Inherited erythromelalgia due to mutations in *SCN9A*: natural history, clinical phenotype and somatosensory profile
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Inherited erythromelalgia, the first human pain syndrome linked to voltage-gated sodium channels, is widely regarded as a genetic model of human pain. Because inherited erythromelalgia was linked to gain-of-function changes of sodium channel Na\textsubscript{v}1.7 only a decade ago, the literature has mainly consisted of reports of genetic and/or clinical characterization of individual patients. This paper describes the pattern of pain, natural history, somatosensory profile, psychosocial status and olfactory testing of 13 subjects with primary inherited erythromelalgia with mutations of \textit{SCN9A}, the gene encoding Na\textsubscript{v}1.7. Subjects were clinically profiled using questionnaires, quantitative sensory testing and olfaction testing during the in-clinic phase of the study. In addition, a detailed pain phenotype for each subject was obtained over a 3-month period at home using diaries, enabling subjects to self-report pain attacks, potential triggers, duration and severity of pain. All subjects reported pain and heat in the extremities (usually feet and/or hands), with pain attacks triggered by heat or exercise and relieved mainly by non-pharmacological manoeuvres such as cooling. A large proportion of pain attacks (355/1099; 32\%) did not involve a specific trigger. There was considerable variability in the number, duration and severity of pain attacks between subjects, even those carrying the same mutation within a family, and within individuals over the 12–13 week observation period. Most subjects (11/13) had pain between attacks. For these subjects, mean pain severity between pain attacks was usually lower than that during an attack. Olfaction testing using the Sniffin’ST test did not demonstrate hyperosmia. One subject had evidence of orthostatic hypotension. Overall, there was a statistically significant correlation between total Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale scores ($P = 0.005$) and pain between attacks and for Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale Depression scores and pain between attacks ($P = 0.001$). Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale scores for five subjects were below the threshold for mild anxiety or depression and none of the 13 subjects were severely anxious and/or depressed. Quantitative sensory testing revealed significantly increased detection thresholds for cold and warm stimuli at affected, compared to unaffected sites. By contrast, significantly decreased cold and heat pain thresholds were found at unaffected sites. Sensory profiles varied considerably between affected and unaffected sites, suggesting the existence of small fibre neuropathy in symptomatic sites. This in-depth clinical characterization of a well-defined inherited erythromelalgia population indicates the importance of characterizing the pain phenotype in individuals before undertaking clinical trials, given the inherent variability of pain both between and within inherited erythromelalgia subjects, even those within a family who carry the same mutation.
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Introduction

Inherited erythromelalgia (IEM), the first human pain syndrome linked to voltage-gated sodium channels, is regarded as a genetic model of human pain (Dib-Hajj et al., 2013). IEM is genetically linked to dominant gain-of-function mutations of the SCN9A gene encoding voltage-gated sodium channel Na\$_{\text{v}}\text{1.7}$ (Cummins et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2004; Dib-Hajj et al., 2005). This channel is highly expressed in the peripheral nervous system including dorsal root ganglion neurons, sympathetic ganglion neurons (Toledo-Aral et al., 1997; Rush et al. 2006) and olfactory sensory neurons (Ahn et al., 2011; Weiss et al., 2011). It is recognized as key to setting the gain in pain-signalling neurons (Waxman 2006; Dib-Hajj et al., 2013) and in olfaction (Weiss et al., 2011). IEM is associated with episodic pain and reddening of the skin, typically localized to the distal extremities (feet and hands) (Drent and Waxman, 2007). Consistent with the vasomotor changes (erythema of distal extremities) seen in IEM patients during their attacks, available evidence indicates that IEM mutations render sympathetic ganglion neurons hypoexcitable (Rush et al., 2006). Pain in IEM is reported to occur as early as 1 year old (early onset), in the second decade (delayed onset) of life, and in adults (Dib-Hajj et al., 2007; Drent and Waxman, 2007). A correlation has been reported between the magnitude of the hyperpolarizing shift in channel activation (Han et al., 2009) or slow-inactivation (Cheng et al., 2011) and age of onset of symptoms. There is a need to know more about the natural history and pain phenotype of the disease, the temporal pattern of painful episodes and the effect of IEM associated with Na\$_{\text{v}}\text{1.7}$ mutations on psychosocial status and olfaction. A series of 168 cases of erythromelalgia including natural history, presentation and outcome was reported by Davis et al. (2000), based on retrospective medical record review with a follow-up survey questionnaire; however, this report preceded the availability of genetic testing, and thus included patients with and without identified genetic mutations.

IEM was first linked to gain-of-function mutations of SCN9A/Na\$_{\text{v}}\text{1.7}$ a decade ago and, since then, fewer than two dozen mutations have been identified in individuals or families with IEM, functionally characterized, and published, usually with brief clinical descriptions of the patients, giving only a partial picture, if any, of the clinical features and longitudinal aspects of the disease. The present paper describes the results of a systematic clinical phenotyping study of 13 subjects, each studied extensively over a 3-month period, representing four families with well-characterized clinical features of IEM and confirmed pathogenic (gain-of-function) mutations in the SCN9A gene.

Subjects and methods
Subject recruitment

A total of 28 patients carrying gain-of-function mutations of Na,1.7, that were included in a database of genotyped and phenotyped IEM subjects maintained in the Department of Neurology at Yale University, had documented gain-of-function mutations of Na,1.7 and who resided in the USA or Canada, were invited to participate in this study. All subjects had previously been referred to Yale Medical School for Institutional Review Board (IRB)-approved studies. Subjects were consented for participation in the study, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki 2008, with assent obtained for minors. The study was approved by an Independent Ethics Review Board. Adolescent subjects (12–18 years old) were included in the questionnaires, olfaction testing and pain diary completion. Quantitative sensory testing (QST) was optional for subjects aged 16–18 years, two of whom declined to participate. All adult subjects consented to QST. All participants had been clinically diagnosed with erythromelalgia and experienced episodes of pain in the extremities, associated with reddening and or swelling and increased heat of the skin. Family history of IEM was documented. Subjects who had other pain disorders not due to IEM, or who had severe psychiatric disorders (determined by medical history) that would limit participation in the study, were excluded. Prior to entry in this study, all subjects had mutations in the SCN9A gene previously confirmed by Sanger sequencing, as part of the Yale study protocols. The mutations in the Na,1.7 sodium channel had been functionally characterized and produced gain-of-function changes including hyperpolarizing shifts in activation of the channel and induction of hyperexcitability in dorsal root ganglion neurons expressing the mutant channel (Dib-Hajj et al., 2010). In several subjects, genetic confirmation of a SCN9A gene mutation in family members had been performed.

Study design

This non-interventional (no drug treatment) clinical study was conducted in two parts; an in-clinic phase performed over 2–3 days (Part A) and a 3 month at-home phase where subjects completed a daily pain diary (Part B). Subjects were not required to discontinue pain medications or concomitant treatments for this study.

A detailed medical history including prior and current clinical conditions, use of non-pharmacological and pharmacological treatments to manage the pain of IEM and other concomitant medications was obtained at the in-clinic screening visit. Physical examination, including vital signs, was performed. Supine and standing blood pressure and pulse rate were measured. Clinical chemistry and haematology tests were not performed.

Study visits
During the in-clinic phase, subjects were administered questionnaires on the day after screening. The Erythromelalgia Questionnaire (EMQ) had three sections related to diagnosis and family history (10 questions), symptoms (33 questions) and treatments (five questions) (Supplementary Table 1). Baseline subjective psychosocial aspects were assessed using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) and the Pain Catastrophizing Questionnaire (PCS).

The HADS is a self-reported screening tool for anxiety and depression in non-psychiatric clinical populations consisting of 14 items (seven each for anxiety and depression) (Zigmond and Snaith, 1983). Responses to the HADS were based on the relative frequency of symptoms over the preceding week.

The PCS has 13 questions with categorical responses, and taps three dimensions of catastrophizing: rumination, magnification and helplessness. A total score of ≥ 30 indicates a clinically relevant level of catastrophizing (Sullivan et al., 1995).

DNA Sanger sequencing of the 26 coding exons of SCN9A was performed during this study to independently confirm each subject’s previously identified SCN9A mutation. Olfaction was assessed in all subjects using the Sniffin’ST odour threshold test (Hummel et al., 2007), performed on two consecutive days. The test was performed with n-butanol, with a single-staircase, triple forced choice procedure, using a 1:2 dilution series beginning with 4%. Threshold was defined as the mean of the last four of seven staircase reversal points. Data were compared with normative values (Hummel et al., 2007), converted to Z-scores and represented graphically.

QST was performed on two consecutive days during the in-clinic phase of the study according to the protocol of the German Research Network on Neuropathic Pain (DFNS) (Rolke et al., 2006). Thirteen parameters are measured through seven tests, comprising: (i) thermal detection (cold detection threshold, CDT, warm detection threshold, WDT) and thermal pain thresholds (cold pain threshold, CPT, heat pain threshold, HPT) and the number of paradoxical heat sensations (PHS) using a TSA 2001-II thermode (MEDOC; contact area: 9 cm², baseline temperature 32°C, cut-offs 0°C, 50°C); (ii) the mechanical detection threshold (MDT, modified von Frey hairs, 0.25–512 mN, Optihair2-Set, Marstock Nervtest); (iii) the mechanical pain threshold (MPT, weighted pinprick stimulators, 8–512 mN, MRC Systems); (iv) a stimulus/response function including mechanical pain sensitivity (MPS) for pinprick stimuli and dynamic mechanical allodynia (DMA, cotton wisp ~3 mN, Q-tip fixed to an elastic strip ~100 mN, brush ~200–400 mN); and (v) the vibration detection threshold (VDT, Rydel-Seiffer tuning fork, 64 Hz, 8/8 scale). To minimize the subject’s burden in this study, the wind-up ratio and pressure pain threshold tests were omitted from the original QST protocol. In each subject, one affected and one unaffected body site were assessed during a non-attack period. QST was performed on the unaffected area first, followed by the affected area (Supplementary Fig. 1). The affected site was the foot (n = 7) or hand (n = 4) and the face in one subject. The cheek was used as the unaffected site except in four subjects who also reported face pain. In these subjects, the area over the
Trapezius muscle was used as an unaffected site. The same sites in each subject were used on two consecutive days to assess variability between study days. Data were collected from 12/13 subjects as QST was optional for subjects aged 16 to 18 years and not performed in subjects <16 years of age. If the subject experienced a pain attack with a pain intensity of ≤ 8/10 (where 0 = no pain and 10 = worst pain possible) on the Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) on one or both days, there was an option, with the subject’s consent, to perform QST during the attack (five subjects with eight QST assessments) (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Skin temperature was measured at each test site, using an infra-red thermometer (Dermatemp ASM Model DT1000) (Exergen Corp), immediately before performing the QST battery.

The 12 week at-home evaluations (Part B of the study) consisted of a daily paper diary completed by the subjects, who were trained on diary completion during the in-clinic phase of the study. The purpose of the diary was to facilitate assessment of pain characteristics over time. It was constructed to capture the number and duration of pain attacks, location of attacks (foot/hand or other part of the body), severity of pain during attacks (using the NRS), incidence and severity of pain between attacks, likely attack triggers, concomitant pain medications, non-pharmacologic therapies and exercise regimen. The NRS was used because of its ease of use by subjects over the relatively long period (12–13 weeks) of diary-keeping. If the subject did not experience pain attacks on any given day, this was also recorded.

Statistical methods

This study was open to all IEM subjects who met study inclusion and exclusion criteria. Medical history and demographic data were recorded. Vital signs, core body and skin temperatures were reviewed and summarized descriptively.

For each subject, the daily, weekly and monthly total number of pain attacks obtained from the pain diary were tabulated and plotted longitudinally. The severity of pain during an attack, duration of pain on each attack and severity of pain between attacks was plotted longitudinally on a day-to-day basis for each subject. The location (right hand/left hand/right foot/left foot/other body location) and number of pain attacks was tabulated by week. The subject’s SCN9A mutation type was specified on the longitudinal plots and in summary tables. Psychosocial questionnaire (HADS, PCS) raw data scores for each subject were presented in tabular form. The HADS Anxiety and Depression scores for each subject were listed separately in addition to individuals’ summed scores. Scores were summarized descriptively [mean, median, standard deviation (SD), minimum and maximum] for all subjects.

The PCS raw data scores for each subject for each parameter were listed separately in addition to summed scores of the three parameters for each subject. Subscale and total scores were additionally summarized in terms of the mean, median, SD, minimum and maximum.
Olfaction data (odour threshold) were analysed by calculating Z-scores using the equation described for QST testing above and normative data published by Hummel et al. (2007). The Z-score transformed data were plotted for each subject.

QST results were calculated using EQUISTA (Casquar) based on published reference data for face, foot and hand (Magerl et al., 2010), and for the trunk (Pfau et al., 2014). To align QST data for age, gender and test site, raw data or logarithmically transformed raw data, depending on the particular QST parameter, were transformed into Z-scores using the equation: $Z_{\text{score}} = \frac{\text{value}_{\text{patient}} - \text{mean}_{\text{controls}}}{\text{SD}_{\text{controls}}}$ (Rolke et al., 2006; Magerl et al., 2010). A Z-score of 0 corresponds to the mean of the reference group. Z-scores between −1.96 and 1.96 represent the normal range of healthy subjects (95% confidence interval); values outside this range are regarded as abnormal. Z-scores >1.96 indicate hyperaesthesia, (when considering detection thresholds) and hyperalgesia (when considering pain thresholds); Z-scores < −1.96 indicate hypoaesthesia (when considering detection thresholds) and hypoalgesia (when considering pain thresholds) compared to the reference group. PHS and DMA were not z-transformed. Z-score profiles were plotted for the whole study group and groups of patients with the same mutation (V400M, S241T, F1449V) for the affected and unaffected site, as well as for the affected site during a pain attack. Z-score profile data from both days were averaged for each site for each patient, prior to averaging across the patients; data for the second affected site were included with the affected site in this calculation. As a normal distribution within z-transformed parameters is given (Rolke et al., 2006), the Z-scores of the whole group’s affected and unaffected site were compared using paired t-tests, with two-sided 5% significance level. PHS parameter Z-score data between affected and unaffected site were compared with a two-sided Fisher’s Exact test at the 5% significance level.

Results

Clinical features

From the initial group of 28 IEM patients invited to participate in the current study, 13 subjects, six males and seven females, aged 15–77 years, consented to participate and were enrolled. Seven subjects (all from one family, previously described by Dib-Hajj et al., 2005) carried the F1449V mutation, three subjects from another family (previously described by Fischer et al., 2009) carried the V400M mutation, two subjects from a different family carried the S241T mutation, and one subject carried both the I848T mutation and S449N single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP). Although all subjects carried the clinical diagnosis of IEM, and some provided anecdotal evidence for triggers, mental state and general quality of their pain, they had not been studied systematically by an approach similar to what we employed in our current study.

The majority of subjects (11/13) had onset of clinical signs of IEM within the first decade of life, while the remaining two subjects (S241T mutation) experienced onset of IEM within the second decade. Subjects had previously consulted a variety of medical specialists with a clinical
diagnosis of IEM confirmed anywhere from the same year as onset of clinical signs to an average of ∼20 years after the onset of first episodes of pain. Co-morbidities included diabetes mellitus (three subjects), hypothyroidism (three subjects), hypertension (six subjects) and hyperlipidaemia (two subjects).

Clinical features of IEM, the most commonly identified triggers of pain attacks, and concomitant pain medications are listed in Table 1. Feet and hands were the most commonly affected parts of the body, with reddening, swelling and heat in the skin presenting as consistent clinical features. Four of 13 subjects also reported involvement of the face (facial pain), and several subjects reported pain attacks affecting other parts of the body, for example arms, knees, elbows and thighs. Eleven subjects reported intermittent pain and two subjects reported constant pain, based on self-recall. Five subjects reported that their pain had become worse over time whereas eight subjects reported that pain had either stayed the same (four subjects) or improved over time (four subjects). The majority of subjects (11/13) used non-pharmacological manoeuvres such as ice, cold air fans or immersion of affected extremities in cold water to alleviate pain; however, two subjects reported that cold, for example, going out in snow or cold weather, aggravated their pain. Subjects reported taking a variety of medications to manage their pain (Table 1). Eleven of 13 subjects had taken acetylsalicylic acid previously for pain management; five subjects stated they had no response (38.5%) and six subjects self-reported that they had some response or a good clinical response (46.2%). Two subjects carrying the V400M mutation reported that carbamazepine reduced the intensity of pain and the number of weekly pain attacks.

Table 1
IEM subject clinical features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>IEM age of onset</th>
<th>Affected body sites</th>
<th>Pain attack trigger</th>
<th>Pain intermittent (I), constant (C) better/worse with time</th>
<th>Pain relief by cooling</th>
<th>Current pain medication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1001</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>4–5 yr</td>
<td>F+H+face</td>
<td>Heat, exercise, C, worse with time</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Acetaminophen+ hydrocodone,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Mutation</td>
<td>Age of onset</td>
<td>Affected body sites</td>
<td>Pain trigger</td>
<td>Pain intermittent (I), constant (C) better/worse with time</td>
<td>Pain relief by cooling</td>
<td>Current pain medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1002</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>7 yr</td>
<td>F+H</td>
<td>Heat, exercise, standing</td>
<td>I, better over time</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>morphine, Naproxen, paracetamol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1003</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>6 yr</td>
<td>F+H</td>
<td>Heat, exercise, standing</td>
<td>I, better over time</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ibuprofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1004</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S241T</td>
<td>17 yr</td>
<td>F+H, legs, arms and face, excluding torso</td>
<td>Heat, exercise, standing</td>
<td>I, worse over time</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1005</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>S241T</td>
<td>17 yr</td>
<td>H + F</td>
<td>Heat, exercise, standing</td>
<td>I, better over time</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ibuprofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1006</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>6 yr</td>
<td>F+H</td>
<td>Heat, exercise</td>
<td>I, stayed the same over time</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1007</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>&lt;2 yr</td>
<td>F+H+face</td>
<td>Heat, exercise</td>
<td>I, stayed the same over time</td>
<td>No, cold makes</td>
<td>Ibuprofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Mutation</td>
<td>IEM age of onset</td>
<td>Affected body sites</td>
<td>Pain attack trigger</td>
<td>Pain intermittent (I), constant (C) better/worse with time</td>
<td>Pain relief by cooling</td>
<td>Current pain medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1008</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>&lt;6 yr</td>
<td>H + F</td>
<td>Heat, exercise, standing</td>
<td>I, stayed the same over time</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Acetaminophen, acetylsalicylic acid, pregabalin, gabapentin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1009</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>V400M</td>
<td>4 yr</td>
<td>F+H, arms, thighs</td>
<td>Heat, exercise, standing</td>
<td>I, worse over time</td>
<td>No, cold makes it worse</td>
<td>Ibuprofen, acetaminophen+ codeine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1010</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>V400M</td>
<td>&lt;10 yr</td>
<td>H+F+thighs</td>
<td>Heat, exercise</td>
<td>I, stayed the same</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Carbamazepine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1011</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>V400M</td>
<td>18 months</td>
<td>F+H</td>
<td>Heat, exercise, standing</td>
<td>I, better over time</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Acetaminophen, carbamazepine, ibuprofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1012</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>5 yr</td>
<td>H+F, face, knees, elbows</td>
<td>Heat, exercise, standing</td>
<td>I, worse over time</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Acetaminophen+ hydrocodone, tramadol, acetaminophen, pregabalin (neuropathy +IEM)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Clinical features of IEM, including concomitant treatments for pain management. Data derived from the Erythromelalgia Questionnaire administered at screening and the daily pain diaries recorded by subjects over a 12–13 week at-home period. F = feet; H = hands.

### Pain phenotype

The average pain NRS score during pain attacks, across all subjects was 5.7, ranging from a minimum score of 1 to a maximum score of 10. For those subjects who reported pain between attacks, the average pain NRS score was 2.69 (maximum score 7.0). To better understand if there was any relationship between mutation type and pain phenotype, pain data were further analysed and are presented by mutation type/family group.

### Number of pain attacks

There was considerable variation between subjects with the same mutation (either F1449V, S241T, V400M or I848T) in the number of pain attacks per week (means of 0.9 to 15.3 attacks per week) over the 12–13 week pain diary period (Table 2). Some subjects reported no pain attacks or one pain attack per week over certain weeks with other subjects experienced up to 20 pain attacks per week. Some subjects reported substantial week-to-week variation in number of attacks. Two subjects, who reported no attacks during some weeks, reported six or seven attacks during other weeks. No subject in this study had more than three pain attacks per day (Supplementary Table 2).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>IEM age of onset</th>
<th>Affected body sites</th>
<th>Pain attack trigger</th>
<th>Pain intermittent (I), constant (C) better/worse with time</th>
<th>Pain relief by cooling</th>
<th>Current pain medication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1013</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>I848T/S449N</td>
<td>4 yr</td>
<td>F+H</td>
<td>Heat, exercise, standing</td>
<td>C, worse over time</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mexiletine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Number of pain attacks per week and duration of pain attacks (min)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Number of pain attacks per week</th>
<th>Mean duration of pain attacks (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( n^a )</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1002</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1003</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1004</td>
<td>S241T</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1005</td>
<td>S241T</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1006</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1007</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1008</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1009</td>
<td>V400M</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1010</td>
<td>V400M</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1011</td>
<td>V400M</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1012</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1013</td>
<td>I848T/S449N</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- \( n^a \): Number of weeks in which data were recorded.
- \( n^b \): Number of pain attacks reported per subject over the 12–13 week data collection period.
- Min = minimum; Max = maximum.
There was considerable variability within family groups as to the number of pain attacks experienced over the recording period. For example, Subject 1004 (S241T) experienced at least one pain attack per day with two pain attacks per day occurring on 47 (54%) of the 87 days over which they recorded pain attacks, whereas a first-degree relative (Subject 1005, S241T) experienced no pain attacks on the majority of days (47 days; 60%) during their 78 day recording period.

Duration of pain attacks

Pain attacks were variable in duration, both within subjects with the same mutation and across all subjects (Table 2). Over the 12–13 week diary period, subjects experienced pain attacks lasting from 5 min up to 17 h (995 min) per attack. There was considerable within-subject variation with regard to duration of pain attacks, for example, Subject 1009 (V400M) reported pain attacks that lasted a minimum of 5 min up to a maximum of 480 min with a mean of 60 min (SD 103 min). Within the same family, different subjects demonstrated variability in attack duration, for example, Subject 1004 (S241T) had pain attacks lasting a minimum of 60 min to a maximum of 780 min, whereas Subject 1005 (S241T) had much shorter duration pain attacks (minimum 5 min, maximum 240 min).

Pain severity during attacks

Nine of 13 subjects recorded an average pain severity score of 5 on the NRS (0–10). Pain severity during attacks ranged from a minimum score of 1 to a maximum of 10 for some subjects (Supplementary Table 3). Subjects described their pain using descriptors such as ‘burning’, ‘like being on fire’, ‘constant pain, like frostbite that is warming up’, ‘blowtorch-like’, ‘hot’, ‘throbbing’, ‘aching’, ‘tingling’, or ‘pins and needles’. Pain severity reports over the 12–13 week data collection period at home demonstrated attack-to-attack variation in pain severity for each subject within each family group (Fig. 1).
Pain severity during pain attacks. Pain attack severity data derived from subjects’ daily diary recorded over a 12–13 week period at home. Subjects rated their pain on an 11-point NRS where 0 = no pain and 10 = worst pain possible.

Ongoing pain between attacks

Eleven of 13 subjects reported ongoing pain between attacks, with 8 of 11 subjects having a mean pain score of > 2 on the NRS (Supplementary Table 3 and Fig. 2). The mean pain score between attacks was further investigated by assessing whether the subject had zero, one or more pain attacks per day (maximum number of attacks experienced by any subject was three per day) to determine if those subjects who had more frequent pain attacks experienced worse pain between attacks. There was no consistent relationship between the number of pain attacks per day and severity of pain between attacks for each subject (Supplementary Fig. 2). With regard to severity of pain during and between attacks, the majority of subjects (11 of 13 who reported ongoing pain) had a 3–4 point difference on the NRS, that is, pain
during attacks was more severe by 3–4 points than pain between attacks. Two subjects (Subjects 1007 and 1012) did not differentiate pain severity during attacks from pain severity between attacks.

Figure 2
Pain severity between pain attacks (ongoing pain). Pain between attack data derived from subjects’ daily diary recorded at home over a 12–13 week period. Eleven subjects self-reported ongoing pain between attacks. Two subjects (Subjects 1003 and 1013) did not report ongoing pain between attacks. Pain scores were recorded using an 11-point pain NRS where 0 = no pain and 10 = worst pain possible.

Pain attack triggers
Over the 12–13 week diary period, subjects recorded pain attack triggers. If there was no identifiable pain attack trigger, they were asked to record that as a specific category. Exercise and heat were the most common triggers for pain attacks, followed by hot humid weather, physical...
activities such as chores and wearing clothing (usually closed toe shoes or socks). Cold triggered 17 attacks (out of a total of 1099 attacks; Table 3) in two subjects. Of the 1099 pain attacks recorded, 32% ($n = 355$) had no identifiable trigger. The more common triggers (exercise, heat) tended to be associated with attacks of relatively brief duration (mean 1.2 h, 2.8 h, respectively) (Table 3).

Table 3
Pain attack triggers and duration of pain attacks (h) overall for all subjects, stratified by attack triggers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Triggera</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine/household tasks</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clothes</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virus</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of sleep</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>10.36</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormonal (females only)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Psychometric tests

Across the group of 13 subjects, based on results of HADS, mean scores for anxiety were 8.2 (range 3–13; SD 3.56) and for depression 4.5 (range 0–11; SD 3.99), respectively. Scores recorded from individual subjects indicated that two subjects had mild anxiety and five subjects had moderate anxiety (Table 4). Two subjects had mild depression and another two subjects had moderate depression based on scores. Five subjects recorded scores below the threshold for mild depression and anxiety. For those subjects who had ongoing pain between attacks ($n = 11/13$), there was a significant correlation between pain severity between attacks and total scores for HADS ($P = 0.005$) and between pain scores between attacks and HADS depression scores ($P = 0.001$) (Supplementary Fig. 3A and B).

*Data derived from subjects’ daily diary. $n =$ number of pain attacks recorded. There was a category in the daily diary for no identifiable pain attack trigger for the subject to complete. ‘Clothes’ refers to wearing of clothes that warm the body and closed toe shoes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Triggera</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other conditions</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No trigger</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4**

HADS and PCS scores listed by subject

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Anxiety Score HADS-A</th>
<th>Depression Score HADS-D</th>
<th>Helplessness</th>
<th>Magnification</th>
<th>Rumination</th>
<th>Total Scorea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1001</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Mutation</td>
<td>HADS scores</td>
<td>PCS – all subjects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anxiety Score HADS-A</td>
<td>Depression Score HADS-D</td>
<td>Helplessness</td>
<td>Magnification</td>
<td>Rumination</td>
<td>Total Scorea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1002</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1003</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1004</td>
<td>S241T</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1005</td>
<td>S241T</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1006</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1007</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1008</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1009</td>
<td>V400M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1010</td>
<td>V400M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1011</td>
<td>V400M</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1012</td>
<td>F1449V</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1013</td>
<td>I848T/S449N</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• aTotal (summed) PCS scores for helplessness, rumination and magnification for each subject.
• For each subject, HADS scores for Anxiety and Depression are listed separately.

Three subjects had summed PCS scores of > 30 (Table 4). Across the group of 13 subjects, the mean score for helplessness was 8.9 (SD 5.35); for magnification, mean score was 3.2 (SD 1.59), and for rumination, the mean score was 10.3 (SD 3.84).
Olfaction testing

Olfaction threshold testing scores were plotted as Z-score profiles. There was no evidence of hyperosmia in subjects in this study. The majority of subjects displayed olfactory scores within the reference ranges proposed by Hummel et al. (2007).

Orthostatic hypotension

In 12 of 13 patients, there was no evidence of orthostatic hypotension (defined as a decrease of ≥ 20 mmHg for systolic blood pressure or ≥ 10 mmHg for diastolic blood pressure 2 min after standing from a supine position). One subject, (Subject 1012, female), had a decrease in diastolic blood pressure of 10 mmHg (supine to standing) and a decrease in systolic blood pressure of 22 mmHg from supine to standing position.

QST and skin temperature results

The QST profiles of the affected and unaffected site differed significantly for a number of parameters (Fig. 3). Regarding the thermal detection thresholds, at the affected site, there were significantly lower Z-scores for cold and warm detection thresholds (CDT, WDT, P < 0.01, respectively) compared to the unaffected site, suggesting reduced small fibre function in this area. On an individual level, of the 12 subjects with QST data, six (50%) subjects had averaged Z-scores < −1.96 for the CDT and five subjects (42%) had averaged Z-scores of < −1.96 for the WDT at the affected site. There was only one subject with Z-scores of < −1.96 for both CDT and WDT at the unaffected site. Regarding perception of touch, the Z-score for the mechanical detection threshold (MDT) was lower at the unaffected site (though not statistically significant), suggesting reduced A-beta fibre function. Six subjects had abnormal averaged Z-scores < −1.96 for the MDT at the unaffected site, but only one subject had an abnormal MDT at the affected site. With respect to thermal pain thresholds, the Z-scores for cold and heat pain threshold (CPT, HPT) were significantly higher at the unaffected site (CPT: P < 0.05, HPT: P < 0.01) compared to the affected site, that is, there was hyperalgesia to cold and heat stimuli on the unaffected skin area. On an individual level, one subject had a Z-score value >1.96 for the CPT at the unaffected site, whereas five subjects (42%) had a Z-value >1.96 for the HPT at the unaffected site. Two subjects also had Z-values >1.96 for HPT on the affected site, one of whom had Z-values >1.96 at both affected and unaffected sites. There were no differences between the affected and unaffected site regarding vibration detection threshold (VDT), mechanical pain threshold (MPT), mechanical pain sensitivity (MPS), the magnitude of dynamic mechanical allodynia (DMA) and the number of paradoxical heat sensations (PHS).
Figure 3

QST, Z-score profiles. Sensory profiles of the affected and unaffected site (n = 12 subjects who were eligible and consented to QST, tested on two separate occasions and individually averaged before group comparison) and for the affected site during a pain attack (n = 5 subjects,
two with single QST assessment, three tested on two separate occasions; these data were individually averaged before group comparison). All values are normalized for age, gender and testing site on a Z-scale. Z-scores between −1.96 and 1.96 represent the normal range of healthy subjects (grey area). Z-scores > 0 indicate a gain of sensory function; i.e. the subject detects the stimulus at a lesser stimulus intensity than healthy controls in case of detection thresholds (hyperaesthesia) or the subject feels a painful sensation at a lesser stimulus intensity than healthy controls in case of pain thresholds (hyperalgesia). By contrast, Z-scores < 0 indicate a loss of sensory function; i.e. the subject detects the stimulus at a greater stimulus intensity than healthy controls in case of detection thresholds (hypoalgesia) or the subjects feels a painful sensation at a greater stimulus intensity than healthy controls in case of pain thresholds (hypoaesthesia). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 paired t-test, affected versus unaffected site. CDT = cold detection threshold; CPT = cold pain threshold; DMA = dynamical mechanical allodynia; HPT = heat pain threshold; MDT = mechanical detection threshold; MPT = mechanical pain threshold; MPS = mechanical pain sensitivity; PHS = paradoxical heat sensations; TSL = thermal sensory limen; VDT = vibration detection threshold; WDT = warm detection threshold.

During a pain attack, Z-scores for the CPT and HPT as well as for the MDT were lower compared to the QST profile of the affected site in-between attacks. No subject experienced a pain attack as a consequence of QST testing for heat pain threshold (HPT).

Comparing the QST profiles of patients with specific mutations among each other (Fig. 4), MDT displayed the greatest difference. Subjects with F1449V mutations showed numerically lower Z-scores with larger variability at the unaffected site than subjects with V400M and S241T mutations. Subjects with S241T mutations showed higher Z-scores for the HPT, that is, heat hyperalgesia at the affected and unaffected sites, in contrast to subjects with F1449V and V400M mutations who only showed heat hyperalgesia at the unaffected site. All other parameters did not exhibit any notable differences between the different mutation types.
Figure 4

**QST, Z-score profiles by mutation type.** Sensory profiles of the affected and unaffected site for different mutations (F1449, \( n = 7 \) subjects; S241T and V400M, \( n = 2 \) subjects, respectively). All subjects were tested on two separate occasions and individually averaged. Z-
scores between −1.96 and 1.96 represent the normal range of healthy subjects (grey area). All values are normalized for age, gender and testing site on a Z-scale. Z-scores > 0 indicate a gain of sensory function; i.e. the subject detects the stimulus at a lesser stimulus intensity than healthy controls in case of detection thresholds (hyperaesthesia) or the subject feels a painful sensation at a lesser stimulus intensity than healthy controls in case of pain thresholds (hyperalgesia). By contrast, Z-scores < 0 indicate a loss of sensory function; i.e. the subject detects the stimulus at a greater stimulus intensity than healthy controls in case of detection thresholds (hypoaesthesia) or the subject feels a painful sensation at a greater stimulus intensity than healthy controls in case of pain thresholds (hypoalgesia). Due to the small sample size within the subgroups, no statistical comparisons were carried out between affected and unaffected sites. CDT = cold detection threshold; CPT = cold pain threshold; DMA = dynamical mechanical allodynia; HPT = heat pain threshold; MDT = mechanical detection threshold; MPT = mechanical pain threshold; MPS = mechanical pain sensitivity; PHS = paradoxical heat sensations; TSL = thermal sensory limen; VDT = vibration detection threshold; WDT = warm detection threshold.

Skin temperatures were recorded in six subjects just prior to performing QST on both days and ranged from 28.6°C to 36.6°C, with a mean temperature of 32°C (reference range 32°C–35°C; Freitas 1999).

Discussion

Although 19 IEM and functionally characterized mutations of Na\textsubscript{v}1.7 have been described in the literature (Dib-Hajj et al., 2013), there has been no systematic study of the pattern of pain, natural history or the effect of IEM associated with Na\textsubscript{v}1.7 mutations on psychosocial status or olfaction. In this study, we undertook detailed clinical phenotyping of 13 subjects with IEM, each studied over a 12–13 week period. All SCN9A mutations in these subjects had been previously demonstrated on functional testing \textit{in vitro} to be pathogenic (Cummins et al., 2004; Dib-Hajj et al., 2005; Lampert et al., 2006; Fischer et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2012). The majority (11/13) of subjects in this study, all carrying gain-of-function mutations of Na\textsubscript{v}1.7, had early onset of pain symptoms due to IEM, starting in the first decade of life, apart from two subjects who first experienced pain attacks in the second decade. This contrasts with later ages of onset ranging from 30 to 50 years in patients with clinical diagnosis of erythromelalgia and no mutation in the open reading frame of SCN9A, reported by Namer et al. (2015) and the later age of onset (56 years) in the patient with the I228M Na\textsubscript{v}1.7 mutation carrying a diagnosis of IEM and small fibre neuropathy (Namer et al., 2015). It has been reported that pain in IEM may become more severe with increasing age (Finley et al., 1992). In the current study, eight out of 13 subjects self-reported that pain had either stayed the same or diminished with increasing age. The majority of subjects experienced at least one pain attack per day, with seven subjects recording up to three pain attacks per day. Pain during attacks tended to be moderate to severe (pain score of at least five on the NRS) for the majority of
subjects. It has been reported that pain symptoms may vary between family members (Finley et al., 1992; Michiels et al., 2005). In the current study, over a period of 12–13 weeks, the number, frequency, duration and severity of pain attacks was markedly variable, both between and within subjects in a family, supporting this observation on more limited numbers of subjects by previous authors.

The majority of subjects in our study reported minimal benefit from existing pain medications. Two subjects carrying the V400M mutation had obtained a reasonable degree of pain control and a reduction in the number of weekly pain attacks with carbamazepine (Fischer et al., 2009) although, based on the data from this study, they still experienced an average of two to five pain attacks per week, with moderate to severe pain (scores ranging from 5 to 10 on the NRS). The majority of subjects gained relief from non-pharmacological manoeuvres such as cooling the affected parts of the body in cold water, using ice, or with cool air fans. These situational remedies, however, imposed limited mobility on these subjects and restrictions on normal daily activities.

Pain attacks in erythromelalgia have been reported to be triggered by heat, clothing, exercise and humidity (Finley et al., 1992; Michiels et al., 2005; Drenth and Waxman, 2007). Our subjects reported similar triggers for pain attacks in this study; however, ~32% of pain attacks in the present group of subjects occurred in the absence of a specific trigger. It is noteworthy that no subject experienced a pain attack as a consequence of QST testing for HPT. It is possible that pain attacks were not evoked during this thermal testing because the stimulus is applied to a relatively small area of the skin, a general limitation for QST. Pain attacks in IEM have also been reported to be episodic. It is noteworthy that in the current study, 11 of 13 subjects experienced ongoing pain between attacks, with this ongoing pain being generally less severe than that experienced during pain attacks (Supplementary Table 3 and Figs 1 and 2). There appeared to be no relationship between the number of pain attacks experienced per day by a subject and the severity of ongoing pain between attacks (Supplementary Fig. 2).

In contrast to patients with small-fibre neuropathy associated with Na,1.7 mutations who display a spectrum of autonomic abnormalities (Faber et al., 2012), patients with IEM tend to display redness of the skin during attacks in the absence of other autonomic symptoms (Drenth and Waxman, 2007). It has been demonstrated by Rush et al. (2006) that a single IEM mutation in Na,1.7 can produce hyperexcitability in sensory neurons and hypoexcitability in sympathetic ganglion neurons, providing a molecular basis for the sympathetic dysfunction underlying the abnormal reddening of the skin during attacks that has been observed in erythromelalgia. In the current study, we assessed all subjects for evidence of orthostatic hypotension. One subject out of 13 studied, had blood pressure measurements consistent with orthostatic hypotension. While this may be a rare phenomenon in IEM subjects, our findings suggest the need to screen for orthostatic hypotension as patients with IEM are studied.

Na,1.7 plays a crucial role in odour perception and is present in axons of human olfactory sensory neurons (Weiss et al., 2011). Anosmia or hyposmia has been frequently reported in patients with congenital insensitivity to pain due to mutations in SCN9A (Goldberg et al., 2007). In
the present study it was hypothesized that patients with IEM associated with gain-of-function mutations in the \textit{SCN9A} gene might have hyperosmia. \textit{Heimann et al. (2013)} recently assessed linkage between two SNPs in \textit{SCN9A}[one of which had been previously associated with enhanced excitability of dorsal root ganglion neurons and higher pain scores (\textit{Estacion et al., 2009}; \textit{Reimann et al., 2010}) and olfaction]. They reported a wild-type \textit{SCN9A} haplotype composed of rs41268673C/rs6746030C alleles (found in a sample of random Caucasian subjects at an allelic frequency of 82.5% in their study) linked with comparatively reduced olfactory acuity as well as pain perception. Although none of their subjects reported any subjective perception of smell sensitivity differing from normal status, an increasing number of non-mutated haplotype alleles was associated with a higher olfactory threshold, i.e. with the detection limit of volatile phenylethylethanol at lower dilutions, a result they interpret as suggesting an association of the minor alleles with enhanced olfactory function. Following administration of the Sniffin’T test to subjects with IEM and gain-of-function mutations of Na\textsubscript{v}1.7 and comparison of subjects’ results with normative values (\textit{Hummel et al., 2007}), we did not find evidence of hyperosmia in this study. Whether the different results are the result of different study designs, different populations of subjects, the presence of hyposmia in three of the 25 subjects with wild-type alleles at both loci in the \textit{Heimann et al. (2013)} study, or differences in the effects of different Na\textsubscript{v}1.7 variants on olfactory function, is not known.

In the study of \textit{Davis et al. (2000)} a diagnosis of erythromelalgia was associated with significant physical and mental health issues with a decrease in survival versus age and sex-matched controls, and three patients had committed suicide with erythromelalgia listed as a secondary cause of death. In this study, we evaluated the psychosocial status of subjects living with IEM using the HADS and PCS scales. The HADS is a widely used and validated measure of a subject’s anxiety and/or depression and has been used in both psychiatric and non-psychiatric populations (\textit{Bjelland et al., 2002}) and hospital and community settings. Despite their history of chronic pain, five of the 13 subjects in this study had scores below the threshold for mild anxiety or depression. Two subjects had both moderate anxiety and depression and the remaining subjects had either mild or moderate anxiety or depression. No subject was severely anxious and/or depressed. There was a significant correlation between total HADS scores and pain severity between attacks ($P = 0.005$) and between HADS depression scores and pain between attacks ($P = 0.001$). There was no notable correlation between pain during attacks for either total HADS scores or Anxiety and Depression scores. Subjects in the current population who experienced ongoing pain between attacks were more likely to be depressed, based on HADS scores. This conclusion is consistent with the previous findings that, in general, frequent pain episodes and pain that is refractory to treatment are associated with more depressive symptoms or severe depression (\textit{Bair et al., 2003}). Individuals who score high on measures of pain catastrophizing (PCS) tend to report more intense pain and disability due to their pain (\textit{Sullivan et al., 1995, 2005}) and more severe depression and anxiety (\textit{Keefe et al., 1989; Martin et al., 1996}). Only 3 of 13 subjects with IEM in this study had clinically significant levels of pain catastrophizing. There was no correlation between scores for each parameter and the severity of pain experienced by IEM subjects in the current study. Catastrophic thinking may be a significant determinant of pain.
experience associated with the affective component of neuropathic pain (Jensen et al., 2002; Sullivan et al., 2005). Evidence from previous studies (Vienneau et al., 1999) suggested that the helplessness scale of the PCS was the strongest predictor in patients experiencing chronic pain for more than 4 years. The conclusion was that pain catastrophizing contributed to the prediction of functional disability in patients with neuropathic pain (Sullivan et al., 2005). In the current study, subjects had, on average, highest scores for rumination, followed by helplessness and magnification. It is interesting that, in a previous study on musculoskeletal pain, the rumination scale of the PCS showed the strongest association with pain experience (Sullivan et al., 1998).

A number of studies have been interpreted as suggesting the existence of small fibre neuropathy (SFN) in at least some patients with erythromelalgia (Davis et al., 2003; Oaklander and Klein, 2013; Bennett and Woods, 2014; Themistocleous et al., 2014). The present study used QST to evaluate both small and large nerve fibre function. QST can additionally reflect signs of sensory gain such as allodynia or thermal and mechanical hyperalgesia (Rolke et al., 2006; Backonja et al., 2013). The positive predictive value of QST for reduced intra-epidermal nerve fibre density in skin biopsies (a gold standard for diagnosis of SFN) has been reported to be high (Scherens et al., 2009). Previous studies investigating sensory changes of affected skin areas in patients with erythromelalgia reported loss of cold and warm detection as well as heat hyper- or hypoalgesia (Orstavik et al., 2004; Genebriera et al., 2012). Additionally, a reduction of intra-epidermal nerve fibre density was reported in skin biopsies of patients with erythromelalgia (Davis et al., 2006) but that study did not differentiate between patients with and without Na\(_{1.7}\) mutations. Although we did not assess the density of intra-epidermal nerve fibres as a criterion for SFN, in the group analysis of the current study sample, the detection thresholds for cold and warmth (CDT, WDT) were significantly elevated in the affected skin, suggesting a reduced number, or abnormal function of both A\(\delta\) and C-fibres. Single subject evaluations revealed increased thermal detection thresholds, suggesting SFN in more than half of all subjects. Signs of SFN were not detected in unaffected skin areas. However, a more conclusive diagnosis awaits a study on skin biopsy in individuals with IEM.

Only 17% of subjects in this study showed heat hyperalgesia on the affected site, but 42% of subjects had heat hyperalgesia on unaffected skin areas. Whilst it has been clearly shown that the Na\(_{1.7}\) mutations in the subjects assessed in this study produce shifts in voltage-dependence of activation of Na\(_{1.7}\) and subsequent hyperexcitability of dorsal root ganglion neurons (Cummins et al., 2004; Dib-Hajj et al., 2005; Lampert et al., 2006; Fischer et al., 2009; Han et al., 2009), it remains unclear why heat hyperalgesia is pronounced in otherwise asymptomatic areas. No less unexpected was the finding that 50% of the patients displayed tactile hypoesthesia in unaffected skin areas. The extent of loss of the perception of touch is pronounced for the F1449V mutation (Fig. 4). Somatosensory function has previously been linked to TRPV-polymorphisms (Binder et al., 2011); we suggest that there may be a similar association for Na\(_{1.7}\). It is also known that pain itself can be associated with tactile hypoesthesia (Geber et al., 2008), which might explain the increased MDT in subjects in the current study during a pain attack.
Additionally, the tactile hypoesthesia in unaffected skin areas might partly be explained by the choice of the unaffected area for QST assessment; small sensory changes, especially in the face, can cause large variations in Z-scores (Magerl et al., 2010). However, a pathological or molecular explanation for the large differences between asymptomatic and symptomatic areas remains a possibility that merits further study. QST, according to the DFNS protocol, includes an extensive battery of both thermal and mechanical pain and detection threshold tests but is restricted to a relatively small area of skin and limited time for execution, thus effects of temporal and spatial summation cannot be tested. This may lead to an underestimation of the effects (Krumova et al., 2012).

This study presents a detailed genetic and clinical evaluation of the phenotype of subjects with IEM. Our results demonstrate that pain is reliably evoked in patients with IEM with heat and/or exercise, and show substantial variability of pain both between and within subjects with IEM, even those who carry the same mutation within a family. Despite concerns that hyperactivity of the Na\textsubscript{v}1.7 channel could lead to hyperosmia, there was no evidence of this in the study population. The QST results suggest that, for the specific mutations studied, SFN may occur together with IEM. Our findings provide a picture of the clinical syndrome associated with IEM and Na\textsubscript{v}1.7 gain-of-function mutations, and underscore the importance of characterizing the pain phenotype in any given subject before undertaking clinical trials for new pain medications.
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