

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Planning Division

DRAFT MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

WARD: 1

1. Case Number: P13-0183 (Design Review)

2. Project Title: Centerpointe @ Market Apartments

3. **Lead Agency:** City of Riverside

Community Development Department

Planning Division

3900 Main Street, 3rd Floor Riverside, CA 92522

4. **Contact Person:** Brian Norton, Associate Planner

Phone Number: (951) 826-2308

5. **Project Location:** 3105-3189 Market Street, 3804-3894 First Street, 3847-3891 Second Street and

3130 and 3144 Fairmount Boulevard

6. Project Applicant/Project Sponsor's Name and Address:

Zion Enterprises Charlie Zhang 1044 Calle Recodo, Suite A San Clemente, CA 92673

7. **General Plan Designation:** Downtown Specific Plan (DSP)

8. **Zoning:** Downtown Specific Plan – Raincross District (DSP-RC)

9. **Description of Project:**

The proposed project involves the development of a multiple-family residential project consisting of 125 units (42 one-bedroom units, 76 two-bedroom units and 7 three-bedroom units), within a five story building, generally situated along Market Street and portions of First and Second Streets, a two-level, 233 stall parking structure, primarily located along Fairmount Boulevard and recreational amenities including a two-story, 3,681 square-foot clubhouse/fitness center, an interior open air courtyard with swimming pool, grills and lounging areas, a pet park, a covered courtyard facing Market Street and a sculpture garden.

Two existing single family residences with detached garages identified as 'historic resources' under the Cultural Resources study performed by JMRC Consulting in April of 2012 are proposed to be relocated from the subject site to three vacant parcels located on the northeasterly corner of Third Street and Fairmount Boulevard. The receiver parcels are vacant and located within the Mile Square Northwest Historic District.

10. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

	Existing Land Use	General Plan Designation	Zoning Designation
Project Site	Vacant/Single Family Residential	DSP – Downtown Specific Plan	DSP-RC – Downtown Specific Plan – Rain Cross District
North	Single Family Residential	DSP – Downtown Specific Plan/ MHDR – Medium High Density Residential	DSP-MSG – Downtown Specific Plan – Main Street Gateway District/ CG – Commercial General/ R-3-1500 – Multi-Family Residential
East	Vacant/ Proposed Multi-Family Residential	DSP – Downtown Specific Plan	DSP-RC – Downtown Specific Plan – Rain Cross District
South	Single Family Residential/ Commercial	DSP – Downtown Specific Plan	DSP-RC – Downtown Specific Plan – Rain Cross District
West	Single Family Residential	DSP – Downtown Specific Plan	DSP-RES – Downtown Specific Plan – Residential District

11. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financial approval, or participation agreement.):

a. Regional Water Quality Control Board

12. Other Environmental Reviews Incorporated by Reference in this Review:

- a. City of Riverside, Geographic Information System (GIS)
- b. General Plan 2025
- c. GP 2025 FPEIR
- d. Downtown Specific Plan
- e. City of Riverside Citywide Design Guidelines
- f. IW Engineering; Preliminary Project Specific Water Quality Management Plan
- g. Kunzman Associates, Inc. Traffic Impact Analysis November 11, 2014
- h. Giroux and Associates Air Quality and GHG Impact Analysis, May 13, 2014
- . Giroux and Associates Noise Impact Analysis, November 11, 2014

j. Project Plans

13. Acronyms

AICUZ - Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study

AQMP - Air Quality Management Plan AUSD - Alvord Unified School District

CEQA - California Environmental Quality Act

CMP - Congestion Management Plan
EIR - Environmental Impact Report
EMWD - Eastern Municipal Water District
EOP - Emergency Operations Plan

FEMA - Federal Emergency Management Agency

FPEIR - GP 2025 Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report

GIS - Geographic Information System

GhG - Green House Gas GP 2025 - General Plan 2025 IS - Initial Study

LHMP - Local Hazard Mitigation Plan

MARB/MIP - March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port

MJPA-JLUS - March Joint Powers Authority - Joint Land Use Study

MSHCP - Multiple-Species Habitat Conservation Plan MVUSD - Moreno Valley Unified School District NCCP - Natural Communities Conservation Plan

OEM - Office of Emergency Services

OPR - Office of Planning & Research, State
PEIR - Program Environmental Impact Report

PW - Public Works, Riverside

RCALUC - Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission
RCALUCP - Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan

RCP - Regional Comprehensive Plan

RCTC - Riverside County Transportation Commission

RMC - Riverside Municipal Code RPD - Riverside Police Department RPU - Riverside Public Utilities

RTIP - Regional Transportation Improvement Plan

RTP - Regional Transportation Plan RUSD - Riverside Unified School District

SCAG - Southern California Association of Governments SCAQMD - South Coast Air Quality Management District

SCH - State Clearinghouse

SKR-HCP - Stephens' Kangaroo Rat - Habitat Conservation Plan

SWPPP - Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan

USGS - United States Geologic Survey
WMWD - Western Municipal Water District
WQMP - Water Quality Management Plan

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

	elow would be potentially affected by at Impact" as indicated by the checklist		t one
Aesthetics	Agriculture & Forest Resources	⊠∏Air Quality	
Biological Resources	Cultural Resources	☐ Geology/Soils	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Hazards & Hazardous Materials	Hydrology/Water Quality	
Land Use/Planning	Mineral Resources	Noise	
Population/Housing	Public Service	Recreation	
	Utilities/Service Systems	Mandatory Findings of Significance	
DETERMINATION: (To be complete	ted by the Lead Agency)		
On the basis of this initial evaluation recommended that:	n which reflects the independent judg	gment of the City of Riverside,	it is
The City of Riverside finds that the proposed and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will	osed project COULD NOT have a signification be prepared.	ant effect on the environment,	
there will not be a significant effect in the	the proposed project could have a signification is case because revisions in the project had NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be pr	ve been made by or agreed to	\boxtimes
The City of Riverside finds that the property ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT	osed project MAY have a significant effectis required.	ct on the environment, and an	
significant unless mitigated" impact on the an earlier document pursuant to applicab	osed project MAY have a "potentially sign e environment, but at least one effect 1) hat ble legal standards, and 2) has been addred d on attached sheets. An ENVIRONME cts that remain to be addressed.	s been adequately analyzed in essed by mitigation measures	
because all potentially significant effects DECLARATION pursuant to applicable s	the proposed project could have a signification (a) have been analyzed adequately in a standards, and (b) have been avoided or mincluding revisions or mitigation measured.	n earlier EIR or NEGATIVE tigated pursuant to that earlier	
Signature		Date	
Printed Name & Title		For <u>City of Riverside</u>	



COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Planning Division

City of Arts & Innovation

Environmental Initial Study

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. **Impacts Adequately Addressed.** Identify which effects from the above checklist were with in the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. **Mitigation Measures.** For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measure which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

	SSUES (AND SUPPORTING NFORMATION SOURCES): Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
1.		ESTHETICS. build the project:			•		
	a.	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?					
	1a.	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards and Parkwa Table 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways)					
		The City's General Plan 2025 policies aim at balancing developiectives. Market Street is designated as a scenic and specthe proposed project to the east General Plan 2025 has seven scenic boulevards, specifically Market Street. Objective CC Street corridor should be transit and pedestrian oriented. "streetscape improvements along the corridor that support the site plans and elevations, the buildings are designed to be parking structure and Market Street. Further, they have the design and gathering spaces, including enhanced hardscap pedestrian zone.	ral boural pol CM-3 Also, ne visi locat	ulevard plicies and states the Policy Lons as a ted at the lesigned	per the General objectives reat design of the U-12.1 states scenic roadware edge of the to provide per scenario	al Plan. Market lated to the end e Magnolia A that project so ay." As noted right of way edestrian level	et Street abuts hancement of venue/Market hould include in the project between the architectural
		Finally, in addition to the General Plan, the Citywide Desig developments specifically related to building placement an location of parking spaces, landscaping and lighting, scale, connectivity and circulation, plazas, courtyards and other guidelines, as conditioned, and will provide a high quality As the development is consistent with the General Plan 202: have a less than significant impact on Market Street.	d orie entra open of dev	entation, nces, ve spaces. velopme	service access hicular circular The project nt consistent v	s and equipment ation and acce t complies wi with the Gener	ent screening, ss, pedestrian th the design ral Plan 2025.
	b.	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?					
	1b.	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards, Parkways, 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways, the City's Urban Forest Tree Politics	Tabl				
		In addition to response 1a above, there are no state scen impacted. As well, there are no rock outcroppings located of historic residential structures. These structures are current subject site the applicant was granted permission by the Cult	n the j	project s acant. A	ite. The site is As part of a p	s currently occorrevious entitle	upied by four
		With implementation of the General Plan 2025 policies, im Forest Tree Policy Manual, scenic resources will be protecte building setbacks, building heights, land uses, landscaping, development of all properties. This project complies with t indirect or cumulative impacts from this project will be less	d and parkir hese s	even en ng, and o tandards	hanced. Lastly other developm s. Therefore, a	r, the Zoning C nental standard	Code regulates Is for use and
	c.	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?					

	UES (AND SUPPORTING FORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1	c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2 Guidelines and Downtown Specific Plan)	025 FPEIR, 2	_	Citywide Des	ign and Sign
	In addition to response 1a and 1b above, the proposed propolicies and will be subject to Design Review consistent Due to all these factors, direct, indirect and cumulative impless than significant impacts.	with establishe	ed Citywide D	esign and Sig	n Guidelines.
Ċ	. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				
1	d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 202 Area, Title 19 – Article VIII – Chapter 19.556 – Lighting, Specific Plan New sources of light, from residential security lighting and nighttime sky. Mitigation measure MM AES 1 will redusignificant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively. MM AES 1: An exterior lighting plan shall be submitted photometric study and manufacturer's cut sheets of all exterion the parking lot shall be submitted with the exterior light intensity of one foot-candle and a maximum intensity of serving the public and used for parking, with a ratio of avolight sources shall be shielded to minimize off-site glare, step from adjacent properties and public rights-of-ways. If light shall be utilized. Light poles shall not exceed twenty (20) other base material. In addition, the project site is located outside the Moun	parking will of the ce the impact and the impact are to Design and the foot-cand the f	contribute to list to day or ni Review staff to the building, on-site lightingles at ground minimum light light skyward to be mounted, including the	ght and glare ghttime views for review and in the landscang shall provide level through to four to on and shall be add on buildings to height of an	and affect the sto less than approval. A ped areas and e a minimum out the areas he (4:1). The directed aways, down-lights by concrete or
	mitigation is required.				
2. <i>A</i>	AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES:				
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are ignificant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest esources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information complied by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection egarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:				
a	. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and				\boxtimes

NFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to non-agricultural use?	,			
2a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-2 – Appendix I – Designated Farmland Table	Agricultural S	uitability & G	eneral Plan 2	025 FPEIR –
The project site and surrounding area is not designated a project site has not been utilized for agricultural purpos purposes currently. Therefore, the proposed project wou Therefore, project implementation would not convert Farml occur.	es in the past ld have no in	, nor is it bei npact on exist	ng utilized fo	r agricultural ed farmlands.
b. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	ı			\boxtimes
The project site is located within the Downtown Specific Plan Raincross District zone. The program of Plan and Zoning Code, agricultural land uses were	ic Plan Gene roject area is o	ral Plan Land	d DSP-RC. I	
Land Use Designations, nor are they permitted within the exact As the site is within a built environment, no Williamson project will not conflict with existing zoning for agricular Therefore, no impacts are expected.	isting zoning of Act contracts	encumber the	project area.	General Plan The proposed
As the site is within a built environment, no Williamson project will not conflict with existing zoning for agricu	Act contracts ltural use or a	encumber the	project area.	General Plan The proposed
As the site is within a built environment, no Williamson project will not conflict with existing zoning for agricult Therefore, no impacts are expected. c. Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)) timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production	Act contracts ltural use or a	encumber the	project area.	General Plan The proposed Act contract

	JES (AND SUPPORTING ORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d.	Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				\boxtimes
2d.	Response: (Source: GIS Map – Forest Data) The City of Riverside has no forest land that can support				it have any
	timberland, therefore no impacts will occur from this project	t directly, indi	rectly or cumu	ılatively.	
e.	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				
2e.	Response: (Source: General Plan – Figure OS-2 – Agricu Preserves, General Plan 2025 FPEIR – Appendix I – Desig 19.100 – Residential Zones – RC Zone and RA-5 Zone and The project area is designated as Urban and Built-Up Land I operations exist within the project area. As described in 2b designated farmland to non-agricultural use. No changes in Farmland to non-agricultural use. No impacts are expected.	nated Farmle GIS Map – F ocated in a bu above, the pa	and Table, Tite forest Data) uilt environme roject will not	nt. No existing result in the	V - Chapter g agricultural conversion of
3. Al	TR QUALITY.				
app dist	here available, the significance criteria established by the blicable air quality management or air pollution control crict may be relied upon to make the following terminations. Would the project:				
a.	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?				\boxtimes
3a.	Response: (Source: South Coast Air Quality Manager (AQMP)) The proposed project is consistent with the General Plan 20. The Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) for the South program that will lead the SCAB into compliance with all Riverside is located within the Riverside County sub region FPEIR determined that implementation of the General Plan attainment of the standards of the AQMP. The General pedestrian-friendly communities that serve to reduce air poll with these policies. Because the proposed project is consi 2025 and the GP 2025 FPEIR determined the General Proposed project will not conflict or obstruct implementa therefore this project will have no impact directly, indirectly plan.	Coast Air B Federal and on of the SCA 1 2025 would Plan 2025 of cutant emission stent with air lan 2025 to be tion of the a	Typical Grow asin (SCAB) State air qual AG projection generally me contains policins over time a quality policipe consistent pplicable air	oth Scenario" sets forth a coity standards. s. The General et attainment ies to promote attainment ies to promote within the with the 2003 quality plan	in all aspects. comprehensive The City of ral Plan 2025 forecasts and e mixed use, t is consistent General Plan B AQMP, the AQMP and
b.	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
		Incorporated		

3b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 AQMP, CalEEMod, EMFAC 2007 Model and Air Quality Analysis prepared by Giroux and Associates on May 13, 2014)

Air quality impacts from the proposed project can be divided into two types: short-term impacts and long-term impacts. Short-term impacts are associated with construction activities, and long-term impacts are those resulting from the continued operation of the proposed uses and the associated increase in vehicular trips from the proposed use. The General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B, SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds shows the thresholds which the City of Riverside recognizes when evaluating potential significant air quality impacts. It is appropriate for the City to use SCAQMD thresholds since the City is located within the South Coast Air Basin (SCAB).

Long-Term Impacts

The General Plan 2025 FPEIR MM Air 7 provides that as part of the CEQA process, the City shall require proposed development projects with potential operational air quality impacts to identify and mitigate those impacts. To ensure proper characterization and mitigation of those impacts, regional impacts shall be analyzed using the latest available URBEMIS model, or other analytical method determined in conjunction with the SCAQMD. To address potential localized impacts, the air quality analysis may incorporate SCAQMD's Localized Significance Threshold analysis, CO Hot Spot analysis or other appropriate analyses as determined in conjunction with SCAQMD.

Short-Term Impacts

Short-term impacts associated with construction of the proposed project will result in increased air emissions from grading, earthmoving, and construction activities. The common air emission sources from construction that can be mitigated effectively are mostly PM-10 (air borne dust). Mitigation measures MM Air-1 and 2 of the General Plan 2025 address ways future sources of PM-10 can be lessened. Construction activity will also generate CO and NOX. Architectural coatings, exterior paints, and asphalt may release reactive organic gases (ROG). The General Plan 2025 FPEIR requires individual development to employ construction approaches that minimize pollutant emissions (General Plan 2025 FPEIR MM Air 1- MM Air 5, e.g., watering for dust control, tuning of equipment, limiting truck idling times). The General Plan 2025 FPEIR MM Air 1 requires that future development projects be analyzed for their short-term impacts.

Per General Plan 2025 FPEIR MM Air 1 and 7, a SCAQMD CalEEMod computer model analyzed both short-term construction related and long-term operational impacts. The results of the CalEEMod model determined that the proposed project would result in the following emission levels:

CalEEMod MODEL RESULTS (UNMITIGATED) SHORT-TERM IMPACTS							
Activity			Daily Em	issions (lbs/d	ay)		
Activity	ROG	NO _X	со	SO ₂	PM-10	PM-2.5	
SCAQMD Daily Thresholds Construction	75	100	550	150	150	55	
Daily Project - Emissions Construction	22.17	32.69	35.41	0.06	7.50	4.49	
Exceeds Y/N Threshold?	N	N	N	N	N	N	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	2p.uct
		Incorporated		

CalEEMod MODEL RESULTS (UNMITIGATED) LONG-TERM IMPACTS							
A -4::4			Daily Em	issions (lbs/da	ay)		
Activity	ROG	NO _X	со	SO ₂	PM-10	PM-2.5	
SCAQMD Daily Thresholds Operation	55	55	550	150	150	55	
Daily Project - Emissions Operational	48.71	12.25	130.42	0.22	18.51	13.35	
Exceeds Y/N Threshold?	N	N	N	N	N	N	

The above tables compare the project emissions (short-term and long-term) to the SCAQMD daily thresholds and shows that established thresholds will not be exceeded. To ensure short term emissions are further reduced the General Plan 2025 Program required mitigation measures that have been applied to this project, MM AIR 1-3. Therefore, because the project will not violate any ambient air quality standard, contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation, and will be subject to further mitigation the impacts directly, indirectly and cumulatively will be **less than significant impacts with mitigation** to ambient air quality and to contributing to an existing air quality violation.

MM Air 1: To mitigate for potential adverse impacts resulting from construction activities, proposed development projects that are subject to CEQA shall have construction-related air quality impacts analyzed using the latest available CalEEMod model, or other methods sanctioned by SCQMD. The analysis of construction-related air quality impacts shall be included in the development project's CEQA analysis, including recommended mitigation measures. Proposed mitigation measures may include extending the construction period as feasible in order to ensure air quality thresholds are not exceeded. The analysis shall address pollution levels near sensitive receptors and require mitigation to reduce emissions.

MM Air 2: To mitigate for potential adverse impacts resulting from construction activities, development projects must abide by the SCAQMD's Rule 403 concerning Best Management Practices for construction sites in order to reduce emissions during the construction phase. Measures may include:

- Development of a construction traffic management program that includes, but is not limited to, rerouting construction related traffic off congested streets, consolidating truck deliveries, and providing temporary dedicated turn lanes for movement of construction traffic to and from site;
- Sweep streets at the end of the day if visible soil material is carried onto adjacent paved public roads;
- Wash off trucks and other equipment leaving the site;
- Replace ground cover in disturbed areas immediately after construction;
- Keep disturbed/loose soil moist at all times;
- Suspend all grading activities when wind speeds exceed 25 miles per hour;
- Enforce a 15 mile per hour speed limit on unpaved portions of the construction site.

MM Air 3: To reduce both mobile and stationary source emissions, to the extent feasible, the City will use Best Available Control Technologies and Best Available Retrofit Control Technology, as defined by SCAQMD, in the City's practices, including but not limited to advanced diesel particulate traps on City vehicles and purchase and use of aqueous diesel fuel vehicles.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
		Incorporated		

MM Air 4: To reduce diesel emissions associated with construction, construction contractors shall provide temporary electricity to eliminate the need for diesel powered generators, or provide evidence that electrical hook ups at construction sites are not cost effective or feasible.

MM Air 5: To reduce construction related particulate matter air quality impacts of City projects the following measures shall be required:

- 1. The generation of dust shall be controlled as required by the AQMD;
- 2. Grading activities shall cease during period of high winds (greater than 25mph);
- 3. Trucks hauling soil, dirt or other emissive materials shall have their loads covered with a tarp or other protective cover as determined by the City Engineer; and
- 4. The contractor shall prepare and maintain a traffic control plan, prepared, stamped and signed by either a licensed Traffic Engineer or a Civil Engineer. The preparation of the plan shall be in accordance with Chapter 5 of the latest edition of the Caltrans Traffic Manual and the State Standard Specifications. The plan shall be submitted for approval, by the engineer, at the preconstruction meeting. Work shall not commence without an approval traffic control plan.

MM Air 7: As part of the CEQA process, the City shall require proposed development projects with potential operational air quality impacts to identify and mitigate those impacts. To ensure proper characterization and mitigation of those impacts, regional impacts shall be analyzed using the latest available CalEEMod model, or other analytical method determined in conjunction with the SCAQMD. To address potential localized impacts, the air quality analysis may incorporate SCAQMD's Localized Significance Threshold analysis, CO Hot Spot analysis or other appropriate analyses as determined in conjunction with SCAQMD. If such analyses identify potentially significant regional or local air quality impacts, the City shall require the incorporation of appropriate mitigation. Mitigation should reduce identified impacts to the maximum extent feasible using, among others, measures identified in the Air Quality Element Policies of the General Plan and the most recent Air Quality Management Plan as well as mitigation from the most recent CEQA Air Quality Handbook available at the SCAQMD. Example topics include, but are not limited to, energy conservation, reduction of vehicle miles traveled overall trip reduction, and reduction of particulate matter.

CalEEMod MODEL RESULTS (MITIGATED) SHORT-TERM IMPACTS								
A -4::4			Daily Em	issions (lbs/d	ay)			
Activity	ROG	NO _X	со	SO ₂	PM-10	PM-2.5		
SCAQMD Daily Thresholds Construction	75	100	550	150	150	55		
Daily Project - Emissions Construction	22.17	32.69	35.41	0.06	4.27	2.67		
Exceeds Y/N Threshold?	N	N	N	N	N	N		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):				Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
	CalEEMod MODEL RESULTS (MITIGATED)							1
		CaleEM		TERM IMP		(ED)		l
	Activity			1	issions (lbs/da	ay)		
	CCAOMD	ROG	NO _X	CO	SO ₂	PM-10	PM-2.5	
	SCAQMD Daily Thresholds Operation	55	55	550	150	150	55	
	Daily Project - Emissions Operational	10.45	11.27	56.54	0.10	7.46	2.29	
	Exceeds Y/N Threshold?	N	N	N	N	N	N	
c. Re cri	d cumulatively will be existing air quality vincesult in a cumulative steria pollutant for ainment under an apality standard (included)	ly considerab which the proplicable feder uding release	le net incre roject regional or state a ing emission	ease of any on is non- ambient air ons which				
Th	esponse: (Source: resholds, South Coa 07 Model and Air Qu	ıst Air Qualit	ty Managen	nent Distric	t's 2007 Air	Quality Man		
result in s	P 2025 FPEIR, AQM significant levels of are expected to decre	NOx and RC	OG, both oz	zone precur	sors, PM-10,	PM-2.5 and	CO. Althoug	
	n of the Basin within State standards, and							
result of the Plan 2025 previously	ne proposed project is the project were previous Program. As a rest evaluated and for what therefore, cumulative a	ously evaluated sult, the prophich a stateme	d as part of osed projec ent of overri	the cumular ct does not iding consid	tive analysis of result in any erations was	of build out an new signific adopted as par	ticipated unde	r the General hat were not
	spose sensitive re-	ceptors to	substantial	pollutant				

	JES (AND SUPPORTING ORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	,		Mitigation Incorporated		
3d.	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Tall Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District and Air Quality Analysis prepared by Giroux and Associate	ct's 2007 Air	CAQMD CEQ Quality Mana		
	Short-term impacts associated with construction will result and construction activities. Mitigation Measures of the Ger to employ construction approaches that minimize pollutant of AIR 5, e.g., watering for dust control, tuning of equipment General Plan 2025 FPEIR, MM AIR 1 and MM AIR 7 construction and long-term operational related impacts of would not exceed SCAQMD thresholds for short-term comitigation measures included in section 3a above will red Therefore, the project will not expose sensitive receptors significant impact will occur directly, indirectly or cumulative	neral Plan 202 emissions (Ge t, limiting truc the CalEEM the project a construction are luce short terr to substantial	5 FPEIR requireral Plan 202 k idling times and computer and determined long-term on impacts to pollutant con	ires individual 5 FPEIR MM). In conform model analyz 1 that the properational in less than sign	development AIR 1- MM ance with the ed short-term posed project pacts. The ifficant levels.
e.	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				
3e.	Response: (Source: Air Quality Analysis prepared by Giro While exact quantification of objectionable odors cannot be considered "objectionable," the nature of the proposed improvements present a potential for the generation of objectionable may be receptors are located to across First are on the site plan, the easterly half of the project site will be typically do not create objectionable odors during occupance the expected build out of the project site will generate airly coating applications, and on- and off-site improvement in daylight hours, be short-term in duration, and would be iso Therefore, they would not expose a substantial number of peoplectionable odor may be reported to SCAQMD, which recomply/Notice of Violation will be issued when necessary odors affecting a substantial number of people and a cumulatively will occur.	be determined project, associated associated by However, porne odors linestallations. Soluted to the incople to object esolves comply. Therefore,	I due to the sciated infrastrors associated eets and Fairm with attached the construction decided eets and emissions mediate vicin tionable odors aints through the project with the scient with the project with the scient to the scient tionable odors aints through the project with the scient tionable odors aints through the project with the scient tionable odors aints through the scient tionable odors aints through the scient tionable odors and the scient tionable odors aints through the scient tionable odors aints through the scient tionable odors are scient to the scient tionable odors are scient to the scient tionable odors are scie	ubjective nature and rewith construct ount Boulevan residential dword activities as ust emissions would occurrity of the control on a permane investigation.	lated off-site ion activities. d. As shown ellings which sociated with architectural only during struction site. In the basis. Any A Notice to objectionable
	OLOGICAL RESOURCES. build the project:				
a.	Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
4a.	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – St Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHO Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCI	CP Cores and Area Plans, I Plant Specie P Burrowing (Linkages, Fi Figure 5.4-4 - es Survey Are Owl Survey Ar	igure OS-8 – MSHCP Crite a, Figure 5.4 rea)	MSHCP Cell ria Cells and 7 – MSHCP

	JES (AND SUPPORTING ORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	during the early 20 th century and remained developed with v several of the non-historic residents were demolished in p project site contains no drainages, wetlands as defined by environmentally sensitive habitat. Consultation of MSHCP located a Criteria Cell or a Subunit Area. In addition, non habitat surveys for the various listed species of the MSHCP impact on candidate, sensitive or special status species.	reparation for Section 404 o Report Gener e of the parce	rcial and resident a mixed use of the Clean Water indicated als were identified.	development Vater Act, ripa that the proje fied as require	project. The arian, nor any oct area is not ng additional
b.	Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
4b.	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – St Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHO Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHC - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine A	CP Cores and Area Plans, F Plant Specie P Burrowing	Linkages, Fi Figure 5.4-4 - Ses Survey Are Owl Survey A	gure OS-8 – . MSHCP Crite a, Figure 5.4-	MSHCP Cell ria Cells and 7 – MSHCP
	The project area is built-up and located within a fully develoduring the early 20 th century and remained developed with v several of the non-historic residents were demolished in p project site contains no drainages, wetlands as defined by environmentally sensitive habitat. Consultation of MSHCP located a Criteria Cell or a Subunit Area. In addition, non habitat surveys for the various listed species of the MSHCP impact on candidate, sensitive or special status species.	arious comme reparation for Section 404 o Report Gener e of the parce	rcial and reside a mixed use of the Clean We ator indicated als were identi	lential uses un development Vater Act, ripa that the proje fied as requiri	til 2007 when project. The grian, nor any ect area is not ng additional
c.	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				
4c.	Response: (Source: City of Riverside GIS/CADME USGS	Quad Map Lo	iyer)		
	The project area is built-up and located within a fully develoduring the early 20 th century and remained developed with v several of the non-historic residents were demolished in project site contains no drainages, wetlands as defined by environmentally sensitive habitat. Consultation of MSHCP located a Criteria Cell or a Subunit Area. In addition, non habitat surveys for the various listed species of the MSHCP impact on candidate, sensitive or special status species.	arious comme oreparation for Section 404 of Report Gener e of the parce	rcial and resider a mixed use of the Clean Water indicated als were identified	lential uses un e development Vater Act, ripa that the proje fied as requiri	til 2007 when project. The urian, nor any ect area is not ang additional
d.	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
4d.	Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 - Figure	OS-7 – MSH (CP Cores and	Linkage)	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
As the project area has been previously developed with resurrounded by developed residential and commercial uses, to provide a path of movement to any native resident or wild not substantially interfere with the movement of any native impact is expected.	he project site life species, i	does not provincluding fish.	ride the habita Therefore, th	t necessary to e project will		
e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?						
4e. Response: (Source: MSHCP, Title 16 Section 16.72.040 - Mitigation Fee, Title 16 Section 16.40.040 - Establishing Riverside Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual)						
The project area is substantially developed with existing commercial existing development on the 2.5 acre site will be raised and a rexisting residences have been identified as significant cultural resources will be relocated and rehabilitated off the project site. The project associated with the project. The project area is not within the SKR-local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources. In additrees along Market Street to augment the existing supply. Therefore Forest Tree Policy Manual. No impact is expected.	new residentian rees under Curect will be reconstituted. The HCP area. The ition, the proj	I development ltural Heritage quired to pay herefore the prect may be re	will be cons Board Case F all applicable oject will not quired to insta	tructed. The P12-0214 and impact fees conflict with all new street		
f. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?						
4f. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 – Figure and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Stephen Mathews Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan a Sobrante Landfill Habitat Conservation Plan)	s' Kangaroo	Rat Habitat	Conservation	Plan, Lake		
The project area is substantially developed with existing commercial and residential uses. To facilitate the development, all existing development on the 2.5 acre site will be raised and a new residential development will be constructed. The existing residences have been identified as significant cultural resources under Cultural Heritage Board Case P12-0214 and will be relocated and rehabilitated off the project site. The project will be required to pay all applicable impact fees associated with the project. The project area is not within the SKR-HCP area. Therefore the project will not conflict with local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources. In addition, the project may be required to install new street trees along Market Street to augment the existing supply. Therefore the project will not conflict with the City's Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual. No impact is expected.						
5. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:						
a. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?						
5a. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.5-A Histor and Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code, Board Certificate of Appropriateness Staff Report, P12-021	and JRMC C					

ISSU	JES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INF(ORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	impuet	
			Incorporated			
	The project area is adjacent to the northwest boundary of which was identified in 2003 and expanded in 2005. The Riverside's original Mile Square (1870) and represents the fisite as well as late-19th century and early 20th century pop Riverside's early period of settlement, much of the Mile Square but soon gave way to smaller cottages when specula the 1880's land boom. Another population-driven boom j housing in proximity to the downtown core in which accommodate smaller single-family residences and multifilled the vacant lots of the Northwest Quarter of the Mile Scentury and included early period revival styles, such as The streetscape took on its current appearance at this time streetlights, sidewalks, common setbacks, and landscaped district.	potential distri irst wave of re- pulation-driver hately two-stor tors re-subdivi- ust after the to- the large agramily construc- Square, most ru- Colonial, Clas- e when charac- d parkways w	act is located in sidential development of the central propertion. While sesidences were sical Revival ter defining fowere developed.	n the northwest opment in the evelopment in the evelopment becultural properences first douring and immutury increased perties were stater phases of a built during and Craftsman eatures such a d, which contact of the evelopment	st quadrant of original town ooms. During rty, and citrus otted the Mile nediately after I the need for subdivided to f construction the early 20th n Bungalows. It is street trees, tribute to the	
	Appropriateness to relocate four existing residences and demolish three commercial buildings and one residential structure on the subject site, to facilitate development of a mixed-use development. In 2012, JMRC Consulting re-evaluated the subject site. As a result of the survey, only two of the four residence were determined to meet the CEQA definition of a 'historic resource', and appear eligible for designation: 314 Fairmount boulevard and 3189 Market Street. The remaining residences, 3867 Second Street and 3130 Fairmount Boulevard were determined to have a California Historic Resources Code of 6Z, which states that the property wa 'found ineligible for NR, CR and Local Designation through survey evaluation.' Thus mitigation measures were adopted for the two residences identified as 'historic resources'.					
rehabili	Cultural 1: 3144 Fairmount Boulevard. Demolition shall itate the property in place or relocate the residence and gast potential Mile Square Historic District, another designated	rage together	to another pa	rcel, preferabl	ly within the	
property	ultural 2: 3189 Market Street. Demolition shall be avoided; y in place or relocate the residence to another parcel preferate District, another designated or potential historic district, or	oly within the	adjacent poten			
remnan addition cultural any pre empowe	cultural 3: Due to the presence of a historic refuse concerts of the Riverside Lower Canal, the subject property is confined in past construction monitoring and archaeological excavation resources. A qualified archaeological monitor shall be preschistoric or historic cultural resources are uncovered duriered to temporarily halt or redirect construction work in the archaeologist. Impacts to finds determined to represent signification.	sidered sensiti on projects in sent during all ing ground-di he vicinity of	ve for buried the area have proposed gro sturbing activ the find until	archaeological identified sign ound-disturbing ities, the mon it can be eva	l resources. In hificant buried g activities. If hitor shall be hiluated by the	
	Thus with the specific mitigation measures for 3144 Fairm Cultural Heritage Board Case P12-0214 impacts directly, is with mitigation to cultural resources.					
b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
		Incorporated		

5b. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Archaeological Sensitivity and Figure 5.5-2 - Prehistoric Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D - Cultural Resources Study and Cultural Heritage Board Certificate of Appropriateness Staff Report, P12-0214)

The project area is identified by the General Plan EIR as having an unknown potential for archeological resources. The project site is generally flat and does not exhibit any unique geologic features. The entire project site has an unknown potential for unique paleontological resources. However, given that the project area has recently been fully developed, the project will have a less than significant impact on substantial adverse changes to archeological and paleontological resource. There are no known historical resources (excluding the cultural resources discusses above), archaeological or paleontological resources on the property and no further cultural resources investigations are required unless buried archaeological deposits are encountered during grading. The potential for the project area to contain intact buried archaeological deposits is considered low. However, should historical resources, archaeological, or paleontological materials be encountered during grading, an adverse change in significance could occur. Therefore, the following mitigation measures shall be implemented:

MM Cultural 4: The following mitigation measures should be implemented to reduce project-related adverse impacts to archaeological resources and sites containing Native American human remains that may be inadvertently discovered during construction of projects proposed in the City's General Plan Update:

In areas of archaeological sensitivity, including those that may contain buried Native American human remains, a registered professional archaeologist and a representative of the culturally affiliated Native American Tribe, with knowledge in cultural resources, should monitor all project-related ground disturbing activities that extend into natural sediments in areas determined to have high archaeological sensitivity.

If buried archaeological resources are uncovered during construction, all work must be halted in the vicinity of the discovery until a registered professional archaeologist can visit the site of discovery and assess the significance and origin of the archaeological resource. If the resource is determined to be of Native American origin, the Tribe shall be consulted. If the archaeological resource is determined to be a potentially significant cultural resource, the City, in consultation with the project archaeologist and the Tribe, shall determine the course of action which may include data recovery, retention in situ, or other appropriate treatment and mitigation depending on the resources discovered.

In the event of an accidental discovery of any human remains in a location other than a dedicated cemetery, the steps and procedures specified in Health and Safety Code 7050.5, *State CEQA Guidelines* 15064.5(e), and Public Resources Code 5097.98 must be implemented. Specifically, in accordance with Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 5097.98, the Riverside County Coroner must be notified within 24 hours of the discovery of potential human remains. The Coroner will then determine within two working days of being notified if the remains are subject to his or her authority. If the Coroner recognizes the remains to be Native American, he or she shall contact the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) by phone within 24 hours, in accordance with PRC Section 5097.98. The NAHC will then designate a Most Likely Descendant (MLD) with respect to the human remains within 48 hours of notification. The MLD then has the opportunity to recommend to the property owner or the person responsible for the excavation work means for treating or disposing, with appropriate dignity, the human remains and associated grave goods within 24 hours of notification. Whenever the NAHC is unable to identify a MLD, or the MLD fails to make a recommendation, or the landowner or his or her authorized representative rejects the recommendation of the MLD and the mediation provided for in subdivision (k) of PRC Section 5097.94 fails to provide measures acceptable to the landowner, the landowner or his or her authorized representative shall re-inter the human remains and items associated with Native American burials with appropriate dignity on the property in a location not subject to further subsurface disturbance.

MM Cultural 5: The applicant shall provide for the identification and curation of specimens to an established, accredited museum repository with permanent retrievable collection (e.g. San Bernardino County Museum). These procedures are also essential steps in effective mitigation and CEQA compliance. The qualified professional shall have a written repository agreement in hand prior to the initiation of mitigation activities. Mitigation shall not be achieved until the found resources are entered into curation at an established museum repository and fully documented.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	
		Incorporated		
MM Cultural 6: The applicant shall contract with a qualified profitemized inventory of specimens. This report and inventory shall be Officer along with confirmation of the curation of the recover repository. Submittal of this report to the City of Riverside will scultural, archaeological and paleontological resources. With the incorporation of the aforementioned mitigation impact.	e submitted to t ed specimens ignify complet	he City of Riv into an estab ion of the prog	erside Historio lished, accred gram to mitiga	e Preservation lited museum ate impacts to
c. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontologica resource or site or unique geologic feature?	1			
5c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Policy HP-1.3)				
The project area is identified by the General Plan EIR as h	aving an unkn	own potential	for archeologi	cal resources.

The project area is identified by the General Plan EIR as having an unknown potential for archeological resources. The project site is generally flat and does not exhibit any unique geologic features. The entire project site has an unknown potential for unique paleontological resources. However, given that the project area has recently been fully developed, the project will have a less than significant impact on substantial adverse changes to archeological and paleontological resource. There are no known historical resources (excluding the cultural resources discusses above), archaeological or paleontological resources on the property and no further cultural resources investigations are required unless buried archaeological deposits are encountered during grading. The potential for the project area to contain intact buried archaeological deposits is considered low. However, should historical resources, archaeological, or paleontological materials be encountered during grading, an adverse change in significance could occur. Therefore, the following mitigation measures shall be implemented:

MM Cultural 4: The following mitigation measures should be implemented to reduce project-related adverse impacts to archaeological resources and sites containing Native American human remains that may be inadvertently discovered during construction of projects proposed in the City's General Plan Update:

In areas of archaeological sensitivity, including those that may contain buried Native American human remains, a registered professional archaeologist and a representative of the culturally affiliated Native American Tribe, with knowledge in cultural resources, should monitor all project-related ground disturbing activities that extend into natural sediments in areas determined to have high archaeological sensitivity.

If buried archaeological resources are uncovered during construction, all work must be halted in the vicinity of the discovery until a registered professional archaeologist can visit the site of discovery and assess the significance and origin of the archaeological resource. If the resource is determined to be of Native American origin, the Tribe shall be consulted. If the archaeological resource is determined to be a potentially significant cultural resource, the City, in consultation with the project archaeologist and the Tribe, shall determine the course of action which may include data recovery, retention in situ, or other appropriate treatment and mitigation depending on the resources discovered.

In the event of an accidental discovery of any human remains in a location other than a dedicated cemetery, the steps and procedures specified in Health and Safety Code 7050.5, *State CEQA Guidelines* 15064.5(e), and Public Resources Code 5097.98 must be implemented. Specifically, in accordance with Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 5097.98, the Riverside County Coroner must be notified within 24 hours of the discovery of potentially human remains. The Coroner will then determine within two working days of being notified if the remains are subject to his or her authority. If the Coroner recognizes the remains to be Native American, he or she shall contact the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) by phone within 24 hours, in accordance with PRC Section 5097.98. The NAHC will then designate a Most Likely Descendant (MLD) with respect to the human remains within 48 hours of notification. The MLD then has the opportunity to recommend to the property owner or the person responsible for the excavation work means for treating or disposing, with appropriate dignity, the human remains and associated grave goods within 24 hours of notification. Whenever the NAHC is unable to identify a MLD, or the MLD fails to make a recommendation, or the landowner or his or her authorized representative rejects the recommendation of the MLD and the mediation provided for in subdivision (k) of PRC Section 5097.94 fails to provide measures acceptable to the landowner, the landowner or his or her authorized representative shall

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact				
re-inter the human remains and items associated with Native American burials with appropriate dignity on the property in a location not subject to further subsurface disturbance. With the incorporation of the aforementioned mitigation measures, the project will have a less than significant impact.								
d. Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?								
5d. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Arch Cultural Resources Sensitivity)	haeological S	ensitivity and	Figure 5.5-2	- Prehistoric				

The project area is identified by the General Plan EIR as having an unknown potential for archeological resources. The project site is generally flat and does not exhibit any unique geologic features. The entire project site has an unknown potential for unique paleontological resources. However, given that the project area has recently been fully developed, the project will have a less than significant impact on substantial adverse changes to archeological and paleontological resource. There are no known historical resources (excluding the cultural resources discusses above), archaeological or paleontological resources on the property and no further cultural resources investigations are required unless buried archaeological deposits are encountered during grading. The potential for the project area to contain intact buried archaeological deposits is considered low. However, should historical resources, archaeological, or paleontological materials be encountered during grading, an adverse change in significance could occur. Therefore, the following mitigation measures shall be implemented:

MM Cultural 4: The following mitigation measures should be implemented to reduce project-related adverse impacts to archaeological resources and sites containing Native American human remains that may be inadvertently discovered during construction of projects proposed in the City's General Plan Update:

In areas of archaeological sensitivity, including those that may contain buried Native American human remains, a registered professional archaeologist and a representative of the culturally affiliated Native American Tribe, with knowledge in cultural resources, should monitor all project-related ground disturbing activities that extend into natural sediments in areas determined to have high archaeological sensitivity.

If buried archaeological resources are uncovered during construction, all work must be halted in the vicinity of the discovery until a registered professional archaeologist can visit the site of discovery and assess the significance and origin of the archaeological resource. If the resource is determined to be of Native American origin, the Tribe shall be consulted. If the archaeological resource is determined to be a potentially significant cultural resource, the City, in consultation with the project archaeologist and the Tribe, shall determine the course of action which may include data recovery, retention in situ, or other appropriate treatment and mitigation depending on the resources discovered.

In the event of an accidental discovery of any human remains in a location other than a dedicated cemetery, the steps and procedures specified in Health and Safety Code 7050.5, *State CEQA Guidelines* 15064.5(e), and Public Resources Code 5097.98 must be implemented. Specifically, in accordance with Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 5097.98, the Riverside County Coroner must be notified within 24 hours of the discovery of potentially human remains. The Coroner will then determine within two working days of being notified if the remains are subject to his or her authority. If the Coroner recognizes the remains to be Native American, he or she shall contact the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) by phone within 24 hours, in accordance with PRC Section 5097.98. The NAHC will then designate a Most Likely Descendant (MLD) with respect to the human remains within 48 hours of notification. The MLD then has the opportunity to recommend to the property owner or the person responsible for the excavation work means for treating or disposing, with appropriate dignity, the human remains and associated grave goods within 24 hours of notification. Whenever the NAHC is unable to identify a MLD, or the MLD fails to make a recommendation, or the landowner or his or her authorized representative rejects the recommendation of the MLD and the mediation provided for in subdivision (k) of PRC Section 5097.94 fails to provide measures acceptable to the landowner, the landowner or his or her authorized representative shall re-inter the human remains and items associated with Native American burials with appropriate dignity on the property in a location not subject to further subsurface disturbance.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING		Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Significant Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	ппрасі
With the incorporation of the aforementioned mitigation r impact.	measures, the	project will h	nave a less that	an significant
CTOLOGY AND GOVE				
6. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:				
Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
 Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. 				
6i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 - Appendix E – Geotechnical Report)	- Regional Fo	ault Zones &	General Plan	2025 FPEIR
There are no known active faults located on the property. seismic activity. However, all of California can be expecte shading during the useful life of the new proposed residence likely to originate along the Elsinore Fault, located approximactive faults would be the Sierra Madre-Cucamonga, the considerable distance north and west. The project site is Earthquake Fault zoning Map issued by the state Geologis building permits will be subject to review and approval by the California Building Code. Based upon the requirements of the California Building Code.	d to be subjected and communitarily seven in San Jacinto a not delineated to for the area ne City's Build	cted to strong ercial structure miles southwer as well as the ed within the . All structur ding Official b	earthquake-ines. This seism st of the proje San Andreas, most recent A es, prior to the based upon the	duced ground nic actively is ct site. Other , which are a Alquist-Priolo te issuance of requirements
ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?				
6ii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Appended) The San Jacinto Fault Zone located in the northeastern portion of southern portion of the City's Sphere of Influence, have the potent cause intense ground shaking. Because the proposed project complians associated with strong seismic ground shaking will have no impact of	f the City, or ial to cause n es with Califo	the Elsinore noderate to lar ornia Building	Fault Zone, lo ge earthquake Code regulati	ocated in the
iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				
6iii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 Zones, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils Geotechnical Report)				
The project site is located in an area with very low potential for Liquefaction Zones Map – Figure PS-2. Compliance with the Califor related to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction cumulatively.	rnia Building	Code regulation	ons will ensure	that impacts
iv. Landslides?				

	•	SUPPORTING ON SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
_ _ \				Mitigation Incorporated			
	– Geotechi	(Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figu nical Report, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, er Pollution Prevention Plan SWPPP)					
	landslides per	e and its surroundings have generally flat Figure 5.6-1 of the General Plan 2025 Pro- lides directly, indirectly and cumulatively.					
b.	Result in substa	antial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?					
6b.		ource: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5 5-B – Soil Types, Title 18 – Subdivision Cod				igure 5.6-4	
	preparation and sediment control it is implement National Polluta sets forth erosic also requires the Federal requires	ss of topsoil could occur as a result of the implementation of a Storm Water Pollutions for construction activities. The City is not ted. Rather, the Regional Water Quality and Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) on control standards for which all development implementation of measures designed to ments as well as with Titles 18 and 17 will exact directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	on Prevention of responsible factorial Board regulations. In activity must ominimize so	Plan (SWPP) for approving (RWQCB) in addition the st comply and oil erosion.	P) establishing the SWPPP or s responsible Subdivision C the Grading C Compliance w	g erosion and ensuring that for enforcing ode (Title 18) ode (Title 17) ith State and	
c.	would become potentially res	a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that unstable as a result of the project, and sult in on- or off-site landslide, lateral idence, liquefaction or collapse?					
6c.	General Plan	urce: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 – Re 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils with teep Slope, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-1	High Shrink	-Swell Potent	ial, Figure 5	.6-1 - Area	
	Compliance wi	pography of the subject site is flat with the City's existing codes and the policies to geologic conditions are reduced to less	contained in t	the General Pl	an 2025 help	to ensure tha	
	Landslides:	See response 6 a iv.					
	Lateral Spreading: Adherence to the City's Grading and Subdivision Codes as well as the California Building Code in the design of this project will prevent lateral spreading. As the site is graded to accommodate the project, a 4-foot retaining wall will be placed on the northeastern corner of the project site.						
	Subsidence:	The project site is relatively flat with a low potential for liquefaction. As such, the potential for subsidence is less than significant.					
	Liquefaction:	See response 6 a iii.					

	JES (AND SUPPORTING ORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	As illustrated above, the project will have a less than signific unstable soils.	ant impact bo		lirectly and cu	mulatively on
d.	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				
6d.	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5 Types, Figure 5.6-5 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potentia Building Code as adopted by the City of Riverside and set of In the California Building Code expansive soil is defined up The soil type of the subject site is identified as Buren and Comoderate shrink-swell potential while the Greenfield soil provisions of the City's Subdivision Code – Title 18 and the related to the expansive soils will be reduced to a less to indirectly and cumulatively.	al, Appendix ut in Title 16 ader California Greenfield. Thas a low po ee California F	E – Geotechno of the Riversional Building Companies Buren soil intential. Companies Building Code	de Section 180 s characterized bliance with t	nd California Code) 22.3.2 (2007). d by having a he applicable o soil hazards
e.	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				
бе.	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6) The proposed project will be served by existing sewer infraproject will, however, install on-site infrastructure to serve impact related to soils incapable of supporting the use of sedirectly, indirectly or cumulatively.	astructure locathe proposed	ated within the uses. Therefo	e public right ore the project	will have no

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
7. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project:				
a. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?			\boxtimes	

7a. Response: (Source: GHG Analysis prepared by Giroux and Associates on May 13, 2014)

As the proposed project involves the development of a multiple-family residential project consisting of 125 units (42 one-bedroom units, 76 two-bedroom units and 7 three-bedroom units), within a five story building, generally situated along Market Street and portions of First and Second Streets, 233 parking space, mostly located within a two-level parking structure, with 8 surface parking spaces generally located towards the westerly portion of the site. Recreational amenities include a two-story, 3,681 square-foot clubhouse/fitness center, swimming pool, an interior courtyard with grill top island, a pet park, a courtyard facing Market Street and a sculpture garden, located on the northwesterly portion of the site, the project is considered consistent with the General Plan 2025.

Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment and population forecasts identified by the SCAG are considered consistent with the AQMP growth projections, since these forecast numbers were used by SCAG's modeling section to forecast travel demand and air quality for planning activities such as the RTP, the SCAQMD's AQMP, RTIP, and the Regional Housing Plan. This project is consistent with the projections of employment and population forecasts identified by the SCAG that are consistent with the General Plan 2025 "Typical Growth Scenario." However, due to the size and scope of the proposed project, a Climate Change Analysis was commissioned by the applicant to determine if the project related impacts (both construction and operational) would produce GhG emissions that would have a significant direct, indirect or cumulative impact on the environment.

The results of the Climate Change Analysis indicate that the proposed project will result in a net increase in 2,259.9 metric tons per year of CO2eq. The City of Riverside has not adopted a threshold of significance for GhG emissions. Regional air districts have developed thresholds that may be relevant to the project. However, the project GHG emissions are below the recommended 3500 ton threshold as set forth by SCAQMD. The project will also comply with the City's General Plan policies and State Building Code provisions designed to reduce GhGs. Finally, the Climate Change Analysis demonstrates that the project will not interfere with the state's goals of reducing GhG emissions to 1990 levels by the year 2020 as stated in AB 32 and an 80 percent reduction in GhG emissions below 1990 levels by 2050 as stated in Executive Order S-3-05.

Construction Emissions:

Construction Emission Source	Emissions (Metric Tons Co ₂ e/Year)
Construction 2015	567.0
Amortized	18.9

	,	AND SUPPORTING ATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Operat	ion Emiss	ions:				
		Operational Emissions So	ource		GhG Emissio ⁄ITCO₂e)/ye	
		Operational (Mobile) Sources			1444.2	
		Area Sources			34.4	
		Electrical Consumption			604.2	
		Solid Waste Generation			30.8	
		Water Supply			127.4	
		Annualized Construction			18.9	
		Total			2259.9	
		Significance Threshold (SCAQMD)			3,500	
b.	agency a	with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of ar dopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of use gases?				
7b.	Respons	e: (Source: Project Specific Air Quality and GHC 13, 2014)	Impact Anal	ysis prepared	by Giroux and	Associates
The SCAQMD supports State, Federal and international policies to reduce levels of ozone depleting gases through its Global Warming Policy and rules and has established an interim Greenhouse Gas (GhG) threshold. As indicated in Question A, above, the project would comply with the City's General Plan policies, State Building Code provisions and SCAQMD significance threshold to reduce GhG emissions. In addition, the project would comply with all SCAQMD applicable rules and regulations during construction of the multi-family residential complex and, as demonstrated in the Climate Change Analysis, will not interfere with the State's goals of reducing GhG emission to 1990 levels by the year 2020 as stated in AB 32 and an 80 percent reduction in GhG emissions below 1990 levels by 2050 as stated in Executive Order S-3-05. Based upon the prepared Climate Change Analysis for this project and the discussion above, the project will not conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation related to the reduction in the emissions of GhG and thus a less than significant impact will occur directly, indirectly and cumulatively in this regard.						
	AZARD ould the property of the	S & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.				
a.		significant hazard to the public or the environment the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous s?			\boxtimes	
8a.	Code, Ti	e: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety El tle 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Califo I Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdiction	rnia Building	Code, River	side Fire Depo	artment EO
	The prop	posed project may include the transportation of l	nazardous mat	terials depend	ling on the en	d user of t

	JES (AND SUPPORTING ORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	project. The United States Department of Transporta	otion (USDOT)	Incorporated Office of H	logandous Ma	tamiala Cafatro
	project. The United States Department of Transportary prescribes strict regulations for the safe transportation of Federal Regulations, and implemented by Title 13 of the	hazardous mate			
	The transportation of hazardous materials can result in acc possible that licensed vendors could bring some hazard appropriate documentation for all hazardous waste that is to be provided as required for compliance with existing hazard of the California Code of Regulations, and their enabling Health and Safety Code. In addition, the specific project State, and local laws and regulations pertaining to the transverse, including but not limited to Title 49 of the Code of State and Including but not limited to Title 49 of the Code o	dous materials transported in cordous materials ag legislation service developers ansport, use, disp	to and from tonnection with regulations coot forth in Chashall comply posal, handling	he project sit this project's dified in Titles apter 6.95 of t with all applie	e. However, activities will 8, 22, and 26 the California cable Federal,
As well, hazardous materials are required to be stored in designated areas designed to prevent accidental release the environment and disposed of according to the rules and regulations of State and Federal agencies. To California Building Code (CBC) requirements prescribe safe accommodations for materials that present a moder explosion hazard, high fire, physical hazard, or health hazards. Compliance with all applicable Federal and St laws and the CBC related to the storage of hazardous materials would maximize containment and provide prompt and effective clean-up if an accidental release occurs. In addition, the City of Riverside has initiated hazardous waste pick up day and has opened designated locations that will accept and ensure the proper disposal household hazardous waste.					
	Compliance with all applicable Federal and State laws, in the City's Fire Department (edit if this is not required hazardous materials, would reduce the likelihood and se significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials.	l) related to the everity of accide	e transportatio ents. Thereby	n, storage and, there would	d disposal of be less than
b.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environme through reasonably foreseeable upset and accide conditions involving the release of hazardous materials in the environment?	nt			
8b.	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety I Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Fe Riverside's EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Are Strategic Plan.	deral Regulation	ons, Californi	ia Building C	Code, City of
	As noted in 8a above, the project may involve the use of Federal, State, and local laws and regulations pertaining hazardous waste, including but not limited to Title 49 of to f the CCR, which describes strict regulations for the safe above for more details).	to the transpor the Code of Fed	t, use, disposa eral Regulatio	al, handling, a ns implemente	nd storage of ed by Title 13
	Compliance with all applicable Federal, State and local hazardous materials would reduce the likelihood and seve that significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively	erity of accident			
c.	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acute hazardous materials, substances, or waste within on quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				

8c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety and Education Elements, GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.7-D -

	JES (AND SUPPORTING ORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	CalARP RMP Facilities in the Project Area, Figure 5.13-Figure 5.13-3 AUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-E AUSD Boundaries, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of Code.	Schools, Fig	oundaries, Ta gure 5.13-4	- Other Sci	hool District
	Although hazardous materials and waste generated from the pose a health risk to nearby school, all businesses that hand are required to comply with the provisions of the City's Fi California Health and Safety Code Article 1 Chapter 6.95 f State governments require all businesses that handle more that businesses plan to a regulating agency. There are no school one school located within a half mile radius, Bryant Element compliance to existing Federal and State regulations impact materials are less than significant directly, indirectly and currents.	tle or have on- ire Code and for the Busine nan a specified Is within ¼ m ntary School, at ts associated v	site transporta any additional ss Emergency I amount of ha iles of the pro and is located	element as re element as re Plan. Both the zardous mater ject site. How at 4324 Third	lous materials equired in the e Federal and ials to submit vever, there is Street. With
d.	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				
8d.	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-5 – Ho CERCLIS Facility Information, Figure 5.7-B – Regulate EnviroStor Database Listed Sites) A review of hazardous materials site lists compiled pursual project site is not included on any such lists. Therefore, the hazard to the public or environment directly, indirectly or cu	ed Facilities of the facilitie	in TRI Information of the Inform	nation and 5. tion 65962.5 1	7- C – DTSC found that the
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
8e.	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – A and March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (A	prehensive L		,	•
	All airports, public and private, with influence area over the safety and/or airport compatibility zones as depicted on F found that the project site is not located within any airport l project will have no impact resulting in a safety hazard for indirectly or cumulatively.	igure 5.7-2 of and use plan a	f the General area or compat	Plan 2025 Pro ibility zone.	ogram FPEIR Therefore, the
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
8f.	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Air		·		
	There are no private airstrips within the City and the project outside the City. Therefore, the project will have no imp working in the project area directly, indirectly or cumulative	act resulting			

IS	SU	JES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
IN	F	ORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Impuer
	g.	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				
	8g.	Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.7 – Haza EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdi Plan)				
		The City of Riverside has developed an extensive Eme Management Office. The City's Fire Department promote communication for emergency planning and response of Emergency Management System (SEMS) as well as estable also provides policies to identify methods of implementing and because the proposed project is consistent with the Gene enforcing compliance with the Emergency Operations Plan, less than significant.	es a high lever management ishing emergent the emergend eral Plan 2025	el of multi-jur through activency evacuation by plan. With of and consisten	isdictional co- ation of the n routes. The continued use t with General	operation and Standardized General Plan of the SEMS I Plan policies
	h.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				
	8h.	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-7 – Fire Riverside's EOP, 2002, Riverside Operational Area – RoEM's Strategic Plan) The proposed project is located in an urbanized area where a Very High Fire Severity Zone (VHFSZ) or adjacent to regarding wildland fires either directly, indirectly or cumulated.	Multi-Jurisdio no wildlands e o wildland ar	exist and the preas or a VHI	eoperty is no logsz; therefore	1/Part 2 and
9.		YDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. build the project:				
	a.	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				
	9a.	Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.8-A – Benef Quality Management Plan prepared by IW Consulting on S		-	and Project S	pecific Water
		The project site was previously developed with close to 75 landscaped areas and the rear and front yards of the exist buildings and parking lot for this project, the permeable area landscaped area. A preliminary WQMP has been submitted project. Furthermore, under the NPDES permit managed by water quality BMPs, as no new runoff will be generated continue to be conveyed by local drainage facilities develoand then ultimately to the receiving waters. To address promptly with applicable Federal, State, and local water quality Prior to issuance of a grading or building permit, a final apprentice of the project of the project state of the project state.	ing single-fan a of the project and approved the RWQCB, from the pro- ped througho potential water by regulations.	nily residences it site will increase I by the Public the project is a ject. Urban r ut the City to r contaminants	s. Upon construction was slightly we works Depart to the construction of the construct	ruction of the vith additional truent for this o institute new ently and will age facilities, is required to
		coverage under the State's General Permit for Construction	n Activities,	administered b	y the Santa A	Ana RWQCB

	UES (AND SUPPORTING ORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
	sedimentation and other construction-related pollutants during construction. Given compliance with all applicable local, state, and federal laws regulating surface water quality and the fact that the project will not result in a net increase of surface water runoff, the proposed project as designed is anticipated to result in a less than significant impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
	The project incorporates site design, source controls and tre majority of the flows from the site will be captured in the infiltration. In addition to the treatment control mentioned and BMPs including minimizing urban runoff, minimizing connected impervious areas. These techniques were obtain the minimum width and minimizing hardscape, whenever existing regulator statutes will have a less than significant in any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements.	on-street guttabove, the apping the impered by maxim possible. The mpact directly	ters and convergence the series and convergence the period of the period of the period of the series and convergence the series a	eyed to retention or site designation, and remonentable area, combined with combined w	on basins for gn techniques ving directly constructing to compliance of		
b.	Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?						
9b.	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 - R Table PF-2 - RPU Projected Water Demand, Table PF-3, Management Plan. The proposed project is located within the Riverside South Final PEIR determined that implementation of the General	RPU Map of Water Supply	Water Supply y Basin. The (Basins, RPU General Plan 2	Urban Water 2025 Program		
	groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater volume or a lowering of the local groundwater tal implementation of the General Plan 2025 Program were four	ole level. As	a result, impa	acts to ground			
	Because the proposed project serves to implement and is Downtown Specific Plan, the proposed project will not su substantially with groundwater recharge such that there won the local groundwater table level. Therefore, impacts to grou impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	ubstantially de uld be a net d	eplete groundv eficit in aquife	water supplies er volume or a	or interfere lowering of		
c.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?						
9c.	Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan, and Project Prevention Plan, and Water Quality Management Plan)	t Specific – Hy	ydrology Study	y, Stormwater	Pollution		
	The project is subject to NPDES requirements; areas of one implementing a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SV Erosion, siltation and other possible pollutants associated was part of the Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) a have a less than significant impact directly, indirectly or current.	WPPP for the rith long-term nd grading pe	prevention of implementation rmit process.	runoff during on of projects Therefore, th	construction. are addressed		

	JES (AND SUPPORTING ORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				
9d.	Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan, and Projet Prevention Plan, and Water Quality Management Plan) The project site is located in zone X of the FEMA Flood Insis not located within the 100-year or 500-year flood plain. accommodate the 10-year storm flow from curb to curb, wright-of-ways. The runoff from the project in a develop attenuated on-site, so that the off-site discharge is the same a or off-site as a result of the project will occur and there will be	urance Maps (Underground while 100-year ed condition as the undevelope no impact d	(tile 06065C07 I storm drains r storms are a has been studoped condition	726G, August and streets ar ccommodated died and is read the control of the contr	28, 2008) and e designed to within street equired to be o flooding on
e.	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				
<i>y</i> c.	Response: (Source: Preliminary Grading Plan, and Projet Prevention Plan, and Water Quality Management Plan) Within the scope of the project is the installation of storm with the project description portion of this project. As the storm with the construction of this project, the storm water drainage drainage created by this project. The project is expected to nutrients, trash and debris, oxygen demanding substances, compounds, metals, and pesticides. These expected pollutard design, source control and treatment control measures specific the project. Therefore, as the expected pollutants will be mand treatment controls already integrated into the project of water exceeding capacity of existing or planned storm was sources of polluted runoff and there will be a less than significant.	water drainage m water drainage system will o generate the bacteria and water ints will be treated in the pro- itigated throu- design, the pro- ter drainage s	systems, specage system will be adequately following poviruses (pathogated through tigect specific Wigh the project oject will not systems or prospect	rifically as destalled y sized to according to according the incorporate of the incorpora	ccribed within concurrently ommodate the nent/turbidity, rease organic on of the site orded against ource control, tribute runoffial additional
f.	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?				
9f.	Response: (Source: Project Specific – Stormwater Pollution Plan) The project proponent conducted a Preliminary Project Specific of Riverside requirements. The project identified Pathesite design, source control and treatment control best mandesign to fully address pathogens and other potential and expland use, such as trash and debris, oil, etc. As the project has and appropriate best management practices have been incompact to degrading water quality will occur directly, indirect	ecific Water Queens as the pragement pragement pragement pragement pragement pollutates been reviewed porated into	Quality Manag ollutant of cor ctices were in nts generally a ed by the City' the project de	ement Plan concern. As such corporated in associated with s Public Work	onsistent with h, appropriate to the project h a residential as Department
g.	Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				
0	Posponsos (Source: Coneral Plan 2025 Figure PS-A Flo	od Hazand An	aga and EEM	A Elood Har	and Mana

ISSI	UES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INF	ORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Impact	
	Zone X Panel 06065C0726G, August 28, 2008)					
	A review of National Flood Insurance Rate Map (Communit and Figure 5.8-2 Flood Hazard Areas of the General Plan within or near a 100-year flood hazard area. There will be cumulatively as it will not place housing within a 100-year floor.	n Program FP no impact ca	EIR, shows th used by this p	at the project	is not located	
h.	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?					
9h	. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flor Zone X Panel 06065C0726G, August 28, 2008)	od Hazard Ar	eas, and FEM	IA Flood Hazo	urd Maps	
	The project site is not located within or near a 100-year Program FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas and the Number 06065C0726G dated August 28, 2008). Therefore, flood hazard area that would impede or redirect flood flocumulatively.	National Floot the project wi	d Insurance Ra ill not place a	te Map (Comstructure within	munity Panel n a 100-year	
i.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?					
9i.	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flor Zone X Panel 06065C0726G, August 28, 2008) The project site is not located within a 100- or 500-year flor area as depicted on General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR Figure Insurance Rate Map (Community Panel Number 06065C072 not place a structure within a dam inundation area that wo loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding a	od hazard area ure 5.8-2 – Flo 26G dated Aug uld expose pe	nor is it locat ood Hazard Argust 28, 2008). cople or struct	ed within a dareas and the N Therefore, thures to a signi	am inundation ational Flood to project will	
j.	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				\boxtimes	
9j.	. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.8 – Hyd.	rology and W	ater Quality)			
	Tsunamis are large waves that occur in coastal areas; there impacts due to tsunamis will occur directly, indirectly or cur		e City is not le	ocated in a co	astal area, no	
	Additionally, the proposed project site and its surroundings have generally flat topography and is within an urbanized area not within proximity to Lake Mathews, Lake Evans, the Santa Ana River, Lake Hills, Norco Hills, Box Springs Mountain Area or any of the 9 arroyos which transverse the City and its sphere of influence. Therefore no impact potential for seich or mudflow exists either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
10 I.	AND USE AND PLANNING:					
	ould the project:					
W	1 0					

	JES (AND SUPPORTING ORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	The proposed project has been designed to be consistent surrounding area providing adequate access, circulation and in compliance with the requirements of the Downtown Specimpacts related to physical division of a community are less	connectivity c cific Plan and	onsistent with Subdivision C	the General P	lan 2025, and
b.	Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				
10b	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025, - Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 - 19 - Zoning Code, Title 18 - Subdivision Code, Title 7 Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 - Buildings and Constru	Redevelopme - Noise Coaction and City residential dy	ent Areas, Dov de, Title 17 – wwide Design o welling units in	wntown Specification Grading Coa and Sign Guiden a new five s	fic Plan, Title le, Title 20 – lelines) tory building.
	The project has been reviewed for compliance with all app Standards, and the Downtown Specific Plan. The Raincross District is divided into two sub-areas. The Historic District, which contains Riverside's most importa standards have been carefully crafted to maintain a scal established historic fabric of the district. Outside of the Mis- the District allow greater intensity, while still assuring cor- residential areas beyond. The development standards for the daytime, evening and weekend activity by providing a h	center of the nt historic but le of develop sion Inn Historic mpatibility of the Raincross	District is ocildings. In this ment that is ric District, the adjacent l District are de	ecupied by the s sub-area the compatible we e developmen historic districts signed to creat	Mission Inn development ith the well-t standards of t and historic ate a place of
	emphasis at the street level. Within the Raincross District, there are numerous local and character, including the Mission Inn, Fox Theater, Stale Congregational Church, Municipal Auditorium, Post Offic such structures, along with careful and compatible design District's character and unique sense of identity. The maproposed project will have a residential density of 50 du/s sections of the Downtown Specific Plan and Zoning Code.	d national his der Building, e, Loring Bui of new deve aximum densi	storic landmar Municipal M ilding, and Ar ilopment is in ity within the	ks that define Iuseum, Unita t Museum. Proportant in ma District is 60	the district's arian Church, reservation of aintaining the du/ac. The
	Therefore, the project will have a less than significant impactor regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project environmental effect.				
c.	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				
10c	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 202. – Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Plan if one, Title 19 – Zoning Code, Title 18 – Subdivision Title 20 – Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 – Buildings an Guidelines.	Redevelopme Code, Title 7	ent Areas, ente – Noise Code,	er appropriate Title 17 – Gro	Specific ading Code,
	The project area is built-up and located within a fully developed uring the early 20 th century and remained developed with v several of the non-historic residents were demolished in p	arious comme	rcial and resid	lential uses un	til 2007 when

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
project site contains no drainages, wetlands as defined by environmentally sensitive habitat. Consultation of MSHCP located a Criteria Cell or a Subunit Area. In addition, nor habitat surveys for the various listed species of the MSHCP impact on candidate, sensitive or special status species.	Report General Report General Report General Report For Report General Report G	of the Clean Wrator indicated	that the proje	ect area is not ing additional		
11. MINERAL RESOURCES.						
Would the project: a. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?						
11a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – In The project site is located in the MRZ-4 area on the Mine areas located in the MRZ-4 are unlikely to have significant and commercial uses surround the subject site and the development, the existing land uses and the underlying lar resources. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant to the subject site and the development, the existing land uses and the underlying lar resources.	eral Resources t mineral depo e site was pr and use designa	map. The Gosits. Further, reviously devetions preclude	as single fam cloped with a the mining of	ily residential commercial		
b. Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?						
11b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – Mineral Resources) The project site is located in the MRZ-4 area on the Mineral Resources map. The General Plan determined that areas located in the MRZ-4 are unlikely to have significant mineral deposits. Further, as single family residential and commercial uses surround the subject site and the site was previously developed with a commercial development, the existing land uses and the underlying land use designations preclude the mining of the underlain resources. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant impact on mineral resources.						
12. NOISE. Would the project result in:						
a. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?						
12a. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003) Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Crites Contour Comparison, Table 5.11-E – Interior and Exte Conditions Report, Title 7 – Noise Code, and Project S Giroux and Associates on November 11, 2014) The project site is located partially within the 70 CNEL, of sections; however, the 70 CNEL only extents 11 feet into Plan 2025, in-fill residential developments are conditionally development projects, the proposed residential units will be noise levels do not exceed 45 CNEL. Various construction to further reduce the interior noise levels. To ensure interior	ria, FPEIR To rior Noise St Specific Noise 65 CNEL and the project sity acceptable we ee constructed methods such	able 5.11-1 – andards, App andards, App andards, App andards, App able 5.11-1 – andards, App able 5.11-1 – andards, App andards, App	Existing and endix $G - N$ tical Analysis 25 Roadway I Figure N-10 of NEL contours to ensure that ating windows	Future Noise oise Existing prepared by Noise contour of the General of the interior of the interior of the interior of the used		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	_		
mitigation measure has been added:	I	1 F				
In addition to the roadway contours, the project site is lot the SR-91 freeway and railroad noise from the union paragraph 2025, in-fill residential is normally acceptable in noise located. Given that the project site is not located within a less than significant impact from freeway and railway no	acific railway. B evels up to 65 CN contour levels exc	ased on Figur VEL and condi	e N-10 of the tionally accept	General Plan table up to 75		
Further, the project was reviewed for potential impacts as a result airport operations. As noted above, the project site is not located within any airport influence area. Based on figures N-8 and N-9 (Riverside and Flabob Airport Noise Contours and March ARB noise Contours respectively), the project site is not located within any identified noise contour. Therefore, the project will have no impact from airport operational noises.						
In summary, the project is located within the 70 CNEL for Roadway, and 60 CNEL for Freeways and Railways noise contours. Given that residential uses are Conditionally Acceptable within the 70 CNEL contours, the project will have a less than significant impact on the residential uses with the following mitigation measures. Finally, Title 24, Section 1207 Part 8 along with the mitigation measures require that the residential development have a maximum interior noise level of 45 CNEL. Therefore, by complying with the requirements of Title 24 of the California Building Code and the mitigation measures set forth in the project specific noise study, interior project noise will have a less than significant impact.						
MM Noise 1: Limit hours of construction to occur betwand 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. on Saturdays.	veen the hours of	7 a.m. to 7 p.	m. Monday th	nrough Friday		
MM Noise 2: Insure that motor powered equipment is e	quipped with pro	per mufflers.				
MM Noise 3: Establish equipment staging areas along the	he Market Street	frontage.				
MM Noise 4: Use the quietest equipment possible when	operating within	160 feet of an	y off-site resid	ence.		
MM Noise 5: Erect a temporary 8-foot high barrier al Street extending 200 feet eastward from t				along Second		
MM Noise 6: All patios or decks with a line-of-sight to or plastic shield (or combination) enclosured to the combination of the						
b. Exposure of persons to or generation of excess groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	sive					
12b. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 - 2005 Figure N-3 - 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 - 2025 Figure N-7 - 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 - Riverside at ARB Noise Contours, FPEIR Table 5.11-G - Vibration - Noise Existing Conditions Report and Project Spect and Associates on November 11, 2014) Construction related activities although short term, are taffect occupants of neighboring uses throughout the Cit source of ground borne noise and vibration. At a prograthe General Plan, Implementation Plan tools, especially borne vibration for uses located immediately adjacent to	Roadway Noise, Find Flabob Airpo Source Levels Fific Noise Study/ the most common ty. While interminimatic level, the Tool N-11 and railroad tracks to	rigure N-6 – 20 rt Noise Control Construction Acoustical And a source of granteent, train via GP 2025 FPE N-1 impacts a be less than significant control of the control of	O25 Freeway I ours, Figure on Equipment alysis prepare ound borne no bration is also EIR determined related to exceptificant.	Noise, Figure N-9 – March Appendix G ed by Giroux ise that could a significant d that through essive ground		
freeway. Although the project site is located within the						

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact				
between the project site and the nearest railroad. Given	vibrations is minimal. Finally, several hundred single-family residences, condominiums, and apartments are located between the project site and the nearest railroad. Given the significant separation, the exposure of people to excessive ground borne vibrations, specifically those related to the railroad is less than significant.							
Additionally, on-site construction equipment, such as a vibration. The stated vibration source level in the FTA Ha the source. With typical vibrational energy spreading loss feet. The nearest sensitive use to the project site is more Additionally, all adjacent residential uses are located across along those roadways will mask possible project construction judged as less-than-significant.	ndbook for suc , the vibration than 75 feet fr s either First, S	ch equipment in annoyance start community constructions.	s 81 VdBA at andard second ruction envelo nount Bouleva	50 feet from is met at 56 ppe boundary. and traffic				
c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?								
Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Roa N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 – Riverside and ARB Noise Contours, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Tab Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report, T Study/Acoustical Analysis prepared by Giroux and Associa The site is located in a predominately residential and comm to the north and west of the project site, given that the property contributor to the existing noise environment, the increase would be less than significant. Site operations will be required Ordinance (Title 7 of the Municipal Code). Compliance of noise level should not be more than what was previously of should not be detrimental to any surrounding land uses. The project noise impact study indicates a less-than-significant vicinity receptors. Project-related traffic will not cause noise any existing violations.	dway Noise, F Flabob Airpo Noise Compa le 5.11-E - 1 itle 7 - Noi ntes on Novem ercial area, althoroject is locat in noise levels red to be cond with the Noise onsidered and cant noise imp	rigure N-6 – 20 rt Noise Cont tibility Criteri Interior and I Ise Code, an ber 11, 2014) nough noise se led along a m generated by fucted in comp Ordinance wi approved as p	ours, Figure 16 a, FPEIR To Exterior Noise de Project Spansitive resident ajor arterial stance with the ll insure that the part of the Gerect-related traffer.	Noise, Figure N-9 – March able 5.11-1 – e Standards, pecific Noise attial uses exist treet that is a fof this project c City's Noise any increased areal Plan and				
d. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambien noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?								
12d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.11-J – Construction Conditions Report and Project Specific Noise Study/Acon November 11, 2014) The primary source of temporary or periodic noise asso activity and maintenance work. Construction noise typic associated with building demolition, grading and construction. Both the General Plan 2025 and Municipal Code Title 7 (Nand days of the week and during those specified times, provided in the Title 7. Considering the short-term nature of temporary and periodic increase in noise levels due to the	ciated with the ally involves on. oise Code) lim construction a f construction	e proposed prothe loudest construction ctivity is subjand the provis	oject is from ammon urban activities to sect to the notions of the No	construction noise events pecific times see standards ise Code, the				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	Impact		
		Incorporated				
considered less than significant directly, indirectly and cur	nulatively.					
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	s t					
12e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure N-8 – Riv – March ARB Noise Contour, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Air Reserve Base/March inland Port Comprehensive La Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005)	Use Noise Co	ompatibility C	riteria, RCAL	UCP, March		
The proposed project is not located within an airport land use airport and as such will have no impact on people reslevels either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?						
March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999) and Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005) Per the GP 2025 Program FPEIR, there are no private airstrips within the City that would expose people working or residing in the City to excessive noise levels. Because the proposed project consists of development anticipated under the General Plan 2025, is not located within proximity of a private airstrip, and does not propose a private airstrip, the project will not expose people residing or working in the City to excessive noise levels related to a private airstrip and would have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
13. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:						
a. Induce substantial population growth in an area, eithe directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension o roads or other infrastructure)?	ı					
13a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table LU-3 – Land Use Designations, FPEIR Table 5.12-A – SCAG Population and Households Forecast, Table 5.12-B – General Plan Population and Employment Projections–2025, Table 5.12-C – 2025 General Plan and SCAG Comparisons, Table 5.12-D - General Plan Housing Projections 2025, Capital Improvement Program and SCAG's RCP and RTP)						
According to the City of Riverside's General Plan, the current demographic data states that the city of Riverside's population in 2010 was 303,871, with a population projection growth rate, administered by SCAG, of 35,129, an 11.5% increase by the year 2020.						
The project involves the development of an apartment proj involve additional infrastructure that could indirectly induce with the land use designation established under the General determined that Citywide, future development anticipated population growth impacts, however, a Statement of Overri	e population g Plan 2025 Pro under the Go	rowth. However ogram. The Ge eneral Plan 20	ver, the project eneral Plan 202 025 would ha	t is consistent 25 Final PEIR ve significant		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING		Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INF(ORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	
	as part of the General Plan 2025 Program Final PEIR. Beca Plan 2025, population growth impacts were previously evalu Considerations was adopted, and the project does not result i GP 2025 FPEIR, impacts will be less than significant.	ated in the G	sed project is P 2025 FPEIR	, a Statement	of Overriding
b.	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
13b	. Response: (Source: CADME Land Use 2003 Layer)		I		
	The project will not displace existing housing or people, elsewhere because the project site is vacant. The existing res reoccupied as residential dwelling units. Therefore, the project	sidences on sit	e will be reloc	cated and rehal	bilitated to be
c.	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
13c	. Response: (Source: CADME Land Use 2003 Layer)				
	The project will not displace existing housing or people, elsewhere because the two existing residential structures a residences on site will either, be relocated and rehabilitated dwelling units. Therefore, the project will have no impact or	re vacant. As or rehabilitat	part of mitigated on-site to l	ation measure be reoccupied	s the existing
14 DI	BLIC SERVICES.				
Wo ass gov gov sig acc	puld the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts ociated with the provision of new or physically altered vernmental facilities, need for new or physically altered vernmental facilities, the construction of which could cause nificant environmental impacts, in order to maintain teptable service ratios, response times or other performance ectives for any of the public services:				
a.	Fire protection?			\boxtimes	
14a	Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.13-B – Fire Station Statistics and Ordinance 5948 § 1) The City of Riverside Department (RFD) operates 14 fire states and other states are states as a second of the states are states as a second of t	itions through	out the city. T	he project will	be served by
	City of Riverside Fire Station 1, located at 3401 University A parcel; therefore the construction of the residential project w developments requiring service. In addition, prior to the is will be reviewed and approved by the city of Riverside Uniform Fire Code.	vill not represe ssuance of bui	ent a significar lding permits	nt increase in t all construction	he number of on documents
	The development will be required to provide fully operating development per the Fire Codes and the CBC. The City Fire for additional fire and emergency service resources and facine necessitate the need for new fire facilities in and of itself, ho services throughout the City. Per Ordinance 5984, adopted in which can go toward purchasing land and construction of meaning the construction of the constructi	re Department lities, the cons wever, it does n 1991, new d	t and the City struction of the contribute to evelopment is	continually as e proposed pro the cumulative required to pa	sess the need oject does not e need for fire by impact fees

	JES (AND SUPPORTING ORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	not create a significant effect upon or result in a need for expected.	new or altere	_	A less than	significant is
b.	Police protection?				
14b	o. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-8 – Ne	ighborhood P	olicing Center	s)	
As noted above, the project is consistent with the General Plan Population projects for the city. The implementation of the proposed project will not result in a substantial increase to population that was not otherwise considered with the adoption of the General Plan 2025. In an effort to decentralize policing operations the City of Riverside Policic Department (RPD) operates from three major facilities to deliver services to the Community residences, based upon four geographical service areas called Neighborhood Policing Center (NPC). The project site is located within the North NPC. The North NPC Field Operations are based at the Main Station at 4102 Orange Street. The RPD does not use a formula for calculating the number of officers per capita. Instead, staffing for the department is based on the business and residential growth and evaluated on a project by project basis. Residential staffing is based on dwellings per development and business staffing is based on square footage of the business type of business and type of police services required. As a result RPD estimates its staffing projections through 2025 are 110 additional sworn officers and 55 additional non-sworn personnel above present levels. According to General Plan Policy PS-7.5 RPD will endeavor to respond to Priority 1 calls within 7 minutes, and to respond to Priority 2 calls within 12 minutes. As the proposed project is consistent with the provisions of the Zoning Code and consistent with the General Plan Land Use designation for the area, the project within the exiting neighborhood will					
с.	have a less than significant effect upon or will result in a less Schools?	s than significa	ant need for ne	w or affered p	office service.
14c	Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.13-2 – RUSD Bou 5.13-G – Student Generation for RUSD by Education Boundaries)			USD, Figure	
	The project site is located within the Riverside Unified Sch the General Plan population projections, the project will have				
	Funding of school facilities has been affected by Senate Bil California Government Code Section 65995. The law lim districts can require of developers to off-set the impact of development will be required to pay school impact fees on local public school facilities. This fee would be required re Given the small scale of the project and the existing require school services are less than significant.	nits the amount new development a per square fregardless of we	nt of fees and ment on the sc oot basis to ac whether the pro	site dedication hool system. Idress the dem ject was subject	on that school The proposed hand upon the ect to CEQA.
d.	Parks?				
14d	I. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 – Parks Master Plan 2003, GP 2025 Types, and Table 5.14-C – Park and Recreation Facilities I	FPEIR Table	2 5.14-A - Par	rk and Recre	ation Facility
	The proposed project will not result in a change in land us Plan, or Zoning Code and will therefore not increase perman that was anticipated and evaluated by the City's General department currently operates 14 community parks and 11 Additionally, the city operates 14 community parks and 11 and 11 and 12 and 13 and 14 and 1	nent population al Plan. The ood parks an	n in the city over City of Rive d 4 undevelo	er and above t rside Park ar oped neighbo	he population ad Recreation rhood parks.

	JES (AND SUPPORTING ORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	recreation facilities exceed 2,813 acres with an additional 1 the proposed project will not necessitate the construction of local park development fee in accordance with Chapter established to enable the acquisition, development, or improproposed development will be required to pay the Local proposed project will have a less than significant impact on proposed project will have a less than significant impact on proposed project will have a less than significant impact on proposed project will have a less than significant impact on proposed project will have a less than significant impact on proposed project will have a less than significant impact on proposed project will have a less than significant impact on proposed project will have a less than significant impact on proposed project will have a less than significant impact on proposed project will have a less than significant impact on proposed project will be proposed project will have a less than significant impact on proposed project will be proposed project will have a less than significant impact on proposed project will be project will be proposed project will be proposed project will be project will be project will be proposed project will be project w	of new recrea 16.60 of the evement of ne Park Develo	tion facilities, Municipal Co ighborhood an	the city has in ode. The fee	nplemented a program was parks. As the
e.	Other public facilities?				
14e	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure LU-8 – C Facilities, Figure 5.13-6 - Community Centers, Table 5.3 Riverside Public Library Service Standards)				
Implementation of the project will not result in a permanent increase in population over and above the population that was anticipated and evaluated by the City's General Plan. The City's General Plan includes policies which will substantially lessen the impacts of the project on library services including Policies ED-5.1 and ED-5.2 call for the city or provide ample library facilities, conduct public outreach to the community to assess its library needs and determine how to address those needs. Finally, Policy LU-26-1 requires the City to enforce community facilities standards, including those for libraries. Thus, though the implementation of the General Plan policies, the City has attempted to mitigate those impacts to libraries caused by new development. General Plan implementation Tool 38 will require the City to search for and address funding mechanisms to support library needs. Further, General Plan Mitigation Measure PS2 ensures that the City will provide such finding and identify ways which such finding could be provided. Therefore, given the limited scope of the project, together with the Policies and Tools incorporated by the City's' General Plan and MM PS2 within the General Plan, impacts to libraries are considered less than significant.					
<i>5</i> DI	ECDE A TION				
a.	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
15a	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 – P Recreation Facilities, Figure CCM-6 – Master plan of Table 5.14-A – Park and Recreation Facility Types, and T in the Riverside Renaissance Initiative, Table 5.14-D – Municipal Code Chapter 16.60 - Local Park Development	rails and Bik Table 5.14-C Inventory of	eways, Parks - Park and Ro Existing Con	Master Plan .ecreation Faci nmunity Cente	2003, FPEIR lities Funded
	The project will facilitate the development of a residential located within one mile of the project site including one neightwo reserve/open space parks, Fairmount Park, 2601 Fairm Rubidoux Street, and one citywide park, White Park, 3936 park. Fairmount Park is a 209.58 acre site with lighted covered picnic areas, boathouse, golf course etc. Mount ligoging and running paths. White Park is a 5.27 acre site with and botanical gardens.	ghborhood pa nount Bouleva Chestnut Par tennis courts Rubidoux Par	rks, Loring Pa ard, and Mour k. Loring Pa s, playground, k is a 161.01	rk, 3787 Buen at Rubidoux Park is a 2.45 ac horseshoe pin acre open sp	a Vista Drive, ark, 4706 Mt. re open spacets, barbeques, ace park with
	The proposed project is consistent with the City's General F. Plan Land Use Designation; therefore, the project would min The project would not cause substantial physical deterioration accelerated. Therefore a less than significant impact is expected.	nimally increa	ise the use of e	existing neighb	orhood parks.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):		Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
		_		
b. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
15b. Response:				
The project does not include the construction of new or construction or expansion of new or existing recreational facilities expected.				
		1		
16. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project result in:				
a. Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?				
16a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H – Exis of Service, Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Inter – Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at L Proposed General Plan, Appendix H – Circulation H SCAG's RTP, and if required/recommended by the Cit Analysis prepared by Kunzman Associates, Inc. on April In April 2014, Kunzman and Associates, Inc. prepared a proposed original project consisting of 142 residential dwel revised to reflect the current proposed project of 125 residen	(LOS) (Typic ting and Typic rection Impro OS E or F in Element Traff y's Traffic En (14, 2014) project specif ling units. In	cal 2025), Tai cal Density So ovement Record 2025, Table S fic Study and ngineer: Projection	ble 5.15-D – cenario Inters mmendations, 5.15K – Free Traffic Stud ect Specific To bact Analysis 2014, the orig	Existing and ection Levels Table 5.15-J way Analysis ly Appendix, raffic Impact based on the
Roadway capacity is adequate to accommodate the project of the City Traffic Engineer and Traffic Impact project, the proposed project will operate at acceptable Leve on-site and off-site improvements and conditions in relating significant impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on training the conditions in the c	lected traffic Analysis prep Is of Service d ion to the pro	volumes, of ared for the pr luring peak ho oject, the proj	the proposed oposed apartn urs. With all re ect will have	nent complex ecommended a less than
b. Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				
16b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H – Exis. of Service, Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Inter	(LOS) (Typic ting and Typi rsection Impro	cal 2025), Tai cal Density So ovement Reco	ble 5.15-D – cenario Inters mmendations,	Existing and ection Levels Table 5.15-J

	JES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFO	ORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	
	Proposed General Plan, Appendix H – Circulation I SCAG's RTP, and if required/recommended by the Cit Analysis prepared by Kunzman Associates, Inc. on April	y's Traffic E			
	Project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 and the General Plan				
c.	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				
16c	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – A March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Compreh Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (A	nensive Land August 2005)	Use Plan (1999)and Air	Installation
	The project will not change air traffic patterns, increase patterns. It is not located within an airport influence area indirectly or cumulatively on air traffic patterns.				
d.	Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				
16d	1. Response: (Source: Project Site Plans, Lane Striping an City's Traffic Engineer: Project Specific Traffic Impact An 14, 2014) The proposed project is compatible with adjacent existing reto cause any incompatible use or additional or any hazar condition of approval, off-site improvements to First, Secon will be improved to their half-widths, including landscaping these revisions the project will have a less than significant incompatible uses either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	esidential uses rds to the sur and and Market and parkways ant impact of	s. As well, it h rounding area Streets, as we s and sidewalk	n Associates, as been design or general pell as Fairmouts where approx	ned so as not bublic. As a nt Boulevard opriate. With
e.	Result in inadequate emergency access?				
16e	Response: (Source: California Department of Transport Fire Code and if required/recommended by the City's Trag prepared by Kunzman Associates, Inc. on April 14, 2014) The project is consistent with the Riverside Municipal C standards to ensure adequate emergency access to new adequate emergency access will be available for the project within the vicinity of the project.	Code as it pedevelopments	Project Special retains to site s. These improvements.	fic Traffic Implanning and overments will	development
f.	Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities)?				
16f.	Response: (Source: FPEIR, General Plan 2025 Land Mobility and Education Elements, Bicycle Master Plan, Sc The proposed project as designed is not in conflict with ado	hool Safety Pi	rogram – Wali	k Safe! – Driv	e Safe!)

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact			
transportation (e.g. bus turnouts, bicycle racks). The project indicates an area for storage of 24 bikes within the parking structure; in addition the General plan and Bicycle Master Plan indicate a Class 2 bikeway for commuters along Market Street. Furthermore, the project includes wide sidewalks and units connected to the sidewalk along Market Street providing for an inviting environment to walk. Moreover the project is located 1/3 mile from the core of Downtown where jobs, restaurant, entertainment and shopping venues are located. Therefore, the proposed project impacts related to adopted policies, plans or programs supporting alternative transportation are less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively.							
17. UTILITIES AND SYSTEM SERVICES. Would the project:							
a. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the appl Regional Water Quality Control Board?	icable						
17a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PF-2 – Sewer Facilities Map, FPEIR Figure 5.16-5 – Sewer Service Areas, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City of Riverside's Sewer Service Area, Figure 5.8-1 – Watersheds, Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and Certified EIR) Wastewater service within the City of Riverside is provided by Riverside Public Utilities (RPU) and Western Municipal Water District for a total service area of 74 square miles. The project site is served by RPU. RPU provides for the collection, treatment, and disposal of all wastewater generated within the City of Riverside through its Riverside Regional Water Quality Treatment Plant (RRWQCP) and complies with State and Federa requirements governing the treatment and discharge of wastewater. The City of Riverside's Regional Water Quality Control Plant is subject to Waste Discharge Requirements for Order No. R-8-2006-0009, NPDES No. CA0105356 and the WRCRWA facility are subject to Order No. R8-2005-0008 and NPDES No. CA 8000316. NPDES permits are administered by the State Regional Water Quality Control Board. This type of Permit includes requirements that implement the Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan), which was adopted by RWQCB on March 11, 1994 The Basin Plan identifies water quality objectives and beneficial uses for the Santa Ana River and its tributaries; and subsequent NPDES Permit indicates specific waste discharge requirements for individual permitees. All new development is required to comply with the NPDES program, as enforced by RWQCB. Therefore the projec would not exceed applicable wastewater treatment requirements of the RWQCB with respect to discharges to the sewer system or storm water system within the City. Therefore, a less than significant impact is expected.							
b. Require or result in the construction of new was wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of ex facilities, the construction of which could cause signi environmental effects?	isting						
17b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 – RPU PROJECTED DOMESTIC WATER Supply (AC-FT/YR), Table PF-2 – RPU Projected Water Demand, RPU, FPEIR Table 5.16-G – General Plan Projected Water Demand for RPU Including Water Reliability for 2025, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City of Riverside's Sewer Service Area, Figure 5.16-4 – Water Facilities and Figure 5.16-6 – Sewer Infrastructure and Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and Certified EIR.) The proposed project site is within the service boundary and is currently served by the City of Riverside Public Utilities. The residential project will develop a portion of the currently commercially developed land for multifamily residential use. This development will result in the incremental construction of new water and wastewater infrastructure to serve the proposed 48 residential dwelling units as well as the 13,220 square foot retail space and 14,000 square foot Fresh and Easy. This incremental addition of infrastructure will not result in the need to construct new treatment facilities or expand existing facilities that could cause significant environmental effects. Therefore the project will result in a less than significant impact.							

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c. Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
17c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-2 - Drainage Fac	cilities)			
A series of inlets along Market Street collect surface flow drain facilities. Any additional public storm drain facilities Capital costs for these facilities will be the responsibility of for the facilities will be the responsibility of the City fund General Fund for these maintenance costs should be miproperties within the project area.	required due f any private o led through G	to developmen levelopment. eneral Fund s	it would be mi Operation and ources. The i	nor in nature. I maintenance impact on the
As the project will redevelop developed land for a mix commercial uses. This development will result in the in infrastructure to serve the proposed 125 residential dwellin not result in the need to construct new treatment facilities environmental effects. However, the Subdivision Code, (Tapaid to the City for new construction. Fees are transferred Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation I Government Code Section 66483, which provides for the Fees are required to be paid as part of the conditions of an significant impact.	ncremental co g units. This for expand exist citle 18 Section ed into a drain District. This payment of fe	nstruction of incremental ad sting facilities in 18.48.020) in age facilities Section also coses for constru	new water an Idition of infra that could cau requires draina fund that is romplies with tection of drain	d wastewater astructure will use significant age fees to be maintained by the California age facilities.
d. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				
17d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-3 – Water Servic E – RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR, T – General Plan Projected Water Demand for RPU including and Projected Domestic Water Supply (acre-ft/year) WMWD, Table 5.16-J – General Plan Projected Water Demand RPU Master Plan, WMWD Master Plan) The project will not exceed expected water supplies. The project of Secretic water future water supplies were determined.	Table 5.16-F - ng Water Rela WD Table 5. Demand for W	Projected Wo iability for 202 16-I Current MWD Includi	nter Demand, 25, Table 5.16 and Projecte ing Water Rel General Plan 2	Table 5.16-G -H - Current ed Water Use liability 2025,
Growth Scenario where future water supplies were determine 5.16-H, 5.16-I and 5.16-J of the General Plan 2025 Final resulting in the insufficient water supplies either directly, income	PEIR). The	refore, the pro		
e. Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				
17e. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-5 - Sewer Service 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the				ucture, Table
The General Plan anticipates a need to treat up to 51.6 mg. General Plan. The City has a maximum capacity of 40 m upgrade the treatment plant to 52.2 mgd, separate and apart Generated by a single-family residence is 96.6 gpd per capit	gd at the treat from the proj	tment plant ar	d is currently	proposing to

	UES (AND SUPPORTING ORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	As previously stated it is anticipated that the project could y Census information. Therefore, it is anticipated that the procurrent treatment plant can currently treat 40 mgd at presen addition of 6,450 gpd is considered to be a less than significant	oject would gen not and is expec	nately 125 new enerate 6,450 g	gpd as a total.	As the City's
f.	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				
17f	Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.16-A – Existing Landy Generation from the Planning Area)	fills and Table	? 5.16-M – Est	imated Futur	e Solid Waste
	The project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typica was determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-A and 5.16 no impact to landfill capacity will occur directly, indirectly of	6-M of the Ger	neral Plan 202		
g.	Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				
17g	g. Response: (Source: California Integrated Waste Manager	ment Board 20	002 Landfill F	acility Compl	iance Study)
	The California Integrated Waste Management Act under the divert at least 50% of all solid waste generated by January 1 rate, well above state requirements. The City remains comminimization efforts with the programs that are available the General Plan and future residents would participate in the would not conflict with any Federal, State, or local regulation	1, 2000. The Committed to conhrough the Circecycling pro	City is currentled intinuing its extended ty. As the property of the provided its current of the	ly achieving 60 cisting waste reoject is consisted by the City	0% diversion reduction and stent with the y, the project
18. M	ANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.				
a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or an endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				
18a	A. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – St. Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHCA Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCA Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCA Narrow Endemic Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCA - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Districts and Neighborhood Conservation Areas, Figure Prehistoric Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D, specific Cultural Resources Survey prepared by JRMC on A Mitigation Measures proposed, the project will not have the substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal corare or an endangered plant or animal or eliminate important prehistory. Upon implementation of the Mitigation Measures	CP Cores and Area Plans, F & Plant Specie & Parrowing & Areas and V & 5.5-1 - Arc Title 20 of t April 2012) -d (Cultural Re he potential to , cause a fish of pummunity, red ant examples	I Linkages, Figure 5.4-4 - Figure 6.4-4 - Figure 6.	igure OS-8 – MSHCP Crite a, Figure 5.4 Area, MSHCP and Table 5.5 Sensitivity, Fi Municipal Co upon impleme quality of the pulation to dro er or restrict tods of Californ	MSHCP Cell eria Cells and -7 – MSHCP Section 6.1.2 5-A Historical igure 5.5-2 - ode, and site entation of the environment, op below self- the range of a mia history or

	JES (AND SUPPORTING ORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	a less than significant impact.				
b.	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?				
	D. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 6 – Long-Term Efferogram) Because the project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 by fully improved public rights-of-way, commercial develop and west, and multiple-family to the south, no new cumula impacts of the proposed project beyond those previously consistent.	and consider pment to the ative impacts	ed to be infill (east, single-far	due to its loca nily residentia d and therefor	tion, bounded al to the north re cumulative
c.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				
18c	Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 5 – Environmental Imposite specific Noise Impact Analysis prepared by Giroux at Quality and GHG Impact Analysis prepared by Giroux and Based upon the discussion in sections 3a-e (Air Quality), 7a of the Mitigation Measures proposed, the project will nenvironment, cause substantial adverse effects on human being proposed in 4a-f and 5a-d above, the project will have a less to the	Associates of As	on May 22, on May 13, 2016 d 12a-f (Noise potential to complementation	2014 and site 4) and upon in legrade the q	e specific Air applementation quality of the

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21087, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21082.1, 21083, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21151, Public Resources Code; Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino, 202 Cal.App.3d 296 (1988); Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors, 222 Cal.App.3d 1337 (1990).

P14-0183

Staff Recommended Mitigation Measures

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
Aesthetics	MM Aes 1: To further reduce impacts related to light pollution, the City shall require at the time of issuance of building permits all development which introduces light sources, or modifications to existing light sources, to have shielding devices or other light pollution limiting characteristics such as hoods or lumen restrictions.	Prior to issuance of building permits for individual projects.	Planning Division Building & Safety Division	Site Plan Review and Issuance of Building Permits.
Air Quality	MM Air 1: To mitigate for potential adverse impacts resulting from construction activities, proposed development projects that are subject to CEQA shall have construction-related air quality impacts analyzed using the latest available URBEMIS model, or other methods sanctioned by SCQMD. The analysis of construction-related air quality impacts shall be included in the development project's CEQA analysis, including recommended mitigation measures. Proposed mitigation measures may include extending the construction period as feasible in order to ensure air quality thresholds are not exceeded. The analysis shall address pollution levels near sensitive receptors and require mitigation to reduce emissions.	Site-Specific Environmental Review.	Planning Division	Compliance with Project Conditions of Approval.

-

¹ All agencies are City of Riverside Departments/Divisions unless otherwise noted.

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
Air Quality	 MM Air 2: To mitigate for potential adverse impacts resulting from construction activities, development projects must abide by the SCAQMD's Rule 403 concerning Best Management Practices for construction sites in order to reduce emissions during the construction phase. Measures may include: Development of a construction traffic management program that includes, but is not limited to, rerouting construction related traffic off congested streets, consolidating truck deliveries, and providing temporary dedicated turn lanes for movement of construction traffic to and from site; Sweep streets at the end of the day if visible soil material is carried onto adjacent paved public roads; Wash off trucks and other equipment leaving the site; Replace ground cover in disturbed areas immediately after construction; Keep disturbed/loose soil moist at all times; Suspend all grading activities when wind speeds exceed 25 miles per hour; Enforce a 15 mile per hour speed limit on unpaved portions of the construction site. 	Issuance of grading plans.	Public Works Department	Construction Inspection.
	MM Air 3: To reduce both mobile and stationary source emissions, to the extent feasible, the City will use Best Available Control Technologies and Best Available Retrofit Control Technology, as defined by SCAQMD, in the City's practices, including but not limited to advanced diesel particulate traps on City vehicles and purchase and use of aqueous diesel fuel vehicles.	Ongoing as fleet vehicles are replaced.	General Services, Fleet Division Public Works Department	General Plan Progress Report.
	MM Air 4: To reduce diesel emissions associated with construction, construction contractors shall provide temporary electricity to the site to eliminate the need for diesel-powered electric generators, or provide evidence that electrical hook ups at construction sites are not cost effective or feasible.	Prior to issuance of grading and/or building permits.	Building & Safety Division Public Works Department	Proof of power source to be provided from electric service provider.

Environmental Initial Study 44 P14-0183

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
	 MM Air 5: To reduce construction related particulate matter air quality impacts of City projects the following measures shall be required: 1. the generation of dust shall be controlled as required by the AQMD; 2. grading activities shall cease during periods of high winds (greater than 25 mph); 3. trucks hauling soil, dirt or other emissive materials shall have their loads covered with a 	Prior to issuance of individual grading and/or building permit. The plan for traffic control shall be submitted with the grading and/or building plans.	Public Works Department	Construction Inspection.
	tarp or other protective cover as determined by the City Engineer; and 4. the contractor shall prepare and maintain a traffic control plan, prepared, stamped and signed by either a licensed Traffic Engineer or a Civil Engineer. The preparation of the plan shall be in accordance with Chapter 5 of the latest edition of the Caltrans Traffic Manual and the State Standard Specifications. The plan shall be submitted for approval, by the engineer, at the preconstruction meeting. Work shall not commence without an approved traffic control plan.			
	MM Air 7: As part of the CEQA process, the City shall require proposed development projects with potential operational air quality impacts to identify and mitigate those impacts. To ensure proper characterization and mitigation of those impacts, regional impacts shall be analyzed using the latest available URBEMIS model, or other analytical method determined in conjunction with the SCAQMD. To address potential localized impacts, the air quality analysis may incorporate SCAQMD's Localized Significance Threshold analysis, CO Hot Spot analysis or other appropriate analyses as determined in conjunction with SCAQMD. If such analyses identify potentially significant regional or local air quality impacts, the City shall require the incorporation of appropriate mitigation. Mitigation should reduce identified impacts to the maximum extent feasible using, among others, measures	Site-Specific Environmental Review.	Planning Division	Compliance with Project Conditions of Approval.

Environmental Initial Study 45 P14-0183

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
	identified in the Air Quality Element Policies of the General Plan and the most recent Air Quality Management Plan as well as mitigation from the most recent CEQA Air Quality Handbook available at the SCAQMD. Example topics include, but are not limited to, energy conservation, reduction of vehicle miles traveled overall trip reduction, and reduction of particulate matter.			
	MM Cultural 1: 3144 Fairmount Boulevard. Demolition shall be avoided; a program shall be developed to either rehabilitate the property in place or relocate the residence and garage together to another parcel, preferably within the adjacent potential Mile Square Historic District, another designated or potential historic district, or to an individual parcel.	Prior to Issuance of Grading Permit	Planning Division	Issuance of Grading Permit

Environmental Initial Study 46 P14-0183

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
Cultural	MM Cultural 2: 3189 Market Street. Demolition shall be avoided; a program shall be developed to either rehabilitate the property in place or relocate the residence to another parcel preferably within the adjacent potential Mile Square Northwest Historic District, another designated or potential historic district, or to an individual parcel.	Prior to Issuance of Grading Permit	Planning Division	Issuance of Grading Permit
Cultural	MM Cultural 3: Due to the presence of a historic refuse concentration, historic buildings, and the potential for buried remnants of the Riverside Lower Canal, the subject property is considered sensitive for buried archaeological resources. In addition, past construction monitoring and archaeological excavation projects in the area have identified significant buried cultural resources. A qualified archaeological monitor shall be present during all proposed ground-disturbing activities. If any prehistoric or historic cultural resources are uncovered during ground-disturbing activities, the monitor shall be empowered to temporarily halt or redirect construction work in the vicinity of the find until it can be evaluated by the project archaeologist. Impacts to finds determined to represent significant cultural resources shall be mitigated through data recovery.	Site-Specific Environmental Review and/or prior to the issuance of a demolition and/or grading permit.	Planning Division Public Works Department	Compliance with Project Conditions of Approval.

Environmental Initial Study 47 P14-0183

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
	MM Cultural 4: The following mitigation measures should be implemented to reduce project-related adverse impacts to archaeological resources and sites containing Native American human remains that may be inadvertently discovered during construction of projects proposed in the City's General Plan Update: a. In areas of archaeological sensitivity, including those that may contain buried Native American human remains, a registered professional archaeologist and a representative of the culturally affiliated Native American Tribe, with knowledge in cultural resources, should monitor all project-related ground disturbing activities that extend into natural sediments in areas determined to have high archaeological sensitivity. b. If buried archaeological resources are uncovered during construction, all work must be halted in the vicinity of the discovery until a registered professional archaeologist can visit the site of discovery and assess the significance and origin of the archaeological resource. If the resource is determined to be of Native American origin, the Tribe shall be consulted. If the archaeological resource is determined to be a potentially significant cultural resource, the City, in consultation with the project archaeologist and the Tribe, shall determine the course of action which may include data recovery, retention in situ, or other appropriate treatment and mitigation depending on the resources discovered. In the event of an accidental discovery of any human remains in a location other than a dedicated cemetery, the steps and procedures specified in Health and Safety Code 7050.5, State CEQA	Prior to issuance of grading permit.	Individual grading contractors Registered Professional Archaeologist	Compliance with Project Conditions of Approval. Final report to City Planning Division from archeologist; if resources are found.

Environmental Initial Study 48 P14-0183

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
	Guidelines 15064.5(e), and Public Resources Code 5097.98 must be implemented. Specifically, in accordance with Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 5097.98, the Riverside County Coroner must be notified within 24 hours of the discovery of potentially human remains. The Coroner will then determine within two working days of being notified if the remains are subject to his or her authority. If the Coroner recognizes the remains to be Native American, he or she shall contact the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) by phone within 24 hours, in accordance with PRC Section 5097.98. The NAHC will then designate a Most Likely Descendant (MLD) with respect to the human remains within 48 hours of notification. The MLD then has the opportunity to recommend to the property owner or the person responsible for the excavation work means for treating or disposing, with appropriate dignity, the human remains and associated grave goods within 24 hours of notification. Whenever the NAHC is unable to identify a MLD, or the MLD fails to make a recommendation, or the landowner or his or her authorized representative rejects the recommendation of the MLD and the mediation provided for in subdivision (k) of PRC Section 5097.94 fails to provide measures acceptable to the landowner, the landowner or his or her authorized representative shall re-inter the human remains and items associated with Native American burials with appropriate dignity on the property in a location not subject to further subsurface disturbance.			

Environmental Initial Study 49 P14-0183

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
	MM Cultural 5: The applicant shall provide for the identification and curation of specimens to an established, accredited museum repository with permanent retrievable collection (e.g. San Bernardino County Museum). These procedures are also essential steps in effective mitigation and CEQA compliance. The qualified professional shall have a written repository agreement in hand prior to the initiation of mitigation activities. Mitigation shall not be achieved until the found resources are entered into curation at an established museum repository and fully documented.	During Ground Disturbing Activity	Planning Division	Issuance of Grading Permits
	MM Cultural 6: The applicant shall contract with a qualified professional to prepare a report of findings with an appended itemized inventory of specimens. This report and inventory shall be submitted to the City of Riverside Historic Preservation Officer along with confirmation of the curation of the recovered specimens into an established, accredited museum repository. Submittal of this report to the City of Riverside will signify completion of the program to mitigate impacts to cultural, archaeological and paleontological resources.	During Ground Disturbing Activity	Planning Division	Issuance of Grading Permits
	MM Noise 1: Limit hours of construction to occur between the hours of 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. Monday through Friday and 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. on Saturdays.	During Grading and Construction Phase of Project	Planning Division Public Works Department	Compliance with Project Conditions of Approval.
	MM Noise 2: Insure that motor powered equipment is equipped with proper mufflers.	During Grading and Construction Phase of the Project	Planning Division Public Works Department	Compliance with Project Conditions of Approval.

Environmental Initial Study 50 P14-0183

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
	MM Noise 3: Establish equipment staging areas along the Market Street frontage.	During Grading and Construction Phase of the Project	Planning Division Public Works Department	Compliance with Project Conditions of Approval.
	MM Noise 4: Use the quietest equipment possible when operating within 160 feet of any off-site residence.	During Grading and Construction Phase of the Project	Planning Division Public Works Department	Compliance with Project Conditions of Approval.
	MM Noise 5: Erect a temporary 8-foot high barrier along the South-Southwesterly site boundary along Second Street extending 200 feet eastward from the Fairmount/Second Street site corner.	During Grading and Construction Phase of the Project	Planning Division Public Works Department	Compliance with Project Conditions of Approval.
Noise	MM Noise 6: All patios or decks with a line-of-sight to Market Street be equipped with a 5-foot transparent glass or plastic shield (or combination) enclosure that would permit view while mitigating noise.	Construction of the residential structure	Planning Division	Compliance with Conditions of Approval. Final Sign-Off.

Environmental Initial Study 51 P14-0183