...to deter, detect and mitigate actions by employees who may present a threat to national security...These threats include...violent acts against the Government and the Nation...”

Please note that they refer to “violent acts” and speak of both the “government” and “nation.”

By “government,” they are indicting, with no “wigggle room,” assassination plots.

By “nation,” they may well be referring to false flag terrorism that may well include use of weapons of mass destruction. Britain was subject to such a threat during the London Olympics, one that would never have been successfully overcome without the help of journalists who put themselves at great risk.

Espionage

The US government has had a twelve-year moratorium against arrest and prosecution of spies within our government and military other than those who can be tied to China.

The most famous Chinese “spy” was Wen Lee Ho, a nuclear scientist at Los Alamos Labs. He was arrested in 1999, held in solitary confinement for a year and then released.

In order to get an accurate picture I phoned two friends, one a senior FBI counter-intelligence operative and the other a very senior US Army intelligence officer.

The question I put before them, while eating breakfast, was:

“Please list the nations that represent the greatest threat of espionage against the United States and, which nations, in order, are believed to represent the “penetration threat” that President Obama is referring to.”

From the FBI, their appraisal not intended for the “pop culture” media:

“Our greatest direct threat is Israel and the Israel lobby. They have systematically penetrated every aspect of government and the military and, if they cannot get documents from those branches, friends in congress will give them access to anything that branch has available. After that is India, with every research facility at risk from RAW (Indian Intelligence) penetration and then Cuba, Mexico and Turkey.

The primary end users of this intelligence, the “clients,” are Russia and China.”

From the US Army:

“I agree with Israel and the rest but we have not had Turkey on our radar. The obvious end users are, of course, Russia and China based on capability.

The issue I have is how a presidential press release, an extraordinary and almost “draconian” document has gone without an uproar from congress and wide press coverage. Who has the power to suppress reporting on something like this, though, I know that you will say it is Israel, I would want proof.

Though there has been no official notification of this, I am of the impression that we now consider any mention of Israeli spying to be highly classified. Only Russia and China are officially listed, entirely out of concern not to offend lobbyists whose feelings outweigh real issues of national security.”

Then I turn on my television, hour after hour of TV shows about espionage and terrorism. Both American and British TV are the same.

All spies are from Iran and Pakistan; nations that our actual intelligence agencies indicate represent no espionage threat to speak of.

In fact, in my two Saturday morning phone interviews, which can, of course, be confirmed by Homeland Security who has tapped my phones, I have reflected with great accuracy. Thus, we ask you to read what President Obama really did not say “*between the lines,*” the message is quite clear.

We do not see a roundup of AIPAC spies, not like in the early days of the Bush administration although Attorney General John Ashcroft quashed that investigation.

What we are seeing is a hunt for traitors within the American government and military, some of which is working its way onto the news.

The question of the moment, however, is this:

How can a President of the United States announce that the government is infiltrated with terrorists and spies and no newspaper, television network or other form of media notices?

2012, Ap 25 **Robert Draper Book~GOP's Anti-Obama Campaign Started Night Of Inauguration**

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/04/25/robert-draper-anti-obama-campaign_n_1452899.html



WASHINGTON -- As President Barack Obama was celebrating his inauguration at various balls, top Republican lawmakers and strategists were conjuring up ways to submarine his presidency at a private dinner in Washington.

The event -- which provides a telling revelation for how quickly the post-election climate soured -- serves as the prologue of Robert Draper's much-discussed and heavily-reported new book, "Do Not Ask What Good We Do: Inside the U.S. House of Representatives."

According to Draper, the guest list that night (which was just over 15 people in total) included Republican Reps. Eric Cantor (Va.), Kevin McCarthy (Calif.), Paul Ryan (Wis.), Pete Sessions (Texas), Jeb Hensarling (Texas), Pete Hoekstra (Mich.) and Dan Lungren (Calif.), along with Republican Sens. Jim DeMint (S.C.), Jon Kyl (Ariz.), Tom Coburn (Okla.), John Ensign (Nev.) and Bob Corker (Tenn.). The non-lawmakers present included Newt Gingrich, several years removed from his presidential campaign, and Frank Luntz, the long-time Republican wordsmith. Notably absent were Senate Minority Leader Mitch McConnell (R-Ky.) and House Minority Leader John Boehner (R-Ohio) -- who, Draper writes, had an acrimonious relationship with Luntz.

For several hours in the Caucus Room (a high-end D.C. establishment), the book says they plotted out ways to not just win back political power, but to also put the brakes on Obama's legislative platform.

"If you act like you're the minority, you're going to stay in the minority," Draper quotes McCarthy as saying. "We've gotta challenge them on every single bill and challenge them on every single campaign."

The conversation got only more specific from there, Draper reports. Kyl suggested going after incoming Treasury Secretary Timothy Geithner for failing to pay Social Security and Medicare taxes while at the International Monetary Fund. Gingrich noted that House Ways and Means Chairman Charlie Rangel (D-N.Y.) had a similar tax problem. McCarthy chimed in to declare "there's a web" before arguing that Republicans could put pressure on any Democrat who accepted campaign money from Rangel to give it back.

The dinner lasted nearly four hours. They parted company almost giddily. The Republicans had agreed on a way forward:

Go after Geithner. (And indeed Kyl did, the next day: 'Would you answer my question rather than dancing around it—please?')

Show united and unyielding opposition to the president's economic policies. (Eight days later, Minority Whip Cantor would hold the House Republicans to a unanimous No against Obama's economic stimulus plan.)

Begin attacking vulnerable Democrats on the airwaves. (The first National Republican Congressional Committee attack ads would run in less than two months.)

Win the speaker point of the House in 2010. Jab Obama relentlessly in 2011. Win the White House and the Senate in 2012.

"You will remember this day," Draper reports Newt Gingrich as saying on the way out. "You'll remember this as the day the seeds of 2012 were sown."

Draper's timeline is correct. On Jan. 21, 2009, [Kyl aggressively questioned](#) Geithner during his confirmation hearings. On Jan. 28, 2009, House GOP leadership [held the line against](#) the stimulus package (Senate GOP leadership would prove less successful in stopping defections).

The votes, of course, can be attributed to legitimate philosophical objection to the idea of stimulus spending as well as sincere concern that the secretary of the Treasury should personally have a clean tax-paying record. But what Draper's book makes clear is that blunt electoral-minded ambitions were the animating force.

Whether or not that's shocking depends on the degree to which one's view of politics has been jaded. What's certainly noteworthy is the timing. When Mitch McConnell said [in October 2010](#) that his party's primary goal in the next Congress was to make Obama a one-term president, it was treated as remarkably candid and deeply cynical. Had he said it publicly in January 2009, it would likely have caused an uproar.

By extension, however, the Draper anecdote also negatively reflects on the Obama administration for failing to appreciate how quickly congressional Republicans would oppose the president's agenda.

2012, Nov 29 ASTONISHING REPORT AT NORTHSTARZONE ~ JFK Jr plane explosion

<http://theconspiracyzone.podcastpeople.com/>

BUSH-CONNECTED CRIMINALS ARE TAKING THIS NEWS STORY DOWN (including off of "TheConspiracyZone site), PLEASE DOWNLOAD AND COPY BEFORE IT DISAPPEARS FROM THE NET

WE AT THECONSPIRACYZONE HAVE UNCOVERED THE FOLLOWING ASTONISHING REPORT AT NORTHSTARZONE:

According to government whistleblower Sherman Skolnick, JFK Jr. planned to reveal a well-kept secret that on or about August 1, 1999, JFK JR. WAS TO ANNOUNCE HE WAS RUNNING FOR PRESIDENT as a traditional Democrat or in view of the distrust of both parties, to run as a independent on a third party ticket. John F. Kennedy, Jr., made one grave mistake. He trusted the presidential campaign officials of Albert Gore, Jr. to know about his plans. That would have interfered with the plans of Gore as well as George W. Bush, the Texas Governor.

The Gore campaign reportedly promised total secrecy, a pledge they never kept. Gore's people had asked him if he would run as second fiddle on the Gore presidential ticket. He said he originally thought to run against Hillary Clinton for U.S. Senator from New York but decided instead to run for President. THE SECRET GOT OUT.

George W. Bush, likewise would most naturally have an interest in the demise of JFK Jr.. About three days before Johns plane took off and exploded in mid-air, Michael Harari, and another Mossad agent were seen with former President George H. W. Bush and his son, Texas Governor George W. Bush at the Essex County, New Jersey airport where John Jr. kept his plane.

This fact was also confirmed by separate U.S. intelligence sources who are also willing to testify before a grand jury. All four were positively identified by an aircraft mechanic and a maintenance worker. A coincidence? JFK Jr. was a captivating speaker and unlike other members of his family was unscarred by scandal. He would have most likely swept the field of Presidential candidates. Conservatives and liberals, both could join together to support him.

The FBI covered up the bombing of JFK Jr.'s plane. Skolnick obtained the details of the secret FBI report which was not to have been disclosed for 30 years. The National Transportation Safety Board, NTSB, released a report claiming the JFK Jr. plane crash was due to "pilot error".

Over the years, the federal crash investigators have covered up several sabotaged plane crashes, for example, FLIGHT 800, and FLIGHT 587. John F. Kennedy, Jr. was murdered before he could get to his planned announcement of a presidential run. If he lived and ran for President, he would have been 40 years old just after the 2000 election. Sherman Skolnick's secret FBI report:

Excerpts from FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION REPORT ON THE RECOVERY OF PIPER SARATOGA AIRCRAFT OF JOHN F. KENNEDY, Jr.

1. No declassification until 7/22/2029.
2. This is in deference to the wishes of a senior member of the Kennedy family.
3. Also in deference to the order of the national government and in respect to certain foreign intelligence agencies having reciprocal agreements with the U.S.
4. POTUS order and national security findings attached.
5. The recovered aircraft shows evidence of an explosive device having been glued or affixed within the tail luggage compartment.

6. Device was apparently actuated by a barometric trigger. Radio signals not ruled out.
7. Tail of aircraft was dismembered from the plane making it front heavy.
8. Study of radar shows the plane fell in excess of 6,000 feet per minute up to radar cutoff.
9. Satellite images supplied by the National Reconnaissance Office record an outbursting flash from the aircraft just prior to it going into perpendicular descent.
10. Tail structure was dismembered just prior to descent.
11. Recovery of a piece of luggage from beyond the flight path of the aircraft correlates with it being hurtled out of the tail luggage compartment at outburst.
12. Preliminary examination of the aircraft shows residue of an explosive device the Laboratory identifies as being the type used by certain foreign intelligence agencies. Refer to POTUS order and findings.
13. Study of Air Traffic Control and other radio signals shows no Mayday report from the aircraft, explained by rapidity of descent.
14. Investigation and examination has been strictly compartmentalized.
A short, perfunctory examination of the recovered bodies was completed. Examination revealed that Carolyn Bessette Kennedy was in third trimester pregnant, the fetus a boy.

The four bodies were transferred to a senior member of the Kennedy family. The Bureau was not informed whether the bodies were actually cremated. Indirect advisories report that the bodies may have been shipped in untagged containers to foreign destinations. Reliable assets are to submit reports.

THE CONCLUSION: JFK Jr. was a qualified pilot, in control of his flight, flying a reasonably new aircraft, in excellent condition. Visibility was 8 miles. Wind: calm. All indication from forensics and physical evidence investigations lend themselves to a violent explosion from a BOMB PLACED ABOARD THE AIRCRAFT! The aircraft EXPLODED in mid-air, as evidenced by the eyewitness accounts, and the widespread debris gathered from the ocean and several different beaches, and the nature of collateral damage to recovered aircraft parts, and items on board such as the headrest, foot pedals, steering yoke carpeting and so fourth. All showed signs of violent damage, having been "ripped" or blown from their normal positions/locations. This could only have been caused by an ONBOARD EXPLOSION. Considering the nature of the current political atmosphere in America, and the before mentioned facts, there is little doubt that JFK Jr. was murdered. In all likelihood, it was a political assassination of the highest order, meant to alleviate a potential threat to the ruling elite.

JFK Jr. was ready to blow the lid off who really killed his father. Well, we at The Conspiracy Zone have the same story JFK Jr. would have told in this website in our ten part series starting with "Who shot John." Go back and Read our conclusions for yourself and let us know what you think!

2012, Nov 29 Clinton releases road map for AIDS-free generation



(Photo by Alex Wong/Getty Images)

Copyright Getty Images

By: LAURAN NEERGAARD

In an ambitious road map for slashing the global spread of AIDS, the Obama administration says treating people sooner and more rapid expansion of other proven tools could help even the hardest-hit countries begin turning the tide of the epidemic over the next three to five years.

"An AIDS-free generation is not just a rallying cry — it is a goal that is within our reach," Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton, who ordered the blueprint, said in the report.

"Make no mistake about it, HIV may well be with us into the future but the disease that it causes need not be," she said at the State Department Thursday.

President Barack Obama echoed that promise.

"We stand at a tipping point in the fight against HIV/AIDS, and working together, we can realize our historic opportunity to bring that fight to an end," Obama said in a proclamation to mark World AIDS Day on Saturday.

Some 34 million people worldwide are living with HIV, and despite a decline in new infections over the last decade, 2.5 million people were infected last year.

Given those staggering figures, what does an AIDS-free generation mean? That virtually no babies are born infected, young people have a much lower risk than today of becoming infected, and that people who already have HIV would receive life-saving treatment.

That last step is key: Treating people early in their infection, before they get sick, not only helps them survive but also dramatically cuts the chances that they'll infect others. Yet only about 8 million HIV patients in developing countries are getting treatment. The United Nations aims to have 15 million treated by 2015.

Other important steps include: Treating more pregnant women, and keeping them on treatment after their babies are born; increasing male circumcision to lower men's risk of heterosexual infection; increasing access to both male and female condoms; and more HIV testing.

The world spent \$16.8 billion fighting AIDS in poor countries last year. The U.S. government is the leading donor, spending about \$5.6 billion.

Thursday's report from PEPFAR, the President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief, outlines how progress could continue at current spending levels — something far from certain as Congress and Obama struggle to avert looming budget cuts at year's end — or how faster progress is possible with

stepped-up commitments from hard-hit countries themselves.

Clinton warned Thursday that the U.S. must continue doing its share: "In the fight against HIV/AIDS, failure to live up to our commitments isn't just disappointing, it's deadly."

The report highlighted Zambia, which already is seeing some declines in new cases of HIV. It will have to treat only about 145,000 more patients over the next four years to meet its share of the U.N. goal, a move that could prevent more than 126,000 new infections in that same time period. But if Zambia could go further and treat nearly 198,000 more people, the benefit would be even greater — 179,000 new infections prevented, the report estimates.

In contrast, if Zambia had to stick with 2011 levels of HIV prevention, new infections could level off or even rise again over the next four years, the report found.

Advocacy groups said the blueprint offers a much-needed set of practical steps to achieve an AIDS-free generation — and makes clear that maintaining momentum is crucial despite economic difficulties here and abroad.

"The blueprint lays out the stark choices we have: To stick with the baseline and see an epidemic flatline or grow, or ramp up" to continue progress, said Chris Collins of amFAR, the Foundation for AIDS Research.

His group has estimated that more than 276,000 people would miss out on HIV treatment if U.S. dollars for the global AIDS fight are part of across-the-board spending cuts set to begin in January.

Thursday's report also urges targeting the populations at highest risk, including gay men, injecting drug users and sex workers, especially in countries where stigma and discrimination has denied them access to HIV prevention services.

"We have to go where the virus is," Clinton said.

Read more: http://www.kypost.com/dpps/news/national/clinton-releases-road-map-for-aids-free-generation_8043001#ixzz2Ds9O9AeM

Thursday, November 29, 2012

EXCLUSIVE: Julian Assange on WikiLeaks, Bradley Manning, Cypherpunks, Surveillance State

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: Bradley Manning, the U.S. Army private accused of leaking hundreds of thousands of classified documents to the whistleblowing website WikiLeaks, may testify today at a pretrial proceeding for the first time since he was arrested in May 2010. Manning could face life in prison if convicted of the most serious of 22 counts against him. His trial is expected to begin in February.

Meanwhile, the founder of WikiLeaks, Julian Assange, remains holed up in the Ecuadorean embassy in London, where he sought refuge nearly six months ago to avoid being extradited to Sweden to be questioned over sexual assault claims. Earlier this week, Assange vowed WikiLeaks would persevere despite attacks against it. On Tuesday, the European Commission announced that the credit card company Visa did not break the European Union's antitrust rules by blocking donations to WikiLeaks. Shortly after the ruling, Assange addressed reporters in Brussels via video stream from inside the Ecuadorean embassy.

JULIAN ASSANGE: The strength of popular and private support means that we continue. There is no danger that WikiLeaks will cease to exist as an organization. Rather, its natural and rightful growth has been compromised, and that is wrong and must change. It would set a very bad precedent—it was not only wrong for WikiLeaks; it sets an extremely bad precedent for all other European organizations and all media organizations worldwide that monopolies can simply exercise financial death penalties over organizations and companies as a result of political controversy.

AMY GOODMAN: That was Julian Assange speaking on Tuesday. He now joins us in a rare interview from inside the Ecuadorean embassy in London. He has been granted political asylum in Ecuador but can't leave the embassy because the British government promises to arrest him if he steps foot on British soil. He has just co-authored a new book called *Cypherpunks: Freedom and the Future of the Internet*. The book examines how the internet can be used as both an instrument of freedom and oppression.

We want to welcome you, Julian Assange, back to *Democracy Now!* and first get your reaction to the European Commission's decision around the issue of Visa, saying it wasn't breaking antitrust laws when it blocked donations to you. The significance of the credit card company's blockade of donations to WikiLeaks, what it's meant for your website?

JULIAN ASSANGE: Well, it's good to be with you, Amy and Juan. The decision is disgraceful, but it is only a preliminary decision. We have another submission that the commission has asked for, so hopefully they will turn around before the end of the year or the beginning of next year. Commission had been investigating our complaint for 16 months. The normal turnaround time is four months. The European Parliament last week voted, through an Article 32 section, on how banks should be reformed and credit card companies should be reformed in order to stop arbitrary, extrajudicial financial blockades such as the one that is being applied to WikiLeaks. This year, we—the Council of Europe, all 47 foreign ministers last year passed a resolution saying that these sorts of arbitrary financial blockades on WikiLeaks should not continue, so that it's interesting to see the payoff in the political wills in Europe between, on the one hand, the Council of Europe and the Parliament and, on the other hand, the commission. But it's been known for a long time that the commission is closer to big business, and it is often successfully lobbied. Hopefully the commission will do the right thing and turn around in this case.

AMY GOODMAN: And how devastating has it been for WikiLeaks?

JULIAN ASSANGE: Since the blockade was erected in December 2010, WikiLeaks has lost 95 percent of the donations that were attempted to be transferred to us over that period. So, that is over \$50 million. Now, fortunately, our 5 percent of \$50 million is still not nothing, and so the organization can continue. But as I said in that press conference, our rightful and natural growth, our ability to publish as much as we would like, our

ability to defend ourselves and our sources, has been diminished by that blockade.

Now, the United States government has looked into the blockade in January of 2011 and formally found that there is no lawful reason to erect a U.S. financial embargo against WikiLeaks. So what has happened here is that—and this came out in the commission documents that we published yesterday—is that Senator Lieberman and Congressman Peter T. King pressured at the very least MasterCard and Amazon, but perhaps others, including Visa, as well, pressured those organizations to erect an extrajudicial blockade that they were not able to successfully erect through the legislature or through a formal administrative process.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: Julian, turning to your new book, *Cyberpunks*, those in the—around the world who have been amazed at your ability to advocate transparency in government and in the corporate world through the internet might be surprised that in your book you now say the internet is a danger to human civilization. Could you explain why?

JULIAN ASSANGE: Human civilization has merged with the internet. Every society has gone onto the internet, with communications between all of us as individuals but also communications between businesses, economic transfers, and even the internal communications and external communications of states. So there is no barrier anymore between the internet and global civilization. That means that when the internet develops a sickness, global civilization also runs the risk of suffering the same sickness.

And the sickness that the internet has developed over the past 10 years is that nation states and their corporate powers have ganged up together to engage in strategic interception of all communications flowing over the internet across national borders and in many countries even within, within its national confines, such as the United States. We know that that has occurred in different places as a result of whistleblowing cases, such as Mark Klein's case or William Binney's, a former chief of research at the National Security Agency. So, we have gone from a position that dissidents face and activists face and individuals face 10, 20 years ago, where if we're engaged in political activity, we could be individually targeted and our friends could be targeted, to a situation where everything, almost, that everyone does over the internet is recorded and intercepted all the time. And that shift is a shift, as it's called in the internal documents of the hundreds of companies now who supply this national security sector, a shift between tactical interception on a few people and strategic interception, intercepting the entire nation.

We exposed documents earlier this year, the Spy Files—you can look them up—where, for example, the French company AMISYS, which is closely connected to French intelligence, supplied a nationwide—that's its own words—interception system to Gaddafi's Libya back in 2009. And in fact, lawyers connected to WikiLeaks and the Bureau of Investigative Journalism were in the manual that AMISYS shipped to Gaddafi as an example of how the interception system worked.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: And in terms of corporate surveillance, as well, you often find now in the media a huge push to get people to use social networks. The degree to which private surveillance or corporate surveillance is going on, as well as government surveillance?

JULIAN ASSANGE: There's not a barrier anymore between corporate surveillance, on the one hand, and government surveillance, on the other. You know, Facebook is based—has its servers based in the United States. Gmail, as General Petraeus found out, has its servers based in the United States. And the interplay between U.S. intelligence agencies and other Western intelligence agencies and any intelligence agencies that can hack this is fluid. So, we're in a—if we look back to what's an earlier example of the worst penetration by an intelligence apparatus of a society, which is perhaps East Germany, where up to 10 percent of people over their lifetime had been an informer at one stage or another, in Iceland we have 88 percent penetration of Iceland by Facebook. Eighty-eight percent of people are there on Facebook informing on their friends and their movements and the nature of their relationships—and for free. They're not even being paid money. They're not even being directly coerced to do it. They're doing it for social credits to avoid the feeling of exclusion. But people should understand what is really going on. I don't believe people are doing this or would do it if they truly understood what was going on, that they are doing hundreds of billions of hours of free work for the Central Intelligence Agency, for the FBI, and for all allied agencies and all countries that can ask for favors to get hold of that

information.

William Binney, the former chief of research, the National Security Agency's signals intelligence division, describes this situation that we are in now as "turnkey totalitarianism," that the whole system of totalitarianism has been built—the car, the engine has been built—and it's just a matter of turning the key. And actually, when we look to see some of the crackdowns on WikiLeaks and the grand jury process and targeted assassinations and so on, actually it's arguable that key has already been partly turned. The assassinations that occur extrajudicially, the renditions that occur, they don't occur in isolation. They occur as a result of the information that has been sucked in through this giant signals interception machinery.

AMY GOODMAN: Julian Assange, we can't ignore the fact that we're speaking to you inside the Ecuadorean embassy, where you've taken refuge, where you're really there as a kind of refugee. You've gotten political asylum from Ecuador but can't leave the embassy. What are your plans right now? Are you negotiating with the Swedish government, if you were to be extradited there, that they would not extradite you to the United States?

JULIAN ASSANGE: Well, Amy, Ecuador has really stepped up to the plate and must be congratulated. I have been found to be, through a formal process, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, a political refugee and have been granted political asylum, in relation to what has been happening in the United States and allied countries and their behavior—Sweden and the United Kingdom. The situation for me now is that I have been here for five months in this embassy; prior to that, 18 months under house arrest; prior to that, being chased around the world for about six months by U.S. intelligence and its allies.

Now, I must correct an earlier statement that you made—this has become common in the press—saying that I was here in relation to Sweden. The reason I am here is essentially in relation to the United States. But the Swedish government said publicly that it would imprison me without charge. And in such a situation, I'd not be able to apply for asylum. Now, the Ecuadorean government has asked the Swedish government to give a guarantee that I would not be extradited to the United States. We have asked for a long time for such a guarantee. That has been refused. All the regular processes have been refused in this case. You know, it's an extremely odd and bizarre case, and I encourage everyone to go and look at that aspect of the case at justice4assange.com. And you can see report after report. You can see all the material that the police claim to be true and all the things that have occurred, the *Cambridge International & Comparative Law Journal* condemning the decisions that were made here in the British courts.

AMY GOODMAN: Are you saying, Julian, that you would go to Sweden, if they assured you that you wouldn't be extradited to the United States, to answer questions about these two women who have made charges on sex abuse on your count?

JULIAN ASSANGE: Yes, that has been our public position for quite a long time.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: Julian, I'd like to get back to your book for a second and talk about, at this crossroads, as you see it, in terms of the future of the internet, the importance that you see of cryptography as a weapon of the people on the internet.

JULIAN ASSANGE: Well, the development of cryptography is absolutely fascinating. So, we're not just talking anymore about people being able to write in a secret code that other people can't read except their intended recipient. Cryptography, as a science, in the last 30 years, has developed a basic—basic techniques that we would normally associate with democratic civilization and moved it into the digital realm. So that includes things like anonymous electronic cash and digital voting and signatures and proofs of agreements between people.

So, when we look at what happens when civilization moves onto the internet, how is it controlled? At the moment, a lot of the problems we face on the internet and the independence of the internet is guys with guns can simply turn up to any internet server and tell the people there to behave in a certain way, just like they do with oil wells or they do with customs. So, as an international new civilization, a forum where people are intellectually expressing themselves, where we deposit our history and our political ideals and ambitions, the internet is suffering, on one hand, from mass interception and, on the other hand, that it is still in many ways subservient to

the physical force in the various states that its infrastructure is located in. Cryptography provides a way to abstract away from the physical world to create a sort of mathematical barrier between the physical world and the intellectual world, and in that way slowly declare independence from nation states. So our intellectual world cannot simply be censored or deleted or taxed in the manner which we have suffered from for so long in nation states.

Now, the internet—on the internet, there's no direct physical force that needs to be policed in that manner, so we don't need armies on the internet. We don't need policemen on the internet, in a way that we may need them in our regular nation states. So, we do have this opportunity, with careful use of cryptography and a movement behind it, to achieve some forms of independence for the intellectual record and for our communications with one another. And those aspects of cryptography, we have used, with varying degrees of success, in WikiLeaks to publish material that no other publisher in the world was able to publish because they were constrained by physical threats within particular nation states.

AMY GOODMAN: Julian Assange, we are talking to you on the day that Bradley Manning is expected to testify, be heard publicly for the first time in over two years at Fort Meade. His lawyer has said he would plead guilty to certain charges, and that is releasing documents that he got in Iraq on the computer to your organization WikiLeaks, but refused to plead to others, like aiding the enemy. Talk about Bradley Manning, and then talk also—if you could weave that into why you're so concerned about being extradited to the United States.

JULIAN ASSANGE: Amy, what is happening this week is not the trial of Bradley Manning; what is happening this week is the trial of the U.S. military. This is Bradley Manning's abuse case. Bradley Manning was arrested in Baghdad, shipped over and held for two months in extremely adverse conditions in Kuwait, shipped over to Quantico, Virginia, which is near the center of the U.S. intelligence complex, and held there for nine months, longer than any other prisoner in Quantico's modern history. And there, he was subject to conditions that the U.N. special rapporteur, Juan Méndez, special rapporteur for torture, formally found amounted to torture.

There's a question about who authorized that treatment. Why was that treatment placed on him for so long, when so many people—independent psychiatrists, military psychiatrists—complained about what was going on in extremely strong terms? His lawyer and support team say that he was being treated in that manner, in part, in order to coerce some kind of statement or false confession from him that would implicate WikiLeaks as an organization and me personally. And so, this is a matter that I am—personally have been embroiled in, that this young man's treatment, regardless of whether he was our source or not, is directly as a result of an attempt to attack this organization by the United States military, to coerce this young man into providing evidence that could be used to more effectively attack us, and also serve as some kind of terrible disincentive for other potential whistleblowers from stepping forward.

AMY GOODMAN: Julian, the Ecuadorean ambassador to the U.K., to Britain, Ana Alban, was quoted in *The Independent* saying that you're suffering from a chronic lung infection from being in captivity for so long in London in the embassy. Can you talk about your health?

JULIAN ASSANGE: Amy, being in prison, house arrest, and now held captive in an embassy, with a bunch of cops outside, of course is a difficult circumstance, but it is not more difficult than the circumstance that is faced by Bradley Manning in Fort Leavenworth or by Jeremy Hammond, an alleged source related to the Stratfor files in New York, or by many other prisoners around the world. So, yes, circumstances is hard, but it could be much worse than it is, and people should direct their attention on these other cases.

AMY GOODMAN: Can you talk more about Jeremy Hammond, who is in prison here in New York City? Explain what Stratfor is; if you can, how you got the documents; or just explain what has taken place.

JULIAN ASSANGE: Well, Stratfor is a organization based in Texas. It has tried to model itself after some weird combination between doing private intelligence work, on the one hand, and covering that with an illusion of journalism by creating this thing called the Stratfor report, which has become very influential within—within the military and within government. It has a particular worldview, which is—which the head of Stratfor, Friedman, admits to being a Kissingeresque realpolitik. And through stealing, bribing, gathering information in

various ways, they're able to influence U.S. policy and, more broadly, Western policy. Now, it's also—you know, it's done all the usual nasty stuff, like working for Coca-Cola, making reports on PETA, making reports on Bhopal activists and so on. But its greatest importance is its private influence into the decision making of different people throughout government.

But we have found through the Stratfor files, which this young activist Jeremy Hammond is accused of hacking out of Stratfor and giving to us—we have found that actually the information or the sourcing for these reports is rather thin in many places or politically biased or is used to feed something that Stratfor set up called StratCap, which is a private capital investment company which takes the information that they've gained from bribery and uses it to make investments in, say, gold futures and so on. So, you know, you can see from the Stratfor material that this is a company that—where the boss, Friedman, has gone, "How can I be as evil as possible? How can I be some kind of stereotype cross between Kissinger and James Bond and tell everyone else to do it?" And, you know—and that's what is done in that company. So, whoever the source is of the Stratfor material deserves enormous credit. Story after story has come out from all around the world of—about material that Stratfor collected and didn't publish or gave to their private clients.

AMY GOODMAN: And Julian, one of the emails that WikiLeaks released of Stratfor of the vice president said that there was a secret indictment against you by the secret grand jury that we believe is convened in Alexandria, Virginia, that is going after you and other WikiLeaks volunteers. Do you know any more about this information or any confirmation that there is this sealed indictment against you?

JULIAN ASSANGE: There are some 3,000 emails in the Stratfor collection about me personally and many more thousands about WikiLeaks. The latest on the grand jury front is that the U.S. Department of Justice admits, as of about two weeks ago, that the investigation is ongoing. On September 28th this year, the Pentagon renewed its formal threats against us in relation to ongoing publishing but also, extremely seriously, in relation to ongoing, what they call, solicitation. So, that is asking sources publicly, you know, "Send us important material, and we will publish it." They say that that itself is a crime. So this is not simply a case about—that we received some information back in 2010 and have been publishing it and they say that that was the crime; the Pentagon is maintaining a line that WikiLeaks inherently, as an institution that tells military and government whistleblowers to step forward with information, is a crime, that we are—they allege we are criminal, moving forward.

Now, the new interpretation of the Espionage Act that the Pentagon is trying to hammer in to the legal system, and which the Department of Justice is complicit in, would mean the end of national security journalism in the United States, and not only the United States, because the Pentagon is trying to apply this extraterritorially. Why would it be the end of national security journalism? Because the interpretation is that if any document that the U.S. government claims to be classified is given to a journalist, who then makes any part of it public, that journalist has committed espionage, and the person who gave them the material has committed the crime, communicating with the enemy. And we released other material about a young Air Force woman who was suspected of communicating with us, and they went to internally prosecute her under 104-D, which is communications with the enemy. So, who's the enemy? Well, the enemy is either WikiLeaks, formally an enemy of the United States, or the interpretation is that any time that there is a communication to the public—and we saw this in the Bradley Manning case—there is a chance for al-Qaeda or the Russians or Iran to read it; therefore, any communication to a journalist is communication to the public, is communication to al-Qaeda, which means that any communication to a journalist is communicating to the enemy. Now, it's absurd overreach, but it is an overreach now which has been put into practice, not at the conviction level yet, but certainly at the investigative and prosecution level. Barack Obama brags publicly on his campaign website of having prosecuted more people under the Espionage Act than all previous presidents combined, in fact, more than twice that of all previous presidents combined. [SECRET GOV'T AT WORK HERE: ?????]

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: Julian, on that particular note, I'd like to ask you to, if you can, talk about what you consider to be the long-term impact of WikiLeaks, that as governments continually centralize through the digital revolution their information, it makes it more possible for dissidents or whistleblowers within the structures of these governments to make that information available to broader sectors of the public. And if WikiLeaks—if the governments are able to squash WikiLeaks, how do you see that movement developing in terms of other

organizations that are arising that continue the kind of work that you've been doing?

JULIAN ASSANGE: The attempts to squash WikiLeaks are there to set a general deterrent. I mean, there's no doubt about this. Since 2008, that's been the case. We released a classified U.S. intelligence report, in fact, showing in 2008 the concern that the U.S. military had about WikiLeaks and the ways in which it could be crushed. Other material came out showing that Bank of America had hired lawyers who had looked into hiring people to make all sorts of attacks and smears on us, massively funded millions of dollars per month. And you can look that up. It's the HBGary report.

I think this tension between power and knowledge is extremely important. So, we've all heard the saying that knowledge is power. Well, it's true. And the mass surveillance and mass interception that is occurring to all of us now who use the internet is also a mass transfer of power from individuals into extremely sophisticated state and private intelligence organizations and their cronies. Now, if that is to be resisted, we must have a transfer of information that is going the other way.

Fortunately, the system is in part eating itself. When it sets up these huge databases designed to be extremely efficient, brings in five million people, a state within a state in the United States, who have security clearances to work out how to best use it in order to maximize the power of that sector, it also leaves itself open to people extracting some of that information and reversing the flow and giving it back to the public, putting it into our common intellectual record. But it's not, by any means, an easy battle. I would say that the transfer of power that has occurred as a result of the NSA's admitted 1.6 billion interceptions per day is much greater than the transfer that has happened the other way. The successes of WikiLeaks, yes, to some degree, reflect our vigor and the vigor of activists on the internet, but I think they more fairly represent the vast treasure of global information that is being accumulated by these otherwise unaccountable intelligence organizations.

AMY GOODMAN: Your reaction—you mentioned Petraeus, General Petraeus, before and how he's been taken down as his email was gone through. What do you think about that? The—here he was—

JULIAN ASSANGE: I think it's fascinating, Amy. Now, we can look into—you know, if you've been involved in this business for a while, you can start to smell when there must be something more to the situation. So I assume, in those emails that the FBI got hold of, there's additional information that would be embarrassing to Petraeus above and beyond an extramarital affair, which is why he's resigned. But that someone in the position of being the ultimate—an ultimate insider, the head of the CIA, has fallen victim to the surveillance state really shows you how massively out of control the thing has become, where it is like a vicious dog that has suddenly spotted its own tail and has gone after it, is lashing out irrationally, and now it's affected an insider. And people have started to take note, but of course it's been doing that to activists and, in fact, most of—most of us, it has been doing that, although we can't see the result, for years.

AMY GOODMAN: Julian Assange, as we wrap up, your final thoughts as you speak to us from political exile inside the Ecuadorean embassy in London? This is extremely rare. How long do you plan to be holed up there? Could you see yourself being there for years?

JULIAN ASSANGE: Possibly, Amy. I mean, it is possible. I mean, the Ecuadorean government said, "If it takes 200 years for Mr. Assange to be safe, then 200 years it is," to their credit. There's an Ecuadorean national election in February next year. And it seems to be that there's a bit of a diplomatic waiting game on, as far as the U.S. and the U.K. are concerned, to look to see how that election goes. President Correa is the most popular political leader in Latin America, so by rights it should be fine. But there have been reports that the United States has increased its sort of anti-Correa funding by three times, so that's a potential problem. But the people of Ecuador have been very supportive, so I suspect, even if there is a switch to another leader, it's now a matter of sort of national pride for Ecuador, so they'll stick the course.

AMY GOODMAN: And as to, you feel, the—how people should use the internet today and protect themselves, as we wrap up with your book, *Cypherpunks: Freedom and the Future of the Internet*?

JULIAN ASSANGE: Well, first, first, they have to—it is not always possible to protect oneself. You know, if you walk over the edge of a cliff, it's not really that possible to protect yourself. But it's important to know the

cliff is there, so you can simply avoid doing certain things that would put you at risk. Now, the first thing they should do is go out and buy the book. It's not easy to protect yourself. That is part of the problem. It really is not easy. It is, in fact, with some exceptions, something that is presently only open to extremely knowledgeable people. So, we must push forward to empower the greater development of this technology, the—preventing moves to outlaw it, which have been done—we fought a big war in the 1990s to prevent the outlawing of cryptography—and additionally, preventing the back-dooring of cryptographic technology. There are moves afoot to try and do that.

I'm sorry—sorry, Amy, I'm getting the cut-off signal for some reason.

AMY GOODMAN: Well, I want to thank you very much for being with us, Julian. Julian Assange spread that 10 minutes to about 40, and we thank you so much for being there. Julian Assange is the founder and editor-in-chief of WikiLeaks, now under political asylum in Ecuador's London embassy, happens to have co-authored this new book, *Cypherpunks: Freedom and the Future of the Internet*, which can make it outside of the embassy, which he can't do right now. Thanks so much for being with us. And folks, if you want a copy of today's show, you can go to our website at democracynow.org. But we'll be back in a minute.

http://www.democracynow.org/2012/11/29/exclusive_julian_assange_on_wikileaks_bradley#transcript

2012, Nov 29 **Smoke Signals~ Martin Lee on Growing U.S. Movement to Legalize Marijuana**

We turn now to look at the outcome of this month's historic votes in Colorado and Washington state to legalize the recreational use of marijuana. Even before this, 18 states and the District of Columbia allowed the medical use of marijuana. Now, many are asking whether the passage of these latest measures could be the beginning of the end for marijuana prohibition. All of this comes as the federal government continues to consider marijuana an illegal drug, and the Obama administration has supported police crackdowns even on medical marijuana. Meanwhile, it has ignored studies that show the plant can be effective in treating everything from depression to cancerous tumors. For more, we are joined by Martin Lee, a longtime investigative reporter and author of several books. His most recent is "Smoke Signals: A Social History of Marijuana — Medical, Recreational, and Scientific."

Guest: **Martin Lee**, longtime investigative reporter and author of several books, including the newly published *Smoke Signals: A Social History of Marijuana — Medical, Recreational, and Scientific* and *Acid Dreams: The Complete Social History of LSD — The CIA, the Sixties, and Beyond*.

JUAN GONZÁLEZ: We turn now to look at the outcome of the historic votes in Colorado and Washington state to legalize the recreational use of marijuana. Even before this, 18 states and the District of Columbia allowed the medical use of marijuana. Now, many are asking whether the passage of these latest measures could be the beginning of the end for marijuana prohibition.

All of this comes as the federal government continues to consider marijuana an illegal drug, and the Obama administration has supported police crackdowns even on medical marijuana. Meanwhile, it has ignored studies that show the plant can be useful in treating everything from depression to cancerous tumors.

AMY GOODMAN: For more on what will happen now that Colorado and Washington have made history by legalizing marijuana for adult use, we're joined by Martin Lee, longtime investigative reporter and author of several books, his most recent, the newly published *Smoke Signals: A Social History of Marijuana—Medical, Recreational, and Scientific*, and also wrote *Acid Dreams: The Complete Social History of LSD: The CIA, the Sixties, and Beyond*.

Martin, thanks so much for being with us. He's co-founder of FAIR, the national media watch group. In these last few minutes of the show—and then we'll do part two right after the show—talk about the significance of what is happening in Colorado and Washington. Washington state, next Thursday, the law will go into effect.

MARTIN LEE: Well, the take-home message from the votes is significant. America woke up after the elections and looked itself in the mirror and realized two states had voted to legalize marijuana for adult use.

But there's caveats in the proposition, the ballot measure that passed in Washington state, in particular, that are quite troubling. And it has to do with driving under the influence of drugs. There are stipulations in the ballot measure that's passed that state that a person who has over a certain amount of metabolites, marijuana metabolites, in their body, can be charged with driving under the influence of drugs. The problem here is that the metabolites for marijuana can stay in the body for many weeks after one uses it. One can take a few puffs of a marijuana cigarette four to six weeks ago, and it'll still turn up in a drug driving test. So I don't know how this will hold up in a court of law. The science is very clear on this. The fact that metabolites are in the body is not an indication necessarily of one driving—has been driving impaired.

What's most troubling of all, however, is for people under 21, drivers under 21 in the state of Washington, there's a zero tolerance for any indication of marijuana use, so that if a person is pulled over, a 17-year-old driver, and is given a drug test and is found to have marijuana metabolites in their body, they can lose their license, and they could be severely penalized for having any level of the metabolites in their system. And the reason why that's so troubling is because there's been a long history of racist law enforcement patterns with respect to marijuana, not only in Washington state, but throughout the United States. And there's really nothing in the law that would prevent the selective targeting of—particularly for black and Latino youth, for continuing for arrest and harassment by law enforcement. In fact, if anything, the new law might encourage that. And other—officials from other states are already saying that Washington may be a model for the law they'd like to see in their states for marijuana to be legalized. So this is troubling. If the past is any prologue for the future, there's no reason to be—feel confident that these racist law enforcement patterns won't continue. And that's not to say that definitely will continue; it remains to be seen.

What we saw in California in 1996 after Californians passed a law legalizing medical marijuana, that law enforcement was essentially given a free hand to basically respond to Proposition 215 in the way they saw fit from county to county. So in certain areas, like San Francisco or Sacramento or—and particularly Santa Cruz, Oakland, they had more lenient policies. Law enforcement took a hands-off attitude. But in other counties, particularly in what we call "red state California," the rural counties, the law enforcement were very vicious with respect to medical marijuana usage after 19—after 215 was passed.

AMY GOODMAN: Martin Lee, we want to thank you very much for being with us—we're going to do part two online at democracynow.org—author of *Smoke Signals*. This is *Democracy Now!*, democracynow.org, *The War and Peace Report*. I'm Amy Goodman, with Juan González.